

800-843-7348 - **SOUSA.COM** - 877-843-8443

Citizens for Enforcement of Parkland Covenants vs. City of Palos Verdes Estates

Transcript Testimony of **Harold A. Rigg**

Date: 09/28/2018

Job #: 611479

Court Reporting – Videoconferencing – Trial Presentation – Nationwide Networking

Hermosa Beach - Irvine - Riverside - San Diego - Las Vegas

Dismantling of this transcript will void the Reporter's Certificate

```
1
            SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
2
            COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES - CENTRAL DISTRICT
3
4
                                    ) Case No. BS142768
    CITIZENS FOR ENFORCEMENT OF
    PARKLAND COVENANTS, et al.,
5
                    Plaintiffs,
6
          vs.
7
    CITY OF PALOS VERDES ESTATES,
8
    et al.,
9
                    Defendants.
10
11
12
13
14
15
                 Deposition of Harold Allan Rigg
16
                Rolling Hills Estates, California
17
                    Friday, September 28, 2018
18
19
20
21
22
23
    REPORTED BY:
24
    TAMMY R. PAGEAU-HINES
    CSR NO. 8413
25
    JOB NO. 1-611479
```

```
1
            The deposition of Harold Allan Rigg, taken on
2
    behalf of Plaintiffs at 609 Deep Valley Drive,
 3
    Suite 200, Rolling Hills Estates, California,
4
    commencing at 10:08 A.M., Friday, September 28, 2018,
5
    before Tammy R. Pageau-Hines, C.S.R. No. 8413.
6
7
    APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:
8
    FOR PLAINTIFFS:
9
            LAW OFFICE OF JEFFREY LEWIS
                 JEFFREY LEWIS, ESOUIRE
            BY:
10
            609 Deep Valley Drive, Suite 200
            Rolling Hills Estates, California 90274
11
            (310) 935-4001
            jeff@jefflewislaw.com
12
    FOR DEFENDANT CITY OF PALOS VERDES ESTATES:
13
            BEST, BEST & KRIEGER, LLP
14
            BY:
                 CHRISTOPHER M. PISANO, ESQUIRE
                   -and-
15
                 CHRISTI HOGIN, ESQUIRE
            1230 Rosecrans Avenue, Suite 110
16
            Manhattan Beach, California
            (310) 643-8448
17
            christopher.pisano@bbklaw.com
            christi.hogin@bbklaw.com
18
    FOR DEFENDANT PALOS VERDES HOMES ASSOCIATION:
19
            LEWIS, BRISBOIS, BISGAARD & SMITH, LLP
20
                 BRANT H. DVEIRIN, ESQUIRE
            633 West 5th Street, Suite 4000
2.1
            Los Angeles, California 90071
            (213) 580-6317
22
            brant.dveirin@lewisbrisbois.com
23
    ALSO PRESENT:
24
            John Harbison
25
            Sid Croft
```

		2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	
1		I N D E X	
2		WITNESSES	
3	ALL WIT	NESSES:	PAGE:
4		D ALLAN RIGG: MINATION by MR. LEWIS	5:10
5	ĽΛA	MINATION DY MR. LEWIS	3.10
6		EXHIBITS	
7	NO.:	DESCRIPTION:	PAGE:
8	1	Color map page:	20:15
9	2	Two-page diagram:	20113
10	2	Iwo page aragram.	21:17
11	3	Palos Verdes Homes Association letter Bates-stamped PVE PRA 379:	
12		redeer bases seamped ivi ital siy	28:1
13	4	July 18, 2003, letter and additional produced by the City in	
14		response to a Public Records Act Request, Bates-stamped PVE PRA 144	
15		to 147:	29:21
16	5	Memorandum dated August 11, 2003,	
17		Bates-stamped PVE PRA 141 to -143:	31:25
18	6	City of Palos Verdes Estates letter	
19		dated April 14, 2009, Bates-stamped PVE PRA 31 to -36:	
20			42:10
21	7	Emails dated August 25, 2011, Bates-stamped PVE PRA 95 to -96:	
22		_ 	46:24
23	8	City of Palos Verdes Estates Final Notice dated September 19, 2011,	
24		Bates-stamped PVE PRA 148:	49:12
25			

	J-1J -1 0	SOUSH COURT REFORTERS	SOUSA.COM
1		I N D E X	
2		EXHIBITS (continued)	
3	NO.:	DESCRIPTION:	PAGE:
4	9	Email dated October 27, 2011:	50:3
5 6	10	Email dated December 30, 2011:	51:5
7	11	Emails dated November 2, 2011:	54:1
8 9	12	Emails dated December 30, 2011:	56:24
10 11	13	Emails dated January 3, 2012, Bates-stamped VP 2226:	57:24
12 13	14	Email string dated December 30, 2011:	59:18
14	15	Email dated January 17, 2012:	62:18
15 16	16	Email dated February 7, 2013:	74:5
17	17	Memorandum from Palos Verdes Estates Meeting Date 2/19/13:	
18			75:5
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1	ROLLING HILLS ESTATES, CALIFORNIA
2	FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 28, 2018
3	10:08 A.M.
4	
5 6	HAROLD ALLAN RIGG, having been first duly administered
7	an oath, was examined and testified
8	as follows:
9	
10	EXAMINATION
11	BY MR. LEWIS:
12	Q Would you please state your full name for the
13	record.
14	A Harold Allan Rigg.
15	Q You learn something new every day. I didn't
16	know that. Okay.
17	A Little-known secret.
18	Q You understand the oath you just took is the
19	same oath you would take as though you were testifying
20	in a court of law?
21	A Yes.
22	Q All right. Even though I'm in jeans and an
23	informal shirt today, do you understand the solemnity
24	of the oath you've taken today is the same as though a
25	judge were sitting in the room, and you were testifying

1 in front of a jury?

A Yes.

Q The court reporter here is gonna take down every question I ask and every answer you give, and in between those two events, the other lawyers in this room might object. It's real important so that our court reporter can do her job that you wait for my question to be done, maybe pause a couple of beats, and then answer so that we're not talking over each other. Will you try to do that?

A Yes.

Q It's not an endurance contest today. If you want to take breaks, you can ask for as many breaks as you want, and you don't have to tell me why. I understand that your phone may go off, and if you need to go all of a sudden and go, just tell me. Okay?

A Yes.

Q All of your answers are on the record.

There's no such thing as an off-the-record answer.

So if you say "off the record" yada, yada, that's on the record, unless all the lawyers and I agree that we're off the record.

So do not say anything in this room unless you're certain -- don't say anything confidential unless you're certain we're off the record.

1 Do you understand?

- A May I ask a question?
- O Yes.

A So if there's anything that involves conversations with the attorneys for the City of Palos Verdes Estates when I was employed there in that those are protected under attorney-client privilege, do I answer the question, and you'll take it off the record, or do I simply -- or will the City Attorney's office object, and then I won't answer?

Q Did you steal my outline because I was gonna cover that next.

Here's how I want you to handle that. I don't want to hear anything you had to say to Christi Hogin or any of the lawyers that worked under Christi when you were at the City. Okay? Those are confidential attorney-client privileged communications.

So if a question comes up, and you cannot answer it because it involves something you learned from Christi in her office, or it's something you told Christi in her office in a confidential setting, you should look me in the eye and say, "I can't answer that. That's attorney-client privilege," and I suspect that Christi or Chris over there might also object, and that might also clue you in. Okay?

They might say something like "Objection.

Attorney-client privilege."

On the other hand, if there are things that you observed with your eyes or your ears that you learned independent from your conversations with Christi, I am entitled to hear about those items.

So, for example, if you were you at a City Council meeting, public, not closed, open session, and you heard Christi say something at a meeting, that's an example of something you could testify about, but, on the other hand, outside of that open public session, if you're in your office and Christi is in your office, and you two are having a chat, and it's about the City's legal business, you should not tell me about that.

Does that answer your question?

A Yes.

Q All right. When we're done here today, the court reporter is gonna prepare a booklet of all my questions, all your answers.

You're gonna have a chance to review that,
make any changes you want. It's real important to give
me your best testimony today because if you make any
material changes to your transcript, me or another
lawyer could comment on those changes at trial, and

that could prove embarrassing to you.

So, for example, if I were to ask you today "Did Brant Dveirin pay you \$10 million for your testimony today," and you say "No" today, but then you change it to "Yes," that's a material change in your transcript, and that could be embarrassing if you make that kind of a big change.

Do you understand?

A Yes.

Q Can you think of any reason you can't give me your best testimony today?

A No.

Q At some point today, I'm gonna be asking you about things that go back to 2003. It's a long time ago. I don't remember what I had for breakfast yesterday. I don't want you to guess at any point.

On the other hand, if you have a reasonable basis for estimating or giving me a reasonable approximate answer, I'm entitled to that. Okay?

If I were to ask you how big the table here is in this room, you'd probably be able to give an estimate. You're an engineer; right?

A Yes.

Q If I asked you to tell me how big the table is in my house, my dining-room table, you couldn't tell me

1	that. That would be a total guess because you've never
2	been to my house; right?
3	A Right.
4	Q Do you understand the difference between a
5	guess and an estimate?
6	A Yes.
7	Q Do you promise not to guess today?
8	A Yes.
9	Q All right. If at any point I ask or another
10	lawyer asks you a question, and you don't understand
11	it, will you stop me, and ask me to rephrase it?
12	A Yes.
13	Q And you're not represented by any attorney
14	today; correct?
15	A Correct.
16	Q Did you do anything to prepare for today's
17	deposition?
18	A No.
19	Q Did you review any documents to prepare for
20	today's deposition?
21	A No.
22	Q Without telling me what was said, did you
23	speak with Christi Hogin or anyone from her office to
24	prepare for today's deposition?
25	A No

1	Q Did you speak with Sid Croft to prepare for
2	today's deposition?
3	A No.
4	Q What do you do for a living?
5	A I am a civil engineer and work for the City of
6	Stanton as their public works director and city
7	engineer.
8	Q Are you also a professional planner?
9	A I am a certified planner as well as a
10	registered civil engineer.
11	Q Did you previously hold any positions with the
12	City of Palos Verdes Estates?
13	A Yes.
14	Q By the way, today, if I refer to that as
15	either "the City" or "PVE," will you understand I'm
16	referring to the City of Palos Verdes Estates?
17	A Yes.
18	Q What positions have you held in the past with
19	the City?
20	A I was working for Charles Abbott & Associates
21	which is a consulting firm, and my positions I held for
22	the City of Palos Verdes Estates while employed by
23	Charles Abbott & Associates included the planning
24	director, public works director, and city engineer.

611479 Page: 11

 ${\tt Q}\,\,$ For what time periods did you hold those

1	titles?
2	A February of 2000 through April of 2014.
3	Q Focusing in on your duties as planning
4	director, did your duties include overseeing code
5	enforcement matters for the City?
6	A Yes.
7	Q Did your duties include initiating code
8	enforcement compliance?
9	A Yes.
10	Q Did your duties include protection of
11	City-owned property?
12	A Yes.
13	Q Did your duties include supervision of the
14	work done by planners?
15	A Yes.
16	Q And during that period of time, did you have
17	planners who performed tasks for you?
18	A Yes.
19	Q And did your duties include the preparation
20	and oversight of staff memorandum that were submitted
21	to the City Council?
22	A I was responsible for many, but not all.
23	Q Any generally speaking, most staff memos
24	that went to the City Council for a City Council
25	hearing, would those have been prepared either by you

1	or at your direction when it concerned land use
2	matters?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Did your duties include the review and
5	interpretation of the City's municipal code?
6	A Yes.
7	Q Did your duties include attendance at City
8	Council meetings?
9	A Yes.
10	Q I don't want you to guess, but over the 14
11	years you were with the City, can you estimate for me
12	how many land use applications you oversaw during your
13	tenure?
14	A No.
15	Q Over a hundred?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Over 500?
18	A I'm not sure.
19	Q During the course of your work for the City,
20	did you become familiar with the history of how the
21	City acquired property from the Palos Verdes Homes
22	Association?
23	A Yes.
24	Q By the way, if I refer to that as "the Homes
25	Association, will you understand I'm referring to the

Α

No.

1 Palos Verdes Homes Association? 2 Α Yes. 3 And during the course of your duties, did you 4 become familiar with the deed restrictions that the 5 Homes Association had placed on the property that is 6 now owned by the City? 7 Α Yes. 8 Now, do not guess, but do you know whether or Q 9 not the Homes Association has a code enforcement 10 officer? 11 Α I do not know. 12 Do you know whether or not the PV Homes Q 13 Association today owns any parks or parkland within the 14 City of Palos Verdes Estates? 15 I do not know. Α 16 And final question on this area. During your 17 tenure, did you become familiar with the City's 18 municipal code as it relates to open space, 19 conservation easements, and zoning? 20 Α Yes. 21 To your knowledge, sir, since the formation of 0 22 the City in the 1920's, was there ever any parkland 23 that was ever sold to a private individual for private 24 use only?

1	Q During your tenure, sir, did the City have a
2	parkland committee?
3	A yes.
4	Q What was the role of the Parkland Committee
5	while you were with the City?
6	A The primary role was to process tree
7	applications for the removal or pruning of trees. They
8	also reviewed applications for the landscaping of
9	parklands in limited circumstances.
10	Q Was that when private parties were proposing
11	to landscape on publicly-owned parkland?
12	A Yes.
13	Q And in some instances, the Parkland Committee
14	would recommend an approval of that?
15	A Yes.
16	Q But, ultimately, the City Council would make
17	the final decision on any decision by the Parkland
18	Committee; right?
19	A Correct, the actions of the Parkland Committee
20	were only recommendations to the City Council to take
21	action.
22	Q Now, when you were working with the City, did
23	you have any understanding about the procedure of how
24	the City notified residents about land use hearings

611479 Page: 15

that were coming up to be heard either by the Planning

1	Commission or the City Council?
2	A Yes.
3	Q Did the City use signs posted on the affected
4	real property?
5	MR. PISANO: Objection. Incomplete
6	hypothetical. Vague.
7	THE WITNESS: Could you restate the question.
8	BY MR. LEWIS:
9	Q Yes.
10	During your tenure, when there was a land use
11	hearing that was gonna come up before the City Council
12	and the Planning Commission, did the City routinely use
13	signs posted on the property to notify residents
14	driving by that there was a meeting coming up?
15	MR. PISANO: Same objection. Lack of
16	foundation.
17	THE WITNESS: So the fact that I don't have an
18	attorney to tell me what to do is an interesting one.
19	BY MR. LEWIS:
20	Q Let me just say this. He's asserting
21	objections for the record so that when we go to trial
22	on Valentine's Day, a judge will look at the question,
23	look at his objection and then decide whether the
24	question is admissible at trial.
25	You are still required by law to answer every

1	question unless it pertains to a deeply private matter,
2	like, involving you or your family or your kids, or a
3	privileged matter involving communications with the
4	City Attorney.
5	A Understood.
6	Q Does that help you?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Do you want the question reread?
9	A No.
10	Q Okay. What's the answer?
11	A The answer to the question would be that, yes,
12	the City did. It's actually a policy that I
13	implemented, which was to add the signage in front of
13 14	implemented, which was to add the signage in front of many applications, not only land use, in order to
14	many applications, not only land use, in order to
14 15	many applications, not only land use, in order to notify the public of upcoming meetings.
14 15 16	many applications, not only land use, in order to notify the public of upcoming meetings. Q When did you implement that policy?
14 15 16 17	many applications, not only land use, in order to notify the public of upcoming meetings. Q When did you implement that policy? A Approximately 2003.
14 15 16 17 18	many applications, not only land use, in order to notify the public of upcoming meetings. Q When did you implement that policy? A Approximately 2003. Q What was the policy before you implemented
14 15 16 17 18	many applications, not only land use, in order to notify the public of upcoming meetings. Q When did you implement that policy? A Approximately 2003. Q What was the policy before you implemented that policy in terms of how the City notified residents
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	many applications, not only land use, in order to notify the public of upcoming meetings. Q When did you implement that policy? A Approximately 2003. Q What was the policy before you implemented that policy in terms of how the City notified residents about upcoming hearings?
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	many applications, not only land use, in order to notify the public of upcoming meetings. Q When did you implement that policy? A Approximately 2003. Q What was the policy before you implemented that policy in terms of how the City notified residents about upcoming hearings? MR. PISANO: Objection. Vague. Lack of

611479 Page: 17

well as per the code, notify residents by mail within

```
1
     300 feet of the property for which the upcoming
2
    application was about?
 3
    BY MR. LEWIS:
4
              How about newspapers? Did the City, when you
5
    were there, ever use newspapers to notify the public
6
    about hearings coming up?
7
          Α
              Yes.
8
              And when you talk about posting around the
          Q
9
    City, did the City post at the City Hall, the library,
10
    and the PV Golf Club?
11
              MR. PISANO: Objection.
12
              Hold on a second.
13
              Objection to the extent it's compound and
14
    leading.
15
              But go ahead.
16
              THE WITNESS: That is my understanding.
17
    BY MR. LEWIS:
18
              Can you think of any other locations where the
          0
19
    City routinely would post agendas about an upcoming
20
    meeting?
21
          Α
              No.
22
              As of the year 2012, can you think of anyone
23
    more familiar than you regarding the City of Palos
24
    Verdes Estates parkland?
25
              MR. PISANO: Calls for speculation.
```

1	THE WITNESS: Not that I know of.
2	BY MR. LEWIS:
3	Q As of the year 2012, can you think of anyone
4	more familiar than you regarding the City's history and
5	power of regulation of parkland?
6	MR. PISANO: Calls for speculation. Vague.
7	Compound.
8	THE WITNESS: I'm unsure if there is somebody
9	that knows more about that than I.
10	BY MR. LEWIS:
11	Q How about today in 2018, would you consider
12	yourself an expert knowledgeable on the subject of land
13	use matters within the City of Palos Verdes Estates?
14	MR. PISANO: Same objections.
15	THE WITNESS: I'm highly knowledgeable.
16	BY MR. LEWIS:
17	Q Today we're gonna go over some events relating
18	to parkland located on Via Panorama in the City of
19	Palos Verdes Estates.
20	Do you have familiarity with that real
21	property?
22	A Yes.
23	Q If I refer to that property as the "Panorama
24	parkland," will you understand I'm referring to the
25	real property located on Via Panorama that at various

1	times has been owned by the City?
2	A Are you referring to the Lugliani property or
3	the parklands adjacent to it?
4	Q The parkland adjacent to the Luglianis'
5	property?
6	A Yes, I'm familiar with that.
7	Q Okay. And if I say "Panorama parkland,"
8	you'll know what I'm talking about?
9	A Yes.
10	Q Also, are you familiar with properties, real
11	properties known an Lots C and D within the City of
12	Palos Verdes Estates?
13	A Yes.
14	Q Our first exhibit today will be Exhibit 1.
15	(Deposition Exhibit 1 was marked for
16	identification and is included herein.)
17	BY MR. LEWIS:
18	Q Sir, I don't see a pen in your hand, but I
19	want to caution you. Throughout today, I'm gonna be
20	handing documents to you. Do me a favor. Unless
21	instructed to do so, do not write on any documents.
22	Okay? Those are original court documents.
23	A Understood.
24	Q Do you recognize Exhibit 1 to be a portion of
25	a map depicting a portion of Palos Verdes Estates?

1	A Yes.	
2	Q Does	Exhibit 1 fairly and accurately depict
3	the location	of the Panorama parkland on Via Panorama?
4	A Yes.	
5	Q And	you also see a red circle indicating Lots
6	C and D?	
7	A Yes.	
8	Q Does	that fairly and accurately depict the
9	location of L	ots C and D relative to the Panorama
10	parkland?	
11	A Yes.	
12	Q Exhi	bit 2 to today's deposition is another
13	diagram.	
14	Firs	t of all, have you seen Exhibit 2 before
15	today?	
16	A Yes.	
17	(Dep	osition Exhibit 2 was marked for
18	iden	tification and is included herein.)
19	BY MR. LEWIS:	
20	Q Do y	ou recognize these as closer-up depictions
21	of the Panora	ma parkland?
22	A Yes.	
23	Q And	do you recognize these as to be fair and
24	accurate depi	ctions of the Panorama parkland as it
25	relates to th	e location of the home at 900 Via

1	Panorama?	
2	A Yes.	
3	Q And let's take a look at the second page of	
4	this exhibit.	
5	Do you see a crescent area that has kind of a	
6	shading in it?	
7	A Yes.	
8	Q Do you recognize that shaded area as what's	
9	been described as "Area A" in the controversy involving	
10	the City of Palos Verdes Estates over parkland?	
11	A Yes.	
12	Q Now, do you know who lives at the home at 900	
13	Via Panorama?	
14	A Not as of today.	
15	Q Back when you were with the City, did you know	
16	who lived there?	
17	A Yes.	
18	Q Was it the Lugliani family?	
19	A Yes.	
20	Q In your capacity as planning director for the	
21	City, did there ever come a time when you became aware	
22	of encroachment of the parkland adjacent to 900 Via	
23	Panorama?	
24	A Yes.	
25	Q And, first of all, when did you become aware	

1	of these encroachments?
2	A Approximately 2003.
3	Q And what encroachments did you become aware
4	of?
5	A I became aware of encroachments in the public
6	right-of-way that included gates and pillars as well as
7	on within the parklands there was a gazebo
8	structure, one large wall, and then a terraced area of
9	multiple walls and walkways as well as a driveway that
10	was entered through the gates that were on the public
11	right-of-way.
12	Q How about did I hear you say there was a stone
13	pillar with lion statues on top of them?
14	A I remember the pillars. I don't remember the
15	lions.
16	Q Okay. But those pillars were part of these
17	encroachments?
18	A They were within the public right-of-way.
19	Q How about a retaining wall or a series of
20	retaining walls? Do you recall that?
21	A Yes, I mentioned those before.
22	Q Was there one retaining wall that was as high
23	as 21 feet high?
24	A Yes.
25	Q Dug into the side of a hillside?

- A It retained a large amount of dirt.
- Q And I don't want you to guess or speculate, but did it appear that that large retaining wall was for the creation of a sports field or athletic field on the parkland?
- A There was a large terraced area that could have been used for a sports field below it, yes.
 - Q And did you say there was a storm drain?
 - A No.
- Q Was there a storm drain encroaching either on the right-of-way or parkland?
- A I recall a storm drain that ran through the subject Panorama parkland area.
- Q How did you become aware of these encroachments?

A The City Council directed staff to identify and catalog all of the encroachments in the parklands throughout the State, and we were aware of a number of encroachments on the City's boundary with Torrance, the bridle trail, and then we utilized our GIS system to identify private improvements that were within areas that were parklands from a combination of the map boundaries of the private properties and aerial photography in which we could see the private improvements.

1 You've just given me a really good general 0 2 description about how generally the encroachments were 3 discovered within the City. 4 Do you have any specific memory of how the 5 specific encroachments here at the Panorama property 6 were discovered beyond what you just said? 7 MR. PISANO: Well, objection. That last part 8 lacked foundation. 9 THE WITNESS: I don't recall any other way we 10 became aware of it. 11 BY MR. LEWIS: 12 And this strip of land that borders the City 0 13 of Torrance and Palos Verdes Estates, the bridle trail, 14 is that a trail where people either walk or walk their 15 dogs or have horses? 16 Α Yes. 17 All right. And there's like wood chips there? Q 18 Α Yes. 19 And back in 2003, was there a problem that you 20 discovered where homeowners whose backyards abutted the 21 bridle trail had erected fences or landscaping into the 22 bridle trail? 23 Α Yes. 24 MR. PISANO: Objection. Leading. 25 THE WITNESS: Sorry.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 BY MR. LEWIS:

Q And as of 2003, before you undertook this assignment, what was the City doing, if anything, to remedy the encroachments on the bridle trail?

MR. PISANO: Lack of foundation. Calls for speculation.

THE WITNESS: There was nothing that the City was actively doing to remove those encroachments.

9 BY MR. LEWIS:

Q Did you ever learn who erected the encroachments on the Panorama parkland or the right-of-way?

A Yes.

Q Who erected those encroachments that were on the Via Panorama parkland or right-of-way?

A To clarify, I've never seen anything in writing, but what was told to me by the Luglianis was that it was the previous owner of the property, Alexander Haagen.

Q When did -- well, first of all, when we're talking about the Luglianis, are we talking about Dr. Lugliani or his son or his wife? Which Lugliani told you that?

A His son.

Q David Lugliani?

24

25

611479

Exhibit 3.

1 Α I believe so. 2 And he told you that the prior owner Haagen 3 had erected the large retaining wall? 4 He gave a general description that the 5 improvements on the parklands were erected by the 6 previous owner. He was not specific as to which. 7 Did you ever come to learn that any of the 8 improvements or encroachments that were either on the 9 parkland on the right-of-way were actually erected by 10 the Luglianis? 11 I don't know that. Α 12 You don't know one way or another? Q 13 Α Correct. 14 As part of this effort in 2003 to kind of 0 15 assess the inventory of encroached parkland within the 16 City, did you go back and look at the history for this 17 particular property, Via Panorama? 18 Α I don't recall. 19 Would it surprise you if I told you that some 20 of these encroachments dated back to the 1970's on the 21 Via Panorama property? 22 MR. PISANO: Objection. Lack of foundation. 23 It would not surprise me. THE WITNESS: 24 MR. LEWIS: Our next exhibit today will be

1	(Deposition Exhibit 3 was marked for
2	identification and is included herein.)
3	BY MR. LEWIS:
4	Q Sir, I'll represent to you Exhibit 3 was
5	produced to my office many years ago in response to a
6	Public Records Act Request directed to the City.
7	Do you recognize this document as a letter
8	that was contained within the City's files concerning
9	the Via Panorama property?
10	MR. PISANO: Objection to the extent that
11	lacks foundation.
12	THE WITNESS: I have no idea whether it was in
13	the City file or not.
14	BY MR. LEWIS:
15	Q Have you seen this letter before today?
16	A I don't recall.
17	Q In this letter it says,
18	"The existence of a paved driveway and parking
19	area within the parkland portion of Lot A,
20	Tract 8652, which serve the residents within
21	the referenced property was studied by the
22	Board of Directors at the meeting held
23	December 6th, 1972."
24	My question for you is does seeing this
25	document and hearing the words I just read refresh your

1	memory that the encroachments that we've been talking
2	about today date back to the 1970's?
3	MR. DVEIRIN: Objection. Lack of foundation.
4	MR. PISANO: Join. Misstates testimony. The
5	document speaks for itself.
6	THE WITNESS: I don't recall this letter, and
7	it has the information that the Homes Association
8	addressed in 1972.
9	BY MR. LEWIS:
10	Q Did there ever come a time when you, in your
11	capacity as an official for the City of Palos Verdes
12	Estates, initiated a code enforcement complaint against
13	the Luglianis?
14	MR. PISANO: Just object to the extent of lack
15	of foundation.
16	THE WITNESS: Yes.
17	BY MR. LEWIS:
18	Q When did that occur?
19	A I don't recall the exact date.
20	Q Let's take a look at Exhibit 4.
21	(Deposition Exhibit 4 was marked for
22	identification and is included herein.)
23	MR. LEWIS: I put in front of you Exhibit 4
24	which is a July 18, 2003, letter, and some pages that
25	follow that were produced by the City in response to a

Α

1 Public Records Act Request. 2 I'd ask you to review the first two pages, and 3 let me know when you're done. 4 I have reviewed the document. 5 0 All right. On page 2 it says "Code 6 Enforcement Complaint Form, " and the complainant is 7 identified as you, the City Engineer; correct? 8 Α Yes. 9 And the date of this form is July 17, 2003? 10 Α Yes. 11 0 Does this refresh your memory that on 12 July 17th, 2003, you initiated a code enforcement 13 complaint regarding Via Panorama? 14 This does not provide me any additional Α 15 memories of the day. 16 You recognize this form as a true and correct 17 copy of a form used back -- by the City back in 2003? 18 Α Yes. 19 All right. And looking at the first page, was 20 Susan Matthews a code enforcement officer for the City? 21 Α Yes. 22 Did you direct Susan Matthews to begin 23 enforcement of removal of the encroachments on the 24 parklands?

611479 Page: 30

Per this document, I did.

```
1
              And I don't want you to guess or speculate,
          0
2
    but pages 3 and 4 of this document, is that your
3
    handwriting that's handwritten on pages 3 and 4?
4
          Α
              No.
5
          0
              All right. Do you recognize whose handwriting
6
    that is?
7
         Α
              No.
8
              I don't want you to guess, but is page 3 one
          Q
9
    of these aerial photographs where the encroachments
10
    were discovered for this particular property?
11
          Α
              Yes.
12
              Is there any way you can turn off the
13
    air-conditioning? It's cold.
14
              MR. LEWIS: Let's go off the record for a
15
    second.
16
              (A recess was taken.)
17
              MR. LEWIS: Let's go back on, please.
18
              Mr. Rigg, you understand each time we take a
          0
19
    break today, you're still under penalty of perjury
20
    under the effect of the oath you took; right?
21
          Α
              Yes.
22
              Let's take a look at a new exhibit, Exhibit 5.
          0
23
              Sir, do you recognize Exhibit 5?
24
          Α
              Yes.
25
              (Deposition Exhibit 5 was marked for
```

1	identification and is included herein.)
2	BY MR. LEWIS:
3	Q Is this a memo you prepared?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Why did you prepare it?
6	A I wanted to create a comprehensive document
7	for the code enforcement case against the Luglianis for
8	the removal of items in the Parklands as well as in the
9	right-of-way.
10	Q I don't want you to guess, but did you do a
11	similar memo for all of the encroachment cases that the
12	City was looking at back in 2003?
13	A No.
14	Q Did you do it for the people on the bridle
15	trail?
16	A No.
17	Q What was different about the Lugliani
18	situation that warranted a memorandum?
19	MR. DVEIRIN: Objection. Assumes facts.
20	MR. PISANO: Join.
21	THE WITNESS: This was an unusual and unique
22	case due to the size of the encroached area and the
23	nature of the encroachments.
24	BY MR. LEWIS:
25	Q Who came up with the language that's contained

1	within this memo?
2	A I did.
3	Q Would you take a look at page 2. There's a
4	couple photographs, and there's some text. I want to
5	ask you about the text.
6	Well, first of all, the pictures, are those
7	fair and accurate depictions of how the Via Panorama
8	property looked back in 2003?
9	A They're an accurate representation of a
10	portion of the property.
11	Q A gazebo?
12	A Yes.
13	Q And that gazebo was located on parkland?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Now, it says here "the City of Palos Verdes
16	Estates owns 849 acres of parklands in the City that
17	was obtained from the Homes Association."
18	Is that true as of 2003?
19	A Yes.
20	Q You go on to say,
21	"The original developers of the City placed
22	restrictions on these properties so that they
23	would be eternally open to all people and not
24	used privately."
25	And then you say,

1	"These restrictions legally bind the City to	
2	keep these areas free of fences, walls, or any	
3	other private usage."	
4	And my question for you is when you wrote	
5	that, were you referring to parkland?	
6	A Yes.	
7	Q And you go on to say later on in this	
8	memorandum that,	
9	"The City has not and will not grant any	
10	permits for permanent private occupation of	
11	City parklands as we are legally bound to keep	
12	these areas open to the public."	
13	And my question for you is putting aside the	
14	Via Panorama issue, during your tenure, did the City	
15	ever grant permits for permanent private occupation of	
16	City parkland?	
17	MR. DVEIRIN: Objection. Lack of foundation.	
18	MR. PISANO: Join. The question is vague and	
19	compound as phrased.	
20	THE WITNESS: The only permits or permission	
21	that was ever given to do anything on parklands was for	
22	limited areas of landscaping.	
23	BY MR. LEWIS:	
24	Q And in any of those situations that you're	
25	describing, did that involve a barrier preventing the	

1	public from accessing that particular parkland?
2	A No.
3	Q Did the City ever permit the erection of
4	landscaping to exclude the public from parkland while
5	you were there?
6	A No.
7	Q Now, the balance of this memorandum describes
8	the legal process for how the City removes
9	encroachments.
10	Do you see that?
11	A Yes.
12	Q All right. And does this fairly and
13	accurately describe what the City's process was as of
14	August of 2003 for removing encroachments?
15	A Yes.
16	Q So whenever there was a situation that the
17	City was called to review a Planning Commission
18	decision, the City would take action to enforce
19	encroachment removal; correct?
20	MR. PISANO: Objection. Leading. Incomplete
21	hypothetical. Vague.
22	MR. DVEIRIN: Lack of it's ambiguous as to
23	time.
24	BY MR. LEWIS:
25	O I'm talking about back in 2003?

1 Α In general, yes. 2 MR. PISANO: Same objections. 3 BY MR. LEWIS: 4 And back in 2003, would you agree with me the 5 City did not have any proactive measures at that time 6 to initiate code enforcement to remove encroachments 7 unless it was going before the City Planning Commission 8 or unless the structure had fallen into disrepair or 9 unless a structure was being modified? 10 MR. DVEIRIN: Objection --11 MR. PISANO: Objection. Leading. Compound. 12 MR. DVEIRIN: Leading. Compound and the 13 document speaks for itself. 14 MR. LEWIS: You know what, it's a terrible 15 question. How about this. 16 MR. PISANO: Sustained. BY MR. LEWIS: 17 18 What was the policy in 2003 for the City to 0 19 get rid of encroachments? 20 In speaking of illegal encroachments on 21 parklands, the method is identified within this memo 22 which is when they went to the Planning Commission, in 23 general, and I'll clarify that in a moment, if they 24 fell into disrepair, a significant disrepair, or if 25 they were modified.

1	The policy of removing the illegal structures
2	during the discretionary review by the Planning
3	Commission, I do recall a case in which the nature of
4	the Planning Commission approval was so small that the
5	Planning Commission did not require the removal of the
6	encroachment.
7	Q Now, do you recall in 2005 the City passed a
8	resolution to establish a new procedure to remove
9	encroachments from parkland?
10	A Yes.
11	Q Did you have any role in getting that new
12	policy passed?
13	A No.
14	Q Describe that role, please.
15	A I worked with the city manager and the City
16	Council extensively to come up with a policy which
17	essentially and simply reiterated the existing code and
18	policies that were on the books.
19	Q Did ultimately this result in a resolution
20	being passed in 2005?
21	A Yes.
22	Q And how did the new policy differ from the old
23	policy, if at all?
24	A It gave a sunset date for all encroachments to

be removed.

25

	Q what do you mean by "sunset date"?
2	A The policy reiterated the three typical
3	manners in which illegal encroachments would be
4	removed, and also gave a date by which all illegal
5	encroachments needed to removed.
6	Q And I don't want you to guess, but was this
7	resolution 05-32?
8	A Yes.
9	Q So for the first time, historical
10	encroachments in the City were given a finite time,
11	five years, to remove their encroachments; right?
12	MR. PISANO: Objection. Leading. The
13	resolution is the best evidence, and it speaks for
14	itself on what it said.
15	THE WITNESS: Yes, that I know of.
16	BY MR. LEWIS:
17	Q And after the 2005 resolution was passed, do
18	you know how many residents received notices saying,
19	"Hey, you've got five years to get rid of the
20	encroachments"?
21	A Approximately 40.
22	Q And of those 40 by the way, did that
23	include people on the bridle trail?
24	A Yes.
25	Q And I don't want you to guess, but how many of

1	those 40 were on the bridle trail?
2	A 37.
3	Q And of those 37, how many complied with the
4	City's notice to remove encroachments?
5	A On the bridle trail, ultimately everybody
6	complied.
7	Q Were any of the people on the bridle trail
8	given the option of making a donation to the school
9	district to avoid enforcement of this new
10	recommendation?
11	A No.
12	Q Were any of these neighbors who abutted the
13	bridle trail given the option of purchasing parkland to
14	avoid enforcement?
15	A No.
16	Q So they all complied with removing the
17	vegetation or fences or whatever the encroachment was;
18	right?
19	A Correct.
20	Q Do you have any memory of the three
21	encroachment issues that did not include the bridle
22	trail?
23	A Yes.
24	Q What were those three?
25	A The first was the Panorama property that we've

talked about already.

The second was approximately one acre of wall encroachments adjacent to Alexander Haagen's office on Via Elevado, and the third was a fence -- I'm sorry -- a stairway and wall combination from a property owned by Admiral Rowley on Rocky Point Road.

Q How did the Rocky Point encroachments get resolved?

A The City Council made the finding that the stairway down to the beach was essential for our public safety services, especially the Fire Department, to access the beach for injuries down below.

The City then entered into a license agreement that the stairway be able to remain so long as it was open for the public safety and City services to utilize.

Q At any point, was the owner of Rocky Point offered the ability to purchase that stairway so that it could become private property?

MR. PISANO: Objection to the extent of calling for speculation.

THE WITNESS: Not that I know of.

23 BY MR. LEWIS:

Q How about Via Elevado? How did that situation resolve in terms of those encroachments?

1	A They were ultimately removed.
2	Q Was the person who owned the property at Via
3	Elevado, was he given the option to purchase the
4	parkland?
5	MR. DVEIRIN: Objection. Vague and ambiguous.
6	MR. PISANO: Same objection or join and
7	objection to the extent that calls for speculation.
8	THE WITNESS: Not that I know of.
9	BY MR. LEWIS:
10	Q On that strip of land that borders the PV
11	Estates and Torrance, some of the encroachments we're
12	talking about are just a few inches; right?
13	MR. PISANO: Objection. Leading.
14	THE WITNESS: Not that I know of. When we
15	went to determine the amount the properties along
16	the bridle trail that were encroaching, we measured
17	from the City of Torrance boundary line to the
18	encroachment, and we only went after and surveyed
19	encroachments that were obviously over five feet into
20	the parklands.
21	BY MR. LEWIS:
22	Q It was only those people who were obviously
23	over five feetto the parklands that the City took an
24	enforcement approach in terms of removing
25	encroachments?

25

Α

Yes.

1	A Correct.
2	Q All right. Do you recall that following the
3	passage of this resolution in 2005 that the Luglianis
4	received yearly notices asking them to remove
5	encroachments from the Panorama parkland?
6	A Everybody that still had an encroachment on
7	parkland of the 40 people originally identified
8	received those notices.
9	Q All right. Let's take a look at Exhibit 6.
10	(Deposition Exhibit 6 was marked for
11	identification and is included herein.)
12	BY MR. LEWIS:
13	Q Sir, I'll represent to you that Exhibit 6 was
14	produced in response to a Public Records Act Request by
15	my office.
16	Do you recognize this batch of letters as
17	letters generated by the City of Palos Verdes Estates
18	to the Luglianis pursuant to this new 2005 policy?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Is that your signature on these letters?
21	A It is.
22	Q And, you know, trick question. The very last
23	one is 2006. That was signed by James Hendrickson. Do
24	you see that?

```
1
              Do you recognize this last one dated
          0
    September 8, 2006, as a letter that the City sent out
2
3
    to the Luglianis?
4
          Α
              Yes.
5
          0
              And these letters set a deadline to remove the
6
    encroachments by September 8th, 2011?
7
          Α
              Yes.
8
              Did the Luglianis comply with the September
          Q
9
    2011 deadline?
10
         Α
              No.
11
              During this time frame, let's say, from 2005
          0
12
    when the policy was enacted, and this deadline you set
13
    here of September 8th, 2011, did the PV Homes
14
    Association get involved at all in the removal or the
15
    demand to remove these encroachments?
16
              MR. DVEIRIN: Objection. Ambiguous.
17
              MR. PISANO: Join.
18
              THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
19
    BY MR. LEWIS:
20
              It's fair to say, you have no memory of the
21
    Homes Association having any involvement in the efforts
22
    to remove encroachments from the Via Panorama parkland?
23
              MR. DVEIRIN: Same objection.
24
              MR. PISANO:
                           Join.
25
              THE WITNESS: Correct.
```

1	BY MR. LEWIS:
2	Q Now, do you know who Frank Zerunyan is?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Who is he?
5	A He is a businessman who has served on the City
6	Council of Rolling Hills Estates for many years.
7	Q Sometimes he was the mayor of Rolling Hills
8	Estates; true?
9	A I do not know.
10	Q Did there come a time when Frank Zerunyan
11	became involved in your communications with the
12	Luglianis about removing encroachments?
13	A Yes.
14	Q I don't want you to guess, but do you recall
15	when Mr. Zerunyan first became involved in this Via
16	Panorama situation?
17	A No.
18	Q Do you know how the Luglianis got in touch
19	with Councilman Zerunyan?
20	MR. PISANO: Objection to the extent that it
21	lacks foundation and assumes facts.
22	THE WITNESS: Yes.
23	BY MR. LEWIS:
24	Q How did he get involved?
25	A David Lugliani asked me at one point as he was

trying to figure out how to resolve his issue with the parklands if there happened to be any other property within the City that would be -- that the City would prefer to have as parklands as opposed to the open space and parklands that he had occupied, the Panorama parkland that we've talked of.

At the time, Frank Zerunyan was an advocate working for the owners of the property at 844 Via Del Monte, and he was trying to get an entitlement application for that property.

And as we had gone through the process at public hearings, many times people -- members of the public suggested that the City -- what the City should do, and they were rather vocal about it -- should purchase this piece of private property due to the extensive views over the top of it from the street and other homeowners as well as the people that lived down below it objected to any development on the site.

So the group of people had pointed to the site as being a site that would be a great piece of property for the City to own.

After Mr. Lugliani asked me about that, I forget how they got in contact, but I suggested to him that there was this property at 844 Via Del Monte which was the only piece of property that I was aware of that

1	was privately owned that the City could potentially
2	utilize as parklands, and that had been vocalized by
3	the public.
4	MR. PISANO: Move to strike as nonresponsive.
5	BY MR. LEWIS:
6	Q Did you introduce Mr Councilman Zerunyan
7	to the Luglianis?
8	A I don't recall.
9	Q Did you come up with the idea of the swap of
10	privately-owned parkland on Via Del Monte and the
11	Panorama property?
12	MR. DVEIRIN: Objection. Ambiguous.
13	MR. PISANO: Join and lack of foundation.
14	THE WITNESS: I provided Lugliani with the
15	information that the 844 Via Del Monte property was a
16	piece of property that had that the residents had
17	vocalized would be something that the City should
18	obtain.
19	MR. LEWIS: Let's take a look at our next
20	exhibit here which is 7.
21	Are we good?
22	THE WITNESS: Can I take a break?
23	MR. LEWIS: Yeah. Let's take a break.
24	(Deposition Exhibit 7 was marked for
25	identification and is included herein.)

1	(A recess was taken.)
2	MR. LEWIS: Let's go back on, please.
3	Q Mr. Rigg, when I was referring to Mr. Zerunyan
4	as a councilmember, you understood that my questions
5	were intended to reflect that he's councilmember for a
6	different city, not the City of Palos Verdes Estates;
7	right?
8	A Yes.
9	Q I just put Exhibit 7 before you. Do you
10	recognize Exhibit 7 as an email exchange you had with
11	Zerunyan in September of 2011?
12	A Yes.
13	Q I want to direct your attention to the bottom
14	of the email August or the bottom of the page,
15	August 25th, 2011, Frank says,
16	"Hi Allan, now that Judy's appointment is
17	public" first of all, who is "Judy" there?
18	A Judy Smith was the finance director, and she
19	was at this point, she was being made the interim
20	city manager.
21	Q He goes on,
22	"I would like us to organize that meeting we
23	discussed. Any time next week? Or the
24	following?"
25	I don't want you to quess, but do you know

1	what meeting Mr. Zerunyan was referring to here?
2	A I don't recall.
3	Q Let's go up a little bit on the page to your
4	response.
5	Do you recognize in the middle of this page an
6	email you sent to Zerunyan and cc'd to Judy Smith on
7	September 6, 2011, 8:48 a.m.?
8	A Yes.
9	Q All right. And the second paragraph there
10	says,
11	"The idea of swapping land was a good one, but
12	I will be honest in that there has not been
13	any option that seems feasible and that the
14	Luglianis should immediately begin removing
15	the encroachments."
16	My question for you is what land swap were you
17	referring to here?
18	A The idea of swapping some portion of
19	privately-owned land in the City for the
20	encroached-upon parklands adjacent to the Luglianis'
21	property.
22	Q At this point in time, September of 2011, was
23	there discussion of Lots C and D being swapped?
24	A No.
25	Q I don't want you to guess, but who came up

```
1
    with this idea referred to in this email of swapping
2
    land?
 3
          Α
              Lugliani.
              David?
4
          0
5
          Α
              Yes.
6
              And this is five days before the deadline the
7
    City had set for removal of the encroachments; correct,
8
    this September 6, 2011, email?
9
          Α
              Yes.
10
              Let's take a look at the next exhibit which is
11
    number 8.
12
              (Deposition Exhibit 8 was marked for
13
              identification and is included herein.)
14
    BY MR. LEWIS:
15
              Do you recognize this as a letter sent by the
16
    City of Palos Verdes Estates on September 19, 2011?
17
          Α
              Yes.
18
              Is it fair to say that as of this date the
          0
19
    encroachments had not been removed?
20
          Α
              Yes.
21
              Was this letter sent at your direction?
          0
22
              Yes.
          Α
23
              Were you getting pressure from anyone to put
          0
24
    pressure on the Luglianis to remove these
25
    encroachments?
```

1	MR. PISANO: Objection. Vague.
2	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
3	(Deposition Exhibit 9 was marked for
4	identification and is included herein.)
5	BY MR. LEWIS:
6	Q Sir, I put before you Exhibit 9.
7	Do you recognize this as an email exchange you
8	had with Zerunyan on October 27, 2011?
9	A Yes.
10	Q You say,
11	"Hi, It has been two weeks since we met, and I
12	need an update. I'm getting pressed to have
13	the City Attorney file an injunction against
14	the property owner."
15	Does this refresh your memory that somebody in
16	October of 2011 was pressing you to move forward with
17	an injunction?
18	A It does, but I do not recall who was giving me
19	the pressure.
20	Q It says, "It's been two weeks since we met."
21	Do you recall having a meeting in early October with
22	Frank Zerunyan?
23	A No.
24	Q Do you have any memory sitting here today of
25	what may have been discussed during the meeting that's

```
1
    referred to in this email?
              MR. PISANO: Objection. Lack of foundation.
2
3
    Calls for speculation.
4
              THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
5
              (Deposition Exhibit 10 was marked for
6
              identification and is included herein.)
7
    BY MR. LEWIS:
8
              Sir, I've put Exhibit 10 before you. Do you
9
    recognize this as an email you sent to Mr. Zerunyan and
10
    to Dan Bolton on December 30th, 2011?
11
          Α
              Yes.
12
          Q
              The second sentence says,
13
              "If we get the application in Tuesday, I will
14
              not initiate the process."
15
              What "application" were you referencing in
16
    this email?
17
              I don't recall.
          Α
18
              Was there an "application" being discussed in
          0
19
    December of 2011 whereby the Luglianis would be
20
    permitted to keep some of the encroachments on the
21
    parkland?
22
              MR. PISANO: Objection. Leading.
                                                  Vaque.
23
              THE WITNESS: Nothing I could be specific
24
    about that I recall, with any specificness --
25
    specificity.
```

```
1
    BY MR. LEWIS:
2
              I don't want you to guess, but when you're
3
    talking about an application here, are we talking about
4
    an application to the City Council or to the Parklands
5
    Committee or to the Planning Commission?
6
              MR. DVEIRIN: Objection. Compound.
7
              THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
8
              MR. PISANO: Join.
9
    BY MR. LEWIS:
10
              At this point in time, December 30th, 2011,
11
    were you -- and I'm not talking about the City, I'm
12
    talking about you, Allan Rigg -- were you a party to
13
    any conversations involving Lots C and D and the school
14
    district?
15
              I was involved in many conversations about
16
    Lots C and D, but I don't recall when those were and if
17
    it was at this time (indicating).
18
              MR. LEWIS: Can I have that answer reread,
19
    please.
              Sorry.
20
              (The record was read as follows:
2.1
              "ANSWER: I was involved in many conversations
22
              about Lots C and D, but I don't recall when
23
              those were and if it was at this time
24
              (indicating).")
25
    ///
```

BY MR. LEWIS:

Q Let me ask you a broader question.

Do you have any memory of conversations with Frank Zerunyan about Lot C and D back in the year of 2011?

A I do not recall.

Q Do you remember having any conversations with Frank Zerunyan in 2011 about the concept of the Luglianis purchasing or obtaining the Panorama parkland for their private use?

A Yes.

Q And what do you recall was discussed during this conversation?

A I met with Frank, and he had a concept of what he would do is work with different property owners to purchase downhill portions of their lots and create a piece of parkland that would then be something that the City would want to swap for the Panorama property.

Q The concept being -- stop me if I got this wrong.

The concept being taking privately-owned property and turning that into publicly-owned parkland?

MR. PISANO: Objection to the extent that's leading.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

1	(Deposition Exhibit 11 was marked for
2	identification and is included herein.)
3	BY MR. LEWIS:
4	Q Sir, I've put Exhibit 11 before you. Do you
5	recognize this to be an email that you sent to Frank
6	Zerunyan and Dan Bolton on November 2nd, 2011?
7	A Yes.
8	Q By the way, who is Dan Bolton?
9	A Dan Bolton is a civil engineer that does
10	surveying and civil engineering, quite a bit of it, on
11	the Palos Verdes Peninsula.
12	Q Let's start with the bottom email from Frank
13	to you and to Dan.
14	It says,
15	"Allan, I heard from Dan this morning. While
16	I am sure he will call you about his work and
17	the completion time, I want to assure you that
18	demolition is starting this week."
19	Do you know what "demolition" or which "work"
20	that Frank was referring to in this email?
21	A Vaguely, but not specifically.
22	Q He goes on to say,
23	"There's no need for any escalation of the
24	status quo," and then he says,
25	"We will also file our planning application

```
1
              promptly."
2
              Do you know what "planning application" he was
3
    referring to here?
4
          Α
              No.
5
          0
              By the way, later on in time when the MOU and
6
    the zoning applications were finally put before the
7
    City, Frank Zerunyan's name was never mentioned in any
8
    staff report or Daily Breeze article about this
9
    transaction.
10
              Did Frank Zerunyan ever ask you to keep his
11
    name out of the paperwork for the Via Panorama
12
    transaction?
13
              MR. DVEIRIN: Objection. Calls for
14
    speculation. Lack of foundation.
15
              MR. PISANO: Join as to both.
16
              THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
17
    BY MR. LEWIS:
18
              Did anyone from the City -- excluding lawyers,
          0
19
    did anyone from the City ever ask you to minimize or
20
    keep out Frank Zerunyan's role in the Via Panorama
21
    transactions?
22
              I don't --
23
              MR. PISANO: Lack of --
24
              THE WITNESS: -- know.
25
              MR. PISANO: -- foundation.
```

1	BY MR. LEWIS:
2	Q I'm sorry?
3	A I don't recall.
4	Q How about David Lugliani? Did he ever ask you
5	to minimize Frank Zerunyan's role or visible role on
6	the Via Panorama transactions?
7	A I don't recall.
8	Q You know what, at the top of this email you
9	say,
10	"Please make sure no work is done on the
11	parklands without a permit from the City and
12	plans showing the proposed restoration."
13	Do you know what "restoration" you were
14	referencing here in this November 2nd, 2011, email?
15	A If any items were removed from the parklands,
16	Parklands would need to be restored to its natural and
17	original grade, meaning that dirt would need to be put
18	back to where it originally was, and all the plant
19	materials would need to be restored.
20	So we would expect to see some kind of a
21	grading plan as well as a vegetation plan to put it
22	back to its original condition.
23	Q Fair enough.
24	(Deposition Exhibit 12 was marked for
25	identification and is included herein.)

1	BY MR. LE	EWIS:
2	Q	Do you recognize Exhibit 12 to be a
3	December	30th, 2011, email you sent to Dan Bolton and
4	Frank Zei	runyan?
5	А	Yes.
6	Q	You say there,
7		"We need to have everything 100 percent by
8		January 5th to get on the January agenda. I
9		can wait until Friday, but I am getting into
10		a bind on this and getting pressure to
11		resolve."
12		Who was giving you "pressure to resolve" the
13	Via Panor	rama situation?
14	А	I don't recall.
15	Q	The bottom email from Dan to you says,
16		"Hi Allen, We received the comments on our
17		application from Stacey yesterday."
18		Who is "Stacey," if you know?
19	А	Stacey Kinsella was the City Planner at the
20	time.	
21	Q	Do you remember what "application" is being
22	referred	to here in is this email?
23	А	I don't recall.
24		(Deposition Exhibit 13 was marked for
25		identification and is included herein.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

BY MR. LEWIS:

Q Sir, I've put Exhibit 13 before you. Do you recognize this as a January 3rd, 2012, email that you received from Frank Zerunyan?

A Yes.

Q And at the bottom of this, there's an email from you referring at the bottom to "erosion control, sandbags, and de-vegetation removals."

And you also say, "Let's keep working on the corrections, and we will see if we are all ready for the February agenda."

Are the "corrections" you're referring to in this email the same restoration that we were just talking about a few minutes ago?

MR. PISANO: Objection. Leading.

MR. DVEIRIN: Assumes facts.

MR. PISANO: Join.

THE WITNESS: This seems to reference a staff report that would be for their application to the City.

BY MR. LEWIS:

Q Let me ask you this.

By January of 2012, were you, in your capacity for the City, still seeking to obtain removal of the encroachments on the Via Panorama parkland?

A Yes.

```
1
             MR. PISANO: Vaque.
2
    BY MR. LEWIS:
             As of -- and let me just remind you to pause
 3
4
    so these folks can earn their pay.
5
             MR. PISANO: Well, it's not to earn my pay.
6
    It's so we have a record.
7
             MR. LEWIS: So we can have a record.
8
             MR. PISANO: I get what you're saying,
9
    Counsel.
10
             MR. LEWIS: It's polite to pause and let him
11
    object. Okay.
12
             Were you aware in January of 2012 of any
13
    efforts by Frank Zerunyan to negotiate with the city
14
    manager of the City a resolution whereby the
15
    encroachments would remain?
16
             MR. PISANO: Objection. Leading.
17
              THE WITNESS: No.
18
              (Deposition Exhibit 14 was marked for
19
              identification and is included herein.)
20
    BY MR. LEWIS:
21
              Sir, I put before you Exhibit 14. Let's take
22
    a look at the second page, the very bottom of the page.
23
    Do you recognize that as a December 30, 2011, email you
24
    sent to Frank Zerunyan and Dan Bolton?
25
         Α
             Yes.
```

1	Q Up above that, there's an email from Frank
2	Zerunyan to Christi Hogin.
3	"Hi Christi, I hope all is well. Bless his
4	heart. He cannot help himself. I think in
5	another message he said January 5. I am not
6	too worried as I am speaking to the enforcer
7	of laws." And then there's a happy face.
8	"I hope you are enjoying the family over the
9	holidays. I will speak with you next week."
10	As of December 30, 2011, did you know that
11	Frank Zerunyan was having communications with the City
12	not involving you about the lien removal?
13	A No.
14	Q Up above this, there's another email. It
15	says,
16	"The City Manager is aware of the settlement
17	efforts. I assume that your client is
18	applying for the encroachment permit and will
19	proceed expeditiously."
20	As of December 30, 2011, were you aware that
21	the city manager was having communications about
22	settlement efforts?
23	MR. PISANO: Objection. Vague. Lack of
24	foundation. Assumes facts.
25	THE WITNESS: Could you restate the question,

1	please.
2	MR. LEWIS: It was a terrible question.
3	Q Were you aware as of December 30, 2011, that
4	the city manager had been made aware of settlement
5	efforts involving Frank Zerunyan and the Via Panorama
6	property?
7	MR. PISANO: Same objections.
8	THE WITNESS: Not that I can recall.
9	BY MR. LEWIS:
10	Q Were you involved in communication involving
11	the city manager and settlement of the Via Panorama
12	encroachment issue as of December 30, 2011?
13	MR. PISANO: Lack of foundation.
14	THE WITNESS: I was involved in efforts to
15	restore the property to its original condition, and
16	that was the extent of my involvement that I recall.
17	BY MR. LEWIS:
18	Q All right. Do you know who Sid Croft is?
19	A Yes.
20	Q He's the long time in-house counsel for PV
21	Homes Association; right?
22	A Correct.
23	Q As of December 2011, have you and Mr. Croft
24	been interfacing at all in any respect concerning Via
25	Panorama?

1	A No.
2	Q Do you know who Phil Frengs is?
3	A Yes.
4	Q President of the PV Homes Association?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Back then I think he was VP.
7	At this time, December of 2011, had you and
8	Mr. Frengs had any interactions involving the Via
9	Panorama property?
10	MR. DVEIRIN: Objection. Ambiguous.
11	THE WITNESS: Not that I can recall.
12	BY MR. LEWIS:
13	Q In late 2011, had you, and anyone from the PV
14	Homes Association, had any interactions pertaining to
15	the Via Panorama property?
16	A Not that I recall.
17	Q That was 14. This next one will be 15.
18	(Deposition Exhibit 15 was marked for
19	Identification and is included herein.)
20	BY MR. LEWIS:
21	Q Do you recognize Exhibit 15 to be a
22	January 17, 2012, email that you sent to Frank
23	Zerunyan, Dan Bolton, and Stacey Kinsella?
24	A Yes.
25	Q And it says, "Judy has asked that I make sure

1	this item is before the Planning Commission in
2	February."
3	My question for you is, is the "this item"
4	that you're referring to the plan to restore the
5	Panorama parkland?
6	A I don't know.
7	Q Seeing where it says "Judy has asked that I
8	make sure this item is before the Planning Commission,"
9	was it Judy, the City Manager, that was putting
10	pressure on you to resolve the issues involving Via
11	Panorama?
12	MR. PISANO: Objection. Lack of foundation.
13	Misstates testimony, and it's leading.
14	THE WITNESS: I don't know.
15	BY MR. LEWIS:
16	Q Let's talk about the MOU. You can put that
17	email aside. When I say "MOU," are you aware that in
18	May of 2012 a Memorandum of Understanding was presented
19	to the City Council for approval pertaining to
20	resolution of the Via Panorama encroachments?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Did you have a hand in the negotiation of that
23	MOU?
24	A No.
25	O Did you interface at all with the folks of the

1	PV Homes	Association pertaining to the MOU?
2	А	No.
3	Q	You recall there was a hearing set for May
4	8th, 2012	2, for the City Council to review and approve
5	the MOU?	
6	А	Yes.
7	Q	When did you first learn relative to that
8	May 8th,	2012, date, when did you first learn of the
9	existence	e of the MOU?
10	А	When the agenda came out.
11	Q	Like Thursday or Friday before?
12	А	I believe so.
13	Q	Was there a staff report that accompanied the
14	MOU?	
15	А	I don't recall.
16	Q	Were you asked to prepare a staff report that
17	accompani	ied the MOU?
18	А	I don't recall.
19	Q	When you first read have you ever read the
20	MOU?	
21	А	Yes.
22	Q	Did you read it back in 2012?
23	А	Yes.
24	Q	When you first read the MOU, did you have any
25	concerns	about the Luglianis ending up owning a portion

```
1
    of the property on Via Panorama?
2
              MR. DVEIRIN:
                            Objection. Leading.
 3
              MR. PISANO:
                           Join.
4
              MR. DVEIRIN: Calls for speculation.
5
    Ambiguous.
6
              MR. PISANO:
                           Join.
7
              THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
8
    BY MR. LEWIS:
9
              How about today in 2018? Do you have any
          0
10
    concerns about the Luglianis owning what used to be
11
    public parkland?
12
          Α
              Yes.
13
              What are those concerns?
14
          Α
              As has been documented in all the newspaper
15
    articles and the like, that the sale or the transfer of
16
    the property seems to set a precedent which would
17
     jeopardize the rest of the property that is owned as
18
    parklands in the City.
19
              Did you ever -- and let's be careful here.
                                                            Ι
20
    don't want to hear about conversations with Christi
21
    Hogin or her staff. Okay?
22
              Did you ever voice objections within the City
23
    to the MOU?
24
          Α
              No.
25
          0
              Did the City solicit -- anyone in the City,
```

```
1
    putting aside Christi Hogin and her staff.
2
              Did anyone in the City solicit your input on
3
    the MOU?
4
         Α
              No.
5
          0
              Did the City post any signs at the Panorama
6
    property, the Panorama parkland to let the public know
7
    about the May 8th, 2012, hearing?
8
              MR. PISANO: Calls for speculation. Vague.
9
              THE WITNESS: Yes.
10
    BY MR. LEWIS:
11
              They did?
          Q
12
         Α
             Yes.
13
          Q
              Are you sure?
14
              MR. PISANO: Argumentative.
15
    BY MR. LEWIS:
16
              How do you know that?
17
              I recall the sign that we put together and
18
    posted it near the property.
19
              Let me ask --
          0
20
              MR. HARBISON:
                             2012?
21
              MR. LEWIS: Wait. Wait. Wait.
                                                Wait.
                                                       Hang
22
    on.
         Hang on. That's not appropriate.
23
              Let's fast-forward a year.
          0
24
              Do you recall after an MOU was approved that
25
    in 2013 the Luglianis came forward with, like, a land
```

1	use application for certain zoning changes?
2	A I do.
3	And I misspoke before. The sign that we put
4	up was for the land use application for the property
5	and not for the original MOU.
6	Q All right. So let me just make sure we cover
7	that.
8	Back in May of 2012, were any signs posted at
9	the Via Panorama property to inform the public about
10	the proposed transaction?
11	A No.
12	MR. PISANO: Lack of foundation.
13	THE WITNESS: Sorry.
14	MR. PISANO: That's okay.
15	BY MR. LEWIS:
16	Q Would you have been involved at all in the
17	decision of whether or not signs should be posted or
18	not for land use applications back in 2012?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Did anybody at the City come to you and say,
21	"Hey, we should post a sign for this MOU hearing"?
22	A I don't recall.
23	Q And do you know whether or not the City mailed
24	notices to the residents who lived nearby Via Panorama
25	to let them know about the May 2012 hearing?

1	A No.
2	Q Did the City mail such notices?
3	MR. PISANO: Objection to the extent of
4	calling for speculation. Lacking in foundation.
5	MR. DVEIRIN: Join.
6	THE WITNESS: I don't believe so.
7	BY MR. LEWIS:
8	Q How about I don't want you to guess, but,
9	you know, local newspapers, PV News, Daily Breeze, did
10	the City post an advertisement in the newspaper to let
11	the public know about the hearing coming up in May?
12	MR. PISANO: Same objections.
13	THE WITNESS: I do not know, and I typically
14	would not know that information on any land use
15	application.
16	BY MR. LEWIS:
17	Q Would it surprise you to learn that the only
18	notice provided to the public was through a posting
19	down at City Hall, the library, and the PV Golf Club
20	the Friday before the May 2012 hearing?
21	MR. DVEIRIN: Objection. Assumes facts.
22	MR. PISANO: Join.
23	THE WITNESS: No.
24	BY MR. LEWIS:
25	O Wagn't it a little out of step for how the

1	City usually notifies residents about land use
2	decisions, at least back in 2012?
3	MR. PISANO: Same objections. Assumes facts.
4	THE WITNESS: Typically, on land use
5	applications, as defined by the code, we would put a
6	sign up. We would do the postings as well as we would
7	have notifications mailed to all property owners within
8	300 feet of the subject property.
9	BY MR. LEWIS:
10	Q Did you have any role in the decision back in
11	2012 about whether to about how residents would be
12	notified about the May 2012 hearing?
13	A No, because I only became aware of it once it
14	was already within the staff report or the agenda.
15	MR. PISANO: Move to strike as nonresponsive
16	everything after the word "no" and nonresponsive.
17	MR. LEWIS: Could I have that answer read
18	back, please.
19	(The record was read as follows:
20	"ANSWER: No, because I only became aware of
21	it once it was already within the staff report
22	or the agenda.")
23	BY MR. LEWIS:
24	Q Would you agree with me that during your
25	tenure when you were with the City that if you wanted

```
1
    to post a sign, mail out notices, or put a notice in
2
    the newspaper, you'd need to know about a matter before
3
    the Friday before the May -- before the City Council
4
    meeting?
5
              MR. PISANO: Objection to the extent that call
6
    for a legal conclusion.
7
              THE WITNESS: Yes.
8
    BY MR. LEWIS:
9
              Did you attend the May 2012 City Council
10
    hearing when the MOU was first presented to the City
11
    Council?
12
         Α
              Yes.
13
              Do you know -- I don't want you to remember,
14
    but do you know was it well attended by the public?
15
              MR. DVEIRIN:
                            Objection. Ambiguous.
16
              MR. PISANO:
                           Join.
17
              THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
18
    BY MR. LEWIS:
19
              Do you recall at that City Council meeting
20
    that -- well, first of all, do you recall back in May
21
    of 2012 that George Bird was the mayor of the City?
22
         Α
              Yes.
23
         0
              Do you recall that at that May 8th, 2012,
24
    meeting he said to the public in connection with this
25
    MOU,
```

1	"As it's been said eloquently by my
2	colleagues, to my left and right, this was a
3	win-win-win. The Homes Association, the
4	school district has asked us to sign off on
5	this, and credit goes to one person, and
6	that's our City Attorney who the public must
7	know that she really spearheaded and brought
8	together the parties after having talked to
9	each of them and worked together to come up
10	with a win-win-win situation."
11	Do you recall those comments being made at
12	that meeting?
13	A Yes.
14	Q Did you spearhead the negotiation of the MOU
15	for the City?
16	MR. PISANO: Asked and answered.
17	THE WITNESS: No.
18	BY MR. LEWIS:
19	Q Do you know I don't want you to guess. So
20	please don't guess.
21	As it pertains to the Luglianis or the Homes
22	Association or the City, which of those three parties
23	took the leading role to negotiate that MOU?
24	MR. DVEIRIN: Objection. Vague and ambiguous.
25	MR. PISANO: Join.

1	THE WITNESS: I do not know.
2	BY MR. LEWIS:
3	Q Do you know who came up with the idea for the
4	transactions that are memorialized in the MOU?
5	A No.
6	Q There's been some statements made at public
7	hearings that the Via Panorama parkland is steep and
8	inaccessible to the public as compared to Lots C and D.
9	Are you familiar with those comments that have
10	been made?
11	A Yes.
12	Q Do you agree that the Via Panorama Parkland is
13	steep and inaccessible when compared to Lots C and D?
14	A Portions, yes.
15	Q Would you agree that some portions are not?
16	A Yes.
17	Q There's been some comments in public hearings
18	that the Via Panorama parkland is never used by the
19	public and has little value to the public compared to
20	Lots C and D.
21	Do you recall hearing those comments made by
22	the City Council?
23	A I remember those comments. I don't remember
24	who made them.
25	O Do you agree with those statements that Via

1 Panorama is never used by the public? 2 MR. PISANO: Objection to the extent it calls Lack of foundation. 3 for speculation. 4 THE WITNESS: No, I do not agree with that 5 statement. 6 BY MR. LEWIS: 7 Why not? 0 8 Because people do use the Parklands, and there Α 9 were people I remember complaining that they -- that 10 they hiked within those areas, and they were unable 11 to -- they did hike in those areas, and it would be 12 logical that they would hike through the Lugliani 13 property if it wasn't fenced. 14 0 Did you have any role in the preparation of 15 press releases that the City or other parties did about 16 the MOU? 17 Α I don't recall. 18 Do you recall anyone asking you for input on a 0 19 press release concerning this MOU? 20 Α No. 21 Do you recall that in early 2013 when the 22 Luglianis were applying for certain land use approvals 23 that there was intense public interest? 24 Α Yes. What was out last exhibit? 25 MR. LEWIS: Are we

```
1
    on 16?
              THE WITNESS: I have 15.
2
 3
              MR. LEWIS: Okay. So Exhibit 16 will be the
4
    next exhibit.
5
              (Deposition Exhibit 16 was marked for
6
              identification and is included herein.)
7
    BY MR. LEWIS:
8
              Sir, do you recognize Exhibit 16 as an email
          Q
9
    that you sent to Vickie Kroneberger on February 7th,
10
    2013?
11
          Α
              Yes.
12
              And Vickie, she was the former City clerk?
          Q
13
          Α
              Yes.
14
          Q
              You say,
15
              "Hi, Can I get a copy of this report, if you
16
              have it. Many people are asking questions
17
              about the whole transfer of land, and I would
18
              like to have a copy of the staff report at the
19
              front counter for their review."
              And the subject line is "Lots C and
20
21
              D/Lugliani."
22
              Does this refresh your memory that in February
23
    of 2013 there was a staff report pertaining to the
24
    transfer of land?
25
          Α
              Yes.
```

1	Q And did you author that staff report?
2	A I don't recall.
3	Q Let's take a look at Exhibit 17, which is that
4	staff report, which is our final exhibit for today.
5	(Deposition Exhibit 17 was marked for
6	identification and is included herein.)
7	BY MR. LEWIS:
8	Q I put before you Exhibit 17.
9	Do you recognize this as the staff report
10	prepared in February of 2013 pertaining to the Lugliani
11	application for land use approvals?
12	A Yes.
13	Q And you see it says it was from Robert M.
14	Smith. Is that an assistant city attorney who worked
15	with Christi Hogin?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Does this refresh your memory that you didn't
18	prepare the memo for this zone change?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Is that unusual for a lawyer, as opposed to a
21	planning director or a planner, to prepare a memo to
22	the Planning Commission pertaining to a land use
23	decision?
24	MR. DVEIRIN: Objection. Lack of foundation.
25	MR. PISANO: Join and vague.

```
1
              THE WITNESS: I don't recall --
2
             MR. PISANO: Hold on. Hold on.
 3
             Also leading.
4
              Go ahead, sir.
5
              THE WITNESS: I don't recall another staff
6
    report to the Commission from the attorneys' office.
7
    So, yes, it was unusual.
8
    BY MR. LEWIS:
9
              This was the first time that ever, during your
10
    tenure, that a city attorney as opposed to you or one
11
    of your staff prepared a staff report to the Planning
12
    Commission; true?
13
             MR. PISANO: Leading.
14
              THE WITNESS: This is the only one I recall.
15
    BY MR. LEWIS:
16
             Now, did you have any concerns about the
17
    land-use approvals that are described or sought in this
18
    memorandum?
19
             MR. PISANO: Objection. Vaque.
20
             MR. DVEIRIN: Join.
21
              THE WITNESS: I recall being concerned that
22
    for the findings within the code, it would be very
23
    difficult, if not impossible, to rezone the property as
24
    residential.
25
              I also recall it was confusing that there was
```

```
1
    a -- if I remember correctly, the fence -- a fence
2
    would be allowed around the perimeter of it, but there
3
    would be an open-space easement, if I remember
4
    correctly, and so I had -- I had concerns with the
5
    report, yes.
6
    BY MR. LEWIS:
7
              Let's put aside Christi Hogin and her staff.
8
    Do you recall ever communicating to someone within the
9
    City these concerns?
10
          Α
              Yes.
11
          Q
              Who did you communicate with?
12
         Α
              Judy Smith.
13
              What did you tell her?
          Q
14
              That I understood that there had been dialogue
          Α
15
    about rezoning this property as residential. I
16
    researched the code, and it required findings, and to
17
    make the rezone from open space to residential would be
18
    very difficult to comply with the City's code.
19
              What did she say in response?
          0
20
              Well, first of all, was that done verbally or
21
    in writing?
22
              I believe verbally.
          Α
23
              And what did she say in response?
          0
24
              She said, "We need to call Christi."
          Α
25
              Did she say anything else, putting aside the
          0
```

1	need to call Christi?
2	A Not that I can recall.
3	Q Were you asked by anybody to comment or modify
4	the contents of this staff memorandum we've been
5	looking at?
б	A I don't recall.
7	Q Can you think of any other interactions you
8	had within the City, putting aside Christi Hogin,
9	pertaining to concern you may have had about this
10	memorandum?
11	A No.
12	Q Did you attend this Planning Commission
13	meeting that's referenced in this memorandum?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Did the Planning Commission approve the
16	application sought here?
17	A I do not believe so.
18	Q Ultimately, this went to the City Council;
19	correct?
20	A Yes.
21	Q Did you attend that meeting?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Did the City Council approve the zoning
24	applications contained within this memorandum?
25	A I do not believe so.

1	Q Did you attend that meeting?
2	A Yes.
3	Q When you attended that meeting, do you recall
4	City Councilmembers or the City Attorney saying
5	anything to the public that caused you concern about
6	these proposed land-use decisions?
7	MR. PISANO: Objection. Vague.
8	THE WITNESS: I do not recall.
9	BY MR. LEWIS:
10	Q Do you have any I don't want you to guess.
11	Okay. Please don't guess.
12	Do you have any personal knowledge about what
13	types of financial expenditures the City was making to
14	maintain the Panorama parkland prior to May of 2012?
15	A Yes.
16	Q What kind of expenditures?
17	A The only expenditures would be annual brush
18	clearance in areas that were overgrown.
19	I'm going to go back on what I said. So could
20	you restate the question.
21	Q This question or the question before?
22	A The question that you asked about the
23	financial expenditures.
24	Q I asked if you had any personal knowledge back
25	in 2012 of what kind of financial expenditures the City

1	was making to maintain the Panorama parkland.
2	A None.
3	Q "None"? Why is that?
4	A Because we didn't have access to it.
5	Q Oh, okay. So would you agree with me that the
6	Panorama parkland has some portions that were
7	ultimately retained by the City, and some portions
8	that, pursuant to the MOU, were conveyed away from the
9	City?
10	Do you recall that?
11	A Yes.
12	Q The portion that was maintained by the City
13	and was not part of the MOU, did the City expend any
14	money to maintain those retained portions?
15	A Are you referring to the Panorama parkland,
16	the 1.7-acre approximate portion, or are you talking
17	about the parkland adjacent to that?
18	Q Adjacent.
19	A The only expenditures would have been for some
20	brush clearance.
21	Q And I don't want you to guess.
22	Do you know how much that cost on an annual
23	basis back in 2012?
24	A I believe we were spending around \$120,000 a
25	year for all the parkland within the City.

1	Q Now, I don't want you to guess, but do you
2	know what the anticipated expenditures were for Lots C
3	and D that were contemplated to become City-owned
4	property as part of the MOU?
5	MR. PISANO: Objection. Vague.
6	THE WITNESS: I do not know.
7	BY MR. LEWIS:
8	Q Are you aware, sir, that as part of the MOU,
9	it was contemplated that the City would obtain \$100,000
LO	in connection with the Via Panorama transaction?
L1	A Yes.
L2	Q Do you know whether or not the City ever
L3	received that \$100,000?
L4	A I do not know.
L5	Q Is it fair to say that you don't know what was
L6	done with that \$100,000 by the City; correct?
L7	A Correct.
L8	Q While you were with the City, were any
L9	improvements made to Lots C and D?
20	A No.
21	Q I'm wrapping up here. This is one of my final
22	areas of inquiry.
23	Were you aware of any changes that have been
24	made to the City Parkland Committee's scope following
5	the May 2012 approval of the MOU2

1	A Not that I recall.
2	Q By the way, don't guess, but was the MOU ever
3	presented to the City Parkland Committee for the
4	purpose of discussion by that body?
5	A Not that I recall.
6	Q Do you know why not?
7	MR. PISANO: Calls for speculation.
8	THE WITNESS: No.
9	BY MR. LEWIS:
10	Q You were familiar back in 2012 with the scope
11	of what the Parkland Committee does; right?
12	A Yes.
13	Q Does it seem to you that back in 2012 the
14	Parkland Committee would have been a natural body to
15	have commented on the MOU?
16	MR. PISANO: Objection. It's vague.
17	THE WITNESS: No.
18	BY MR. LEWIS:
19	Q Why not?
20	A Because the in my estimation this was more
21	of a land-use decision. It would have been more
22	appropriate to have gone to the Planning Commission.
23	Q Is it unusual that the MOU was not presented
24	at least to the Planning Commission before it was
25	presented to the City Council?

1	A There's no precedent for me to comment on
2	that.
3	Q Would you agree with me that the transactions
4	contemplated by the MOU were unprecedented by the City?
5	MR. DVEIRIN: Objection. Ambiguous.
6	MR. PISANO: Join.
7	MR. DVEIRIN: Lack of foundation.
8	MR. PISANO: And argumentative and leading.
9	THE WITNESS: I can't answer on behalf of the
10	City.
11	BY MR. LEWIS:
12	Q So you're sitting here today and testifying as
13	a private citizen, not on behalf of the City.
14	In your view, based on your knowledge that
15	you've acquired over the years about City transactions,
16	are transactions contemplated by the MOU unprecedented?
17	MR. PISANO: Same objections.
18	MR. DVEIRIN: Same objections.
19	THE WITNESS: They're the first of that kind
20	that I'm aware of.
21	MR. LEWIS: I'd like to take a 10-minute
22	break. I have about five minutes of questions left
23	probably, and then I'm going to pass the baton.
24	(A recess was taken.)
25	MR. LEWIS: Back on the record.

```
1
         0
             Final area of inquiry. You understand you're
2
    still under penalty of perjury?
 3
         Α
              Yes.
4
              Sir, at some point in 2014, I'll represent to
5
    you that there were some edits made to the City's
6
    website about the Parklands Committee, and what its
7
    role was, and my question for you is back in 2014 when
8
    you were still with the City, did you have any role in
9
    the modification to the City's website about the scope
10
    of the Parklands Committee?
11
             MR. PISANO: Objection. Vague. Lack of
12
    foundation.
13
              THE WITNESS: No.
14
    BY MR. LEWIS:
15
              Were you aware that back in 2015 the City's
         0
16
    website changed pertaining to the Parkland Committee's
17
    role?
18
             MR. PISANO: Lack of foundation.
19
              THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
20
             MR. LEWIS: I have nothing further.
21
             MR. PISANO: I have no questions.
22
                          That means I did a very good or a
             MR. LEWIS:
23
    very bad job. We'll find out later.
24
              MS. HOGIN: Thank you, Allan, for taking all
25
    this time this morning.
```

1	THE WITNESS: You're welcome.
2	MS. HOGIN: I appreciate it.
3	MR. LEWIS: I'd propose we relieve the court
4	reporter of her obligation to maintain custody of the
5	transcript.
6	That instead, the transcript, when it comes to
7	me, I'll send it to Mr. Rigg. He be given four weeks
8	to review it, make any corrections, sign it, return it
9	back to my office.
10	I'll notify all counsel of the signature and
11	any changes he makes to the transcript.
12	If for any reason the original is lost or not
13	signed, an unsigned certified copy can be used in its
14	place in this matter.
15	I'll maintain custody of the transcript and
16	produce it upon reasonable request for any hearing in
17	this matter.
18	So stipulated?
19	MR. PISANO: So stipulated on my end.
20	MR. DVEIRIN: So stipulated.
21	THE DEPOSITION OFFICER: Do you need a copy?
22	MR. PISANO: Yes.
23	THE DEPOSITION OFFICER: And I've got you
24	down.
25	MR. DVEIRIN: Thank you.

1	(Whereupon the deposition proceedings
2	were concluded at 11:57 A.M.)
3	* * * *
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	WITNESS' SIGNATURE PAGE UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY
2	* * * *
3	
4	
5	I hereby declare under penalty of perjury under
6	the laws of the State of California that the foregoing
7	transcript is my deposition under oath, are the
8	questions asked of me and my answers thereto; that I
9	have read same and have made the necessary
10	corrections, additions, deletions, or changes to my
11	answers that I deem necessary; that the foregoing is a
12	true and correct transcript of my testimony contained
13	therein.
14	
15	
16	Executed this day of ,
17	, at , California. (Year)
18	(CICY)
19	
20	
21	
22	Harold Allan Rigg
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE OF CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER
2	* * * *
3	I, TAMMY R. PAGEAU-HINES, CSR No. 8413 in
4	and for the State of California, do hereby certify:
5	That the foregoing proceedings were taken
6	before me at the time and place therein set forth, at
7	which time the witness was put under oath by me; that
8	the testimony of the witness and all objections made
9	at the time of the proceedings were recorded
10	stenographically by me and were thereafter transcribed
11	under my direction; that the foregoing is a true
12	record of the testimony and of all objections made at
13	the time of the proceedings.
14	I further certify that I am neither counsel
15	for nor related to any party to said action nor in
16	any way interested in the outcome thereof.
17	That dismantling, unsealing, or unbinding of
18	the original transcript will render the Reporter's
19	Certificate null and void.
20	Dated this 11th day of October, 2018.
21	
22	2
23	James R. Kagan-Kines
24	
25	TAMMY R. PAGEAU-HINES, CSR

611479