SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES - CENTRAL DISTRICT

DEPARTMENT 12 HON. BARBARA A. MEIERS, JUDGE CITIZENS FOR ENFORCEMENT OF PARKLAND COVENANTS AND JOHN A. HARBISON, PLAINTIFFS,) NO. BS142768 vs. CITY OF PALOS VERDES ESTATES, A MUNICIPAL CORPORATION; PALOS VERDES HOMES ASSOCIATION, A CALIFORNIA CORPORATION; ROBERT LUGLIANI AND DOLORES A. LUGLIANI, AS CO-TRUSTEES OF THE LUGLIANI TRUST; THOMAS J. LIEB,) TRUSTEE, THE VIA PANORAMA TRUST U/DO MAY 2, 2012 AND DOES 1 THROUGH 20, DEFENDANTS. REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS FRIDAY, MAY 29, 2015

APPEARANCES:

FOR PLAINTIFFS: BROEDLOW LEWIS LLP

BY: JEFFREY LEWIS, ESQ. 734 SILVER SPUR ROAD

SUITE 300

ROLLING HILLS ESTATES, CALIFORNIA 90274

FOR DEFENDANT CITY OF

PALOS VERDES ESTATES: JENKINS & HOGIN, LLP

BY: TARQUIN PREZIOSI, ESQ.

1230 ROSECRANS AVENUE

SUITE 110

MANHATTAN BEACH, CALIFORNIA 90266

TRISHA WIENER, CSR NO. 13576

1	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED	o):
2		
3	FOR DEFENDANT PALOS VE	ERDES
	HOMES ASSOCIATION:	LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH
4		BY: BRANT H. DVEIRIN, ESQ.
		633 WEST 5TH STREET
5		SUITE 4000
		LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90071
6		
	FOR DEFENDANTS ROBERT	
7	AND DOLORES LUGLIANI,	
	THOMAS J. LIEB:	ARMBRUSTER GOLDSMITH & DELVAC
8		BY: DAMON P. MAMALAKIS, ESQ.
		11611 SAN VICENTE BOULEVARD
9		SUITE 900
		LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90049
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
-		

1	CASE NUMBER:	3S142768
2	CASE NAME:	CITIZENS FOR ENFORCEMENT OF
3	I	PARKLAND COVENANTS, ET AL. vs.
4		CITY OF PALOS VERDES ESTATES, ET AL.
5	LOS ANGELES, CA	FRIDAY, MAY 29, 2015
6	DEPARTMENT 12	HON. BARBARA A. MEIERS, JUDGE
7	REPORTER:	TRISHA WIENER, CSR NO. 13576
8	TIME:	A.M. SESSION
9		
10	APPEARANCES:	AS HERETOFORE NOTED.
11		
12	THE COURT: OK	YY.
13	MR. DVEIRIN: 0	GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR.
14	THE COURT: IS	IT?
15	MR. DVEIRIN: I	PARDON MY VOICE. I'M A LITTLE BIT
16	UNDER THE WEATHER.	I DON'T KNOW IF MY OWN MOTHER WOULD
17	RECOGNIZE MY VOICE AT	THIS POINT.
18	THE COURT: WOU	JLD YOU ALL MAKE YOUR APPEARANCES,
19	PLEASE?	
20	MR. DVEIRIN: N	CEAH.
21	LEWIS BRI	ISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH,
22	BRANT DVEIRIN, ON BEH	HALF OF DEFENDANT PALOS VERDES
23	HOMES ASSOCIATION.	
24	MR. PREZIOSI:	TARQUIN PREZIOSI, JENKINS & HOGIN,
25	YOUR HONOR, ON BEHALE	F OF THE CITY OF PALOS VERDES
26	ESTATES.	
27	MR. MAMALAKIS:	DAMON MAMALAKIS ON BEHALF OF THE
28	LUGLIANIS AND THE TRU	JSTEE TOM LIEB.
	•	

MR. LEWIS: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR. 1 2 JEFFREY LEWIS FOR THE PLAINTIFFS. THE COURT: YOU CAN BE SEATED. 3 MY TENTATIVE WAS TO GRANT THE PLAINTIFF'S 4 MOTION PROBABLY AS TO EVERYBODY, OTHER THAN THE CITY. 5 I'M NOT CERTAIN ABOUT THE CITY. THERE'S AN ISSUE THAT 6 7 NOBODY HAS ADDRESSED. LET ME GO TO AN ASIDE BEFORE I TALK ABOUT 8 THAT. IS THERE SOME DISTINCTION BETWEEN "SCHOOL 9 DISTRICT" AND "THE CITY"? ISN'T THE SCHOOL DISTRICT A 10 11 PART OF THE CITY? MR. LEWIS: THEY ARE SEPARATE ENTITIES, YOUR 12 HONOR. 13 14 THE COURT: TOTALLY SEPARATE? MR. LEWIS: IT'S A SUBDIVISION OF THE STATE OF 15 CALIFORNIA. BOTH THE CITY AND THE SCHOOL DISTRICTS ARE 16 17 THE SAME IN THAT THEY ARE SUBDIVISIONS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, BUT THEY ARE DISTINCT, SEPARATE POLITICAL 18 19 BODIES. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. HAVE A SEAT. 20 FIRST, THERE'S A LOT OF LOOSE LANGUAGE 21 22 ABOUT THE CITY'S INTEREST HAVING REVERTED BACK TO THE HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION. IT DID NOT REVERT BACK. IT WAS 23 A TRANSFER. THE REVERSION BACK IS A UNILATERAL ACT, IN 24 MY VIEW. THAT'S WHERE THE HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION SAYS, 25 26 "WE'RE GIVING YOU NOTICE, HEADS UP, WE ARE RECLAIMING 27 THIS PROPERTY TO WHICH YOU HOLD TITLE BECAUSE YOU HAVE VIOLATED THE RESTRICTIONS ON IT." AND THEIR ONLY RIGHT 28

AND POWER TO EXERCISE THAT REVERSIONARY INTEREST IS TO

CORRECT THAT VIOLATION OF RESTRICTIONS. THAT IS NOT

WHAT HAPPENED HERE AT ALL. THIS WAS A VOLUNTARY

TRANSFER AND ACCEPTANCE OF THIS PARCEL. SO ALL THIS

TALK ABOUT THERE HAVING BEEN A REVERSION, IN MY VIEW, IS

TOTALLY WRONG.

SO THEN WE HAVE TO LOOK AT WHAT HAPPENED IN 1940 WHEN THE HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION BASICALLY GOT OUT OF THE BUSINESS OF MANAGING THESE PARKS AND EVERYTHING. THERE HAD TO HAVE BEEN CITY ORDINANCES PASSED AT THAT TIME AUTHORIZING THE CITY TO ACQUIRE THESE PARCELS. THERE HAD TO BE SOME AGREEMENT ABOUT WHAT WAS TO BE PAID. THERE HAD TO BE SOME AGREEMENT ABOUT UNDERTAKING TO MANAGE AND CARE FOR THESE PARKS, PROBABLY IN PERPETUITY. THERE PROBABLY WERE A WHOLE BUNCH OF OTHER TERMS IN A VERY COMPLEX TRANSACTION AS TO HOW THIS WAS GOING TO BE ACCOMPLISHED.

THE TRANSACTION ULTIMATELY WOULD HAVE BEEN,
I ANTICIPATE, AS A CONTRACT BETWEEN PALOS VERDES
ADMINISTRATION AND THE CITY OF PALOS VERDES.

THIS TAKES ME TO THE BODY OF LAW THAT YOU
HAVE NOT ADDRESSED. THERE'S A HUGE BODY OF VERY COMPLEX
CASE LAW ABOUT WHEN CITIES WEAR THEIR PRIVATE CITIZEN
HATS AND CONTRACTS THEY MAKE, BASICALLY STANDING IN THE
SAME SHOES OR POSTURE AS A PRIVATE CITIZEN, AND THEN
THERE'S A SECOND LAYER -- AND HOW THE COURT MAY GOVERN
THOSE CONTRACTS. CAN THE COURT ENJOIN A CITY FOR
BREACHING IT? CAN THE COURT TELL IT TO DO THIS OR TELL

1 IT TO DO THAT? OR IS THE CITY ONLY GOING TO BE ABLE TO
2 BE HELD LIABLE FOR DAMAGES FOR THE BREACH OF THAT
3 PRIVATE CONTRACT, IF YOU WILL?

AND LAYERED OVER THAT IS A WHOLE LINE OF
CASES ABOUT WHEN A GOVERNING BODY MAY BE LET OUT OF A
PRIVATE CONTRACT DUE TO EXIGENCIES THAT FORCE THE CITY
TO UTILIZE ITS POLICE POWER, OKAY, AND WHEN THEY CAN'T
UTILIZE THEIR POLICE POWER TO EITHER PREVENT THE
ENJOINING OF THE BREAKING OF A PRIVATE CONTRACT OR TO
OTHERWISE SET IT ASIDE. AND IF THEY DO USE THAT POLICE
POWER, THIS LEVEL THREE, THE COURTS MAY SAY, OKAY, WE
CAN'T STOP YOU FROM PASSING THIS MOST RECENT ORDINANCE
THAT INTERFERES WITH THAT CONTRACT, BUT THE OTHER PARTY
TO THAT CONTRACT IS GOING TO HAVE A RIGHT TO DAMAGES.
RIGHT? A VERY COMPLEX AREA AND DEVELOPING AREA.

SO TENTATIVELY, IN THIS COURT'S VIEW, THE CITY WAS WEARING ITS PRIVATE CITIZEN HAT AND ENTERING INTO WHATEVER CONTRACT IT HAD WITH PALOS VERDES, ALBEIT APPROVED BY THE CITY TO ENTER INTO IT, TO UNDERTAKE THE HANDLING AND MANAGING OF ALL THESE PARKS AND COLLECT TAXES, I GUESS, WITH REGARD THERETO.

SO I'M NOT SURE THAT THE CITY IS, QUOTE,
"OUT OF IT" BECAUSE IT SIGNED THIS DEED SENDING THINGS
BACK. I'M NOT SURE IT COULD SEND THINGS BACK. I'M NOT
SURE IF THIS CONTRACT, WHATEVER IT WAS, HAS TO BE
ENFORCED WHERE THE CITY DEED OVER TO THE HOMEOWNERS
ASSOCIATION GETS VACATED AND SET ASIDE BECAUSE IT WAS
NOT A RECALL OR WHATEVER YOU WANT TO CALL IT AND THAT IT

1 MAY STILL END UP WHERE THE DEED IS STILL IN THE CITY,
2 THE CITY IS STILL ENCUMBERED.

YOU GUYS DIDN'T ADDRESS ALL THAT ON EITHER SIDE. AND I'M PROBABLY GOING TO CALL FOR A SUBMISSION OF FURTHER AUTHORITIES ON BOTH SIDES, BUT IT WOULD ALSO BE A WHOLE NEW FACTUAL PRESENTATION. SO IT MIGHT BE THAT I HAVE TO DENY THE SUMMARY JUDGMENT AS TO THE CITY RIGHT NOW BUT WITHOUT PREJUDICE TO IT BEING REASSERTED, POSSIBLY IN DIFFERENT AND I THINK MAYBE CORRECT TERMS, BECAUSE NOBODY ADDRESSED IT. YOU DIDN'T GIVE ME THE FACTS. I DON'T KNOW WHAT'S GOING ON WITH THAT.

MR. LEWIS: YOUR HONOR, IF I COULD BE HEARD AGAIN FOR THE PLAINTIFFS.

ALL IF IT WERE INFORMED THAT THE ONLY DOCUMENT THAT

I'M AWARE OF BETWEEN THE CITY AND THE ASSOCIATION ON THE

ISSUE OF TAKING THESE PARKS AND MAINTAINING THESE PARKS

IS A RESOLUTION 12, IT WAS EXHIBIT 8 IN OUR PAPERS, THAT

SAID, "WE, THE CITY, AGREE TO TAKE THIS PROPERTY UNDER

ALL THESE CONDITIONS"? AND THAT'S IT, AS FAR AS I KNOW.

THE CITY ATTORNEY IS HERE. HE CAN EXPLAIN FURTHER. AS

FAR AS I KNOW, AFTER THAT 1940 RESOLUTION BY THE CITY

ACCEPTING THE PROPERTY, THERE WAS NO CONTRACT. THERE

WERE NO SUBSEQUENT AGREEMENTS.

THE COURT: I MUST HAVE MISSED THIS EXHIBIT 8.

MR. LEWIS: YOUR HONOR, IT'S THE HARDEST-TO-READ

EXHIBIT. IT'S IN REVERSE PRINT, BLACK AND WHITE, AND

IT'S PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 8.

1	THE COURT: PLAINTIFF'S A?
2	MR. DVEIRIN: 8.
3	MR. LEWIS: 8, YOUR HONOR.
4	THE COURT: WELL, I DON'T HAVE THE RIGHT ONE.
5	MR. LEWIS: YOUR HONOR, IT MAY BE UNDER TAB 10 IN
6	OUR NOTEBOOK.
7	THE COURT: IT SAYS "EVIDENCE IN SUPPORT OF
8	PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT." 10 IS BLACK
9	WITH WHITE.
10	MR. LEWIS: THAT IS THE DOCUMENT I WAS REFERRING
11	TO, AND SPECIFICALLY AT PAGE
12	THE COURT: HOLD ON.
13	WOULD YOU HOLD OFF THE TINKY-TINK FOR A
14	MINUTE THERE?
15	OKAY. IT DOES HAVE A PROVISION THAT THE
16	GRANT, LIKE THE ORIGINAL TRUST, IS TO BE FOREVER.
17	ALSO, THERE'S AN ODD PROVISION THAT ALL
18	LAND "AND TEND TO THE PARTIES THAT TITLE TO ALL LAND
19	UNDER, WITHIN ALL STREETS, ALLEYS, WALKS, ROADS, AND
20	HIGHWAYS IS RESERVED." OKAY. THAT'S NOT THE PARKLAND.
21	WHERE WAS THAT LINE THAT SAID IT WAS
22	GRANTED FOREVER? ARE YOU AWARE OF WHERE THAT IS?
23	MR. LEWIS: YOUR HONOR, I BELIEVE IT'S ON PAGE 22
24	OF 25 AND PAGE 23 OF 25 WHERE
25	THE COURT: IT'S EARLIER THAN THAT. I HADN'T EVEN
26	READ THAT FAR.
27	BUT ON 22? WHERE?
28	MR. LEWIS: TOWARDS THE BOTTOM OF THE PAGE ON THE

1 SIDE, THERE'S A PARAGRAPH MARKER 388. AND I CIRCLED IT 2 WITH A BOX. THE COURT: NO. THERE'S A 57 AND 68, A 78 OR 18. 3 MR. LEWIS: YOUR HONOR, IF IT SAYS PAGE 22 OF 25 4 5 AT THE BOTTOM --THE COURT: I SEE IT HERE. 6 7 NO, THAT'S SEPARATE. THERE'S SIMPLY A LINE THAT SAYS THAT THE GRANT IS IN PERPETUITY. 8 AT ANY RATE, I'D HAVE TO GO THROUGH THIS 9 WITH MORE CARE, BUT I THINK THERE WAS CLEARLY NO 10 11 EXERCISE OF ANY REVERSIONARY RIGHT HERE. SO I DON'T KNOW WHERE THAT'S GOING TO LEAVE US. 12 LET ME HEAR FROM THE PROPONENT OF THIS 13 14 MOTION FIRST. MR. LEWIS: YOUR HONOR, DO I UNDERSTAND THE 15 TENTATIVE WAS TO GRANT MY MOTION AS TO EVERYBODY EXCEPT 16 17 THE CITY? THE COURT: YES, BASICALLY. 18 MR. LEWIS: LET ME SPEAK ON THE ISSUE OF THE CITY. 19 20 I BELIEVE THIS CASE STANDS ON ALL FOUR SQUARES --THE COURT: ALTHOUGH I'M NOT SURE OF THE LANGUAGE 21 22 THAT I WOULD UTILIZE IN THE DECLARATORY RELIEF ACTION OR WHO I'M GOING TO REQUIRE TO PAY FOR WHAT. THERE ARE INS 23 AND OUTS THERE. 24 I WOULD SUGGEST TO YOU THAT THERE IS ONE 25 26 WAY OF SETTLING THIS MATTER THAT YOU MAYBE HAVE NOT 27 THOUGHT ABOUT, AND THAT IS BY MEANS OF DEDICATION. IF

THE LOT WERE TO REMAIN HELD BY THE PRIVATE OWNERS BUT

28

1 THE GATES WERE TO ALL BE TAKEN DOWN AND REMOVED, THEN 2 ANYTHING THAT THEY HAVE PUT ON THE PROPERTY WOULD ALSO BE DEDICATED TO PUBLIC USE. 3 IN SHORT, IT WOULD NO LONGER BE THEIR 4 PRIVATE BACKYARD, BUT THEY WOULD HAVE THE ABILITY TO USE 5 THE GAZEBO OR THE FIREPIT OR WHATEVER, JUST LIKE ANY 6 7 OTHER MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC COULD. IT WOULD NOT MEAN TEARING DOWN SUPPORT WALLS AND THINGS OF THAT NATURE. 8 AND IF THE OWNERS --9 IF I'M CORRECT IN MY ASSESSMENT, PROBABLY 10 THERE WOULDN'T BE MUCH PUBLIC USE OF THIS PARTICULAR 11 STRETCH. SO YOU COULD PRESERVE ALL THE PARKWAY --12 MR. LEWIS: YOUR HONOR, THAT'S AN INTRIGUING IDEA. 13 14 THE COURT: -- ACCESS AND EVERYTHING ELSE AND JUST HAVE IT DEDICATED. AND ONCE IT'S DEDICATED, THAT'S A 15 PERMANENT SOLUTION AS WELL. 16 17 MR. LEWIS: YOUR HONOR, THAT'S AN INTRIGUING IDEA, AND I WILL PURSUE THAT WITH COUNSEL IN THE HALLWAY AFTER 18 19 THIS HEARING. ON THE ISSUE OF WHAT WOULD THE JUDGMENT 20 LOOK LIKE IF THE MOTION WERE GRANTED, WHAT I ENVISIONED 21 22 WAS SUBMITTING A JUDGMENT GRANTING DECLARATORY RELIEF 23 THAT THE TWO SEPTEMBER 2012 DEEDS ARE HEREBY VOID AND HAVE NO FORCE AND EFFECT. THAT IS THE JUDGMENT THAT WE 24 25 WANT. 26 THE COURT: MEANING TITLE WOULD BE LEFT WHERE? 27 MR. LEWIS: WITH THE CITY. AND IF THE CITY AT THAT POINT WANTED TO TRY AGAIN AND SEND IT TO THE 28

1 PALOS VERDES LAND CONSERVANCY OR SEND IT TO THE 2 LUGLIANIS WITH THE IDEA OF A PUBLIC DEDICATION, THEN WE MIGHT BE IN A DIFFERENT POSTURE, BUT TITLE WOULD BE LEFT 3 WITH THE CITY AS IT WAS BEFORE THIS TRANSACTION WAS 4 5 ENTERED INTO. THE COURT: WELL, THERE WAS NO QUESTION, UNLESS 6 7 THERE WAS SOME EFFORT TO ELIMINATE IT IN THE DEED WE 8 JUST REFERRED TO, THAT ANY PRIVATE HOMEOWNER IN THE TRACT HAS THE ABSOLUTE RIGHT INDIVIDUALLY TO ENFORCE 9 THESE RESTRICTIONS. 10 ADDITIONALLY, SOMETIMES IN THE DOCUMENTS IT 11 REFERS TO RESIDENTS ALSO HAVING SUCH A RIGHT. YOU COULD 12 13 HAVE A TENANT SOMEWHERE WHO'S A RESIDENT, NOT A 14 HOMEOWNER. THEY MIXED THEM UP, BOTH IN SOME AREAS, ONE IN ANOTHER. 15 CERTAINLY OUR PLAINTIFF IS HOME FREE IN 16 17 TERMS OF BEING ABLE TO ACT AS WELL. AND SINCE HE CAN ACT, THE QUESTION IS WHETHER HE COULD COMMIT WHAT WOULD 18 NOT BE A TRESPASS IF DONE BY THE HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION, 19 20 BUT MIGHT BE A TRESPASS IF DONE BY HIM, TO GO IN AND REMOVE ALL OF THE STRUCTURES. 21 22 AND YOU STILL NEED TO GET TITLE MOVED BACK FROM THE PRIVATE PARTIES INTO THE PUBLIC ARENA. 23 WHOEVER IS THE PUBLIC ARENA, THEY ARE GOING TO GET STUCK 24 25 WITH REMOVING EVERYTHING AND PAYING FOR IT.

COULD, BY SOME ATTEMPT AT ZONING, CHANGE THE ZONES FOR

THESE AREAS. MY TENTATIVE ANSWER TO THAT IS NO. AND,

THERE WAS A QUESTION ABOUT WHETHER THE CITY

26

27

28

1 AGAIN, IF YOU GO INTO THAT LINE OF CASE LAW THAT 2 I TALKED TO YOU ABOUT WITH PRIVATE -- THE CITY ACTING LIKE A PRIVATE CONTRACTOR IN A CONTRACT --3 ONE EXAMPLE MIGHT BE WHERE THE CITY GIVES 4 CERTAIN OIL RIGHTS AND ABILITY TO DEVELOP OIL. AS IT 5 TURNS OUT, THESE EXERCISES OF THE OIL RIGHTS ARE 6 7 CREATING MASSIVE SEEPAGE OF THIS, THAT, AND THE OTHER, 8 AND IT'S BECOME A REAL PUBLIC HEALTH HAZARD. WOULD A COURT BE PERMITTED TO ENJOIN AN EXERCISE OF THE POLICE 9 POWER THAT SAYS THERE'S A NEW ORDINANCE, YOU CANNOT HAVE 10 OIL WELLS IN THIS AREA? 11 IT BREACHES THEIR CONTRACT, IT DEFEATS 12 THEIR CONTRACT. BUT THE COURTS WOULD GENERALLY ANSWER, 13 14 YES, THERE'S AN OVERRIDING HEALTH AND PUBLIC ISSUE THAT THIS ZONING IS NOT GOING TO BE ENJOINED, THIS 15 TERMINATION OF USE CANNOT BE ENJOINED BECAUSE OF THE 16 17 OVERWHELMING PUBLIC NEED. THEY MIGHT IN THOSE CASES ALSO SAY THERE 18 WILL BE NO RIGHT OF RECOVERY OF DAMAGES BECAUSE ALL 19 20 PARTIES KNEW OR SHOULD HAVE KNOWN WHEN THEY ENTERED INTO THIS PRIVATE CONTRACT THAT OIL SPILLAGE COULD BECOME 21 POTENTIALLY A FUTURE PROBLEM THAT WOULD REQUIRE A 22 23 SHUTDOWN. RIGHT? BUT IN SCENARIOS WHERE THE PRIVATE PARTIES 24 25 WOULD HAVE NO REASON TO CONTEMPLATE, THAT THE CITY 26 DECIDED TO RE-ZONE SOMETHING BECAUSE THE MAYOR WANTED TO 27 PUT HIS HOUSE THERE, YOU WOULD DEFINITELY END UP WITH DAMAGES AND YOU WOULD PROBABLY END UP WITH AN INJUNCTION 28

1 BECAUSE THERE WOULD BE NO SUPPORTABLE USE OF THE POLICE 2 POWER FOR THAT PURPOSE. SO MY FEELING AT THIS MOMENT, I HATE TO 3 TALK ABOUT FEELINGS IN MAKING RULINGS, BUT MY FEELING AT 4 THIS MOMENT IS PROBABLY THE CITY WOULD GET ESTOPPED BY 5 THIS COURT THROUGH AN INJUNCTIVE ORDER FOR TRYING TO 6 7 RE-ZONE THIS OR DO ANYTHING ELSE THAT WOULD INTERFERE WITH THESE RESTRICTIONS ON THE PROPERTY OR THE 8 ENFORCEABILITY THEREOF. 9 I'M SORRY. I INTERRUPTED YOU, BUT YOU 10 NEEDED TO KNOW THE REST OF IT. 11 MR. LEWIS: YOUR HONOR, MY FINAL THOUGHT ON THIS 12 SUBJECT GIVEN THE TENTATIVE IS I WANTED TO EMPHASIZE WE 13 14 ARE NOT SEEKING DAMAGES AGAINST THE CITY OR THE 15 ASSOCIATION. THE COURT: I KNOW THAT. 16 17 MR. LEWIS: AND I WILL SAY --THE COURT: BUT YOU ARE. YOU'RE SEEKING AN ORDER 18 THAT THEY PAY FOR IT. YOUR CLIENT DOESN'T WANT TO PAY 19 TO REMOVE ALL THIS STUFF. 20 MR. LEWIS: VERY GOOD, YOUR HONOR. I SEE THAT 21 22 POINT. I RECOGNIZE THAT. I JUST WANTED TO SAY THAT THE JUDGMENT THAT 23 I SUBMIT, SHOULD WE PREVAIL, WOULD NOT INCLUDE A PRAYER 24 25 FOR DAMAGES. 26 THE COURT: RIGHT. 27 MR. LEWIS: THE FINAL POINT I'LL SAY IS ON THIS

ISSUE OF SETTLEMENT. I'LL LET YOU KNOW IN OUR CITY OR

28

1 ON THE PENINSULA, THERE WAS AN ISSUE WITH DONALD TRUMP 2 ERECTING A GIANT, HUGE FLAGPOLE ON PRIVATE PROPERTY. AND THE CITY WANTED TO REGULATE THAT. IT WAS GOING TO 3 BE A BIG CONSTITUTIONAL LAWSUIT. THE PARTIES SETTLED 4 IT, AND THE WAY THEY SETTLED IT IS IT WAS DEDICATED AS A 5 PUBLIC PARK. DONALD TRUMP STILL OWNS THAT FLAGPOLE, BUT 6 7 THE PUBLIC IS ABLE TO COME INTO THE PARK AT ALL TIMES. THEY SETTLED THAT CASE AND AVOIDED LITIGATION. 8 I WAS REMINDED OF THAT SETTLEMENT WHEN YOU 9 WERE JUST SPEAKING, AND I'LL HAVE A SINCERE CONVERSATION 10 WITH COUNSEL IN THE HALLWAY ABOUT THAT. 11 THE COURT: WELL, I'VE BEEN AROUND FOR A LOT OF 12 YEARS, SO ALL THESE ARCHAIC CONCEPTS GET STUCK IN THE 13 14 BACK OF MY MIND, LIKE DEDICATION. EASEMENTS HAVE NOTHING TO DO WITH ANYTHING, 15 BY THE WAY. YOU GUYS TALKED ABOUT SERVITUDES AND 16 17 EASEMENTS. THIS IS NOT AN EASEMENT CASE. THESE RESTRICTIONS ARE NOT EASEMENTS. 18 OKAY. SO I'LL HEAR FROM YOU. 19 20 MR. PREZIOSI: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: AND THERE'S THE CROSS-MOTION, SO 21 22 YOU'RE ADVANCING YOUR ARGUMENTS ON THE CROSS-MOTION AND 23 YOUR DEFENSIVE ARGUMENTS TO HIS MOTION AT THE SAME TIME, IF YOU WOULD. 24 THEN I'LL GIVE HIM THE LAST WORD. 25 26 MR. PREZIOSI: YOUR HONOR, I'LL RESPOND 27 SPECIFICALLY RIGHT NOW TO THE ARGUMENTS THAT COUNSEL RAISED AND THE QUESTIONS THAT THE COURT RAISED. 28

AS TO THE RESOLUTION THAT'S EXHIBIT

NUMBER 8 THAT WE'VE BEEN TALKING ABOUT, ALL THAT IS IS A

RESOLUTION -- IT'S A DECLARATION BY THE CITY TO ACCEPT

THE LOTS AT ISSUE HERE. THE CITY JUST ACCEPTS THE LOTS.

IT'S A RESOLUTION, NOT A LAW. THE CITY ACCEPTS THE

LOTS. THE CITY AGREES THAT THE DEED RESTRICTIONS ARE

CONTROLLING. IT ACCEPTS THE LOTS WITH THE DEED

RESTRICTIONS.

INSTRUCTIVE ON THIS ISSUE IS THE WELWOOD

CASE BECAUSE WHAT THE CITY DID IN 2012, IT QUITCLAIMED

THE PROPERTY BACK TO THE ASSOCIATION, ORIGINAL GRANTOR

OF THE ASSOCIATION. AND UNDER WELWOOD, AND I QUOTE, IT

SAYS, "LEGISLATIVE ACTION MAY NOT BE ENJOINED BUT THAT

BREACH OF A TRUST MAY BE ENJOINED, WHEN READ TOGETHER,

COMPEL THE FOLLOWING CONCLUSION. AN INJUNCTION WILL NOT

LIE TO PREVENT THE CITY FROM MAKING EXPRESS LEGISLATIVE

DETERMINATION THAT IT WOULD BE IN THE BEST INTEREST OF

THE CITY AND ITS CITIZENS TO CEASE USING THE PROPERTY,"

FOR LIBRARY PURPOSES IN THAT CASE, "AND ALLOW THE

PROPERTY TO VIRTUALLY REVERT TO THE GRANTOR'S HEIRS."

THAT IS IN ESSENCE WHAT THE CITY DID. THE
CITY QUITCLAIMED PROPERTY BACK TO THE ASSOCIATION. THIS
WAS DULY NOTICED AT A PUBLIC HEARING. THIS WAS VOTED ON
BY THE CITY COUNCIL. THERE IS NO EVIDENCE IN THE RECORD
THERE WAS ANYTHING BUT. PLAINTIFF HAS NOT PUT FORTH ANY
ARGUMENT OR EVIDENCE THAT THIS WAS NOT A PROPER MEETING,
THAT THIS WAS NOT PROPERLY DONE. THE CITY DELIBERATED
UPON IT. THE CITY FINALLY CAME TO THE CONCLUSION THAT

1 IT WANTED THE PROPERTY TO REVERT BACK -- NOT TO REVERT, 2 TO QUITCLAIM THE PROPERTY BACK TO THE ASSOCIATION. SO WHETHER IT REVERTS BACK TO THE 3 ASSOCIATION, WHICH IS THE PRIMARY REMEDY UNDER THE DEED, 4 OR THE CITY GIVING IT BACK TO THE ASSOCIATION, IT 5 DOESN'T MAKE A DIFFERENCE BECAUSE UNDER WELWOOD, IT WAS 6 7 GIVEN BACK TO THE GRANTOR. SO THE RESOLUTION RETENTION FROM 1940, EXHIBIT 8, DOES NOT BIND THE CITY TO HOLD 8 THIS LAND FOREVER IN PERPETUITY. 9 THE COURT: I'M NOT SURE OF THAT. THE LANGUAGE 10 SAYS THAT IT'S GOING TO BE IN PERPETUITY. 11 MR. PREZIOSI: THAT IS A RESOLUTION OF THE 12 CITY COUNCIL. THAT IS NOT A LAW. THE CITY COUNCIL 13 14 PASSED --THE COURT: WHAT IS A RESOLUTION? THIS IS JUST 15 PERMISSION TO THE CITY TO BUY IT; IS THAT IT? 16 17 MR. PREZIOSI: THE CITY DIDN'T BUY IT. THE COURT: IT'S NOT A LAW? 18 19 MR. PREZIOSI: IT'S NOT A LAW. THE COURT: THEY GAVE THEM \$10 FOR IT. COME ON. 20 MR. PREZIOSI: THEY DIDN'T GIVE THEM \$10 FOR IT. 21 22 THE COURT: IT RECITES THEY DID, AND RECITALS ARE 23 BINDING. MR. PREZIOSI: IT WAS SOLD TO THE CITY FOR \$10. 24 THE CITY ACCEPTED IT. ALL THE RESOLUTION DOES IS 25 26 ACCEPTS THE DEED RESTRICTIONS THAT WERE ON THE PROPERTY, 27 BUT THAT DOESN'T COMPEL THE CITY TO OWN IT FOREVER. CITY HAS --28

THE COURT: IT DOES SAY THE GRANT IS FOREVER. 1 2 MR. PREZIOSI: WELL, IT MAY HAVE --WELL, WE MAY HAVE THE RESOLUTION --3 THE COURT: AND THERE ARE ONLY CERTAIN 4 CIRCUMSTANCES IN WHICH SOMETHING CAN GO BACK TO THE 5 GRANTOR. ONE IS THAT THE CITY DISAPPEARS. ANOTHER IS 6 7 IF THE GRANTOR WANTS IT BACK BECAUSE YOU'VE BEEN 8 VIOLATING TERMS, BUT THERE'S NOTHING THAT PROVIDES FOR A GRANT-BACK. 9 MR. PREZIOSI: I DISAGREE, YOUR HONOR. 10 THE CITY HAS THE POWER UNDER LAW TO ACCEPT 11 12 AND DISPOSE OF REAL PROPERTY UNDER THE GOVERNMENT CODE, AND THAT IS WHAT IT DID HERE. IT GAVE IT BACK TO THE 13 14 GRANTOR. JUST BECAUSE THE CITY COUNCIL IN 1940 15 PASSES A RESOLUTION THAT SAYS, "WE WANT TO HOLD THIS 16 17 FOREVER, " THAT CANNOT ESTOP, THAT CANNOT BIND THE HANDS, CANNOT TIE THE HANDS OF FUTURE COUNCIL TO SAY, "WE NO 18 LONGER WISH TO OWN THIS PROPERTY," WHICH IS WHAT THE 19 CITY COUNCIL IN 2012 DID. IN ESSENCE, IT SAID, "WE NO 20 LONGER WISH TO OWN THIS PROPERTY, WE ARE GIVING IT BACK 21 22 TO THE GRANTOR WHO HELD THE REVERSIONARY INTEREST." SO IN THEORY, IF THE CITY HAD CEASED TO USE 23 IT FOR PARK PURPOSES, THE GRANTOR UNDER BOTH THE 1940 24 25 DEEDS AS WELL AS THE RESOLUTION WOULD HAVE HAD THE RIGHT 26 TO COME IN AND SAY, "NO, WE WANT IT BACK." THAT, OF 27 COURSE, WAS NOT NECESSARY BECAUSE THE CITY GAVE IT BACK AND THE HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION WANTED IT BACK. SO THAT 28

1	IS, IN ESSENCE, WHAT THE MOU DID AND WHAT THE 2012 GRANT
2	DEED, GAVE IT BACK TO THE GRANTOR.
3	CAN I
4	WOULD YOU LIKE MORE ON THAT ISSUE, OR WOULD
5	YOU LIKE ME TO MOVE ON TO THE CITY'S CROSS-MOTION?
6	THE COURT: IS THERE ONE PERSON ARGUING?
7	YOU'RE REPRESENTING?
8	MR. DVEIRIN: I REPRESENT THE HOMEOWNERS
9	ASSOCIATION. I'M ONLY IN OPPOSITION
10	THE COURT: OKAY. SO LET HIM FINISH.
11	MR. PREZIOSI: YOUR HONOR, WOULD YOU LIKE ME TO
12	ADDRESS THE CITY'S CROSS-MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AT
13	THIS TIME?
14	THE COURT: YES, IF YOU WISH.
15	BY THE WAY, THERE WAS SUPPOSEDLY A BUNCH OF
16	EXHIBITS TO THE DECLARATION OF HANSEN. THERE ARE NONE.
17	MR. PREZIOSI: ARE YOU REFERRING TO HARBISON,
18	YOUR HONOR?
19	THE COURT: YEAH, I GUESS.
20	MR. DVEIRIN: THE ORIGINAL DECLARATION
21	ALL EXHIBITS THAT PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL IS
22	REFERRING TO ARE ATTACHED TO THE HARBISON DECLARATION
23	WITH EXHIBIT 8, 9, 10, ALL THOSE.
24	THE COURT: YEAH, THEY'RE NOT
25	MR. LEWIS: THE ONE WE WERE JUST LOOKING AT,
26	YOUR HONOR. THE BLACK-AND-WHITE, TERRIBLE, HARD-TO-READ
27	DOCUMENT.
28	THE COURT: THAT'S IT?

MR. DVEIRIN: WELL, THERE'S A BUNCH OF THEM. 1 2 MR. LEWIS: THAT WAS 8 OF 20-SEVERAL. THE COURT: I DON'T KNOW. I READ A DECLARATION 3 THAT SAID THIS IS ATTACHED AS EXHIBIT THIS, THIS IS 4 ATTACHED AS EXHIBIT THAT. I THOUGHT IT WAS HANSEN. AND 5 THERE WAS NOTHING ATTACHED. SO --6 7 MR. PREZIOSI: YOUR HONOR, AS TO THE CITY'S MOTION 8 FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT, THE CROSS-MOTION, I AM PREPARED TO SUBMIT ON THE CITY'S PAPERWORK. I WILL RESPOND TO ANY 9 QUESTIONS THE COURT HAS. OR AT THE COURT'S PLEASURE, 10 11 I CAN BRIEFLY STATE THE MOTION. THE COURT: BOTH PARTIES REPEATEDLY CITED TO THEIR 12 SEPARATE STATEMENTS OF UNDISPUTED FACTS. YOU'RE BETWEEN 13 14 A ROCK AND A HARD SPOT BECAUSE EVERY COURT DOES EVERYTHING DIFFERENTLY, BUT I RECOMMEND YOU NOT DO THAT 15 EVER AGAIN IN ANY COURT. 16 17 WHAT MAKES YOU THINK THE JUDGE WANTS TO BE READING THROUGH AND SEE SOME IMPORTANT POINT, "IN JUNE, 18 DA, DA, DA HAPPENED," AND INSTEAD OF GIVING ME EXHIBIT A 19 20 TO THE UMPTEENTH DECLARATION, YOU SAY, "GO TO OUR SEPARATE STATEMENT, UNDISPUTED FACT THING"? SO I'VE GOT 21 22 TO GO OVER THERE. THEN I HAVE TO LOOK IT UP OVER THERE. THEN I HAVE TO FIND WHAT DOCUMENT THAT SUPPOSEDLY TELLS 23 ME NOW TO GO TO. 24 25 WHY WOULD I WANT TO DO THAT WHEN I HAVE 26 A STACK OF PAPERS TWO FEET HIGH? 27 MR. LEWIS: UNDERSTOOD, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: YOU WERE SUPPOSED TO CITE TO FACTS IN 28

1	YOUR PAPERWORK, NOT TO SOME OTHER SOURCE THAT WILL TAKE
2	YOU TO ANOTHER FACT. DON'T DO THAT. DON'T DO IT.
3	I DON'T CARE IF OTHER JUDGES LET YOU DO IT. I CANNOT
4	IMAGINE THAT ANY OF THEM ARE GOING TO BE HAPPY WITH IT
5	BECAUSE IT IMPOSES ON US THIS DOUBLE EFFORT. AND WE'RE
6	NOT TALKING ABOUT LITTLE PIECES OF PAPER LIKE THIS.
7	WE'RE TALKING ABOUT POUNDS OF DOCUMENTS. PLEASE DON'T
8	DO THAT.
9	NOW, YOU SAY, "FOR DECADES PRIOR THE
10	CURRENT OR PAST OWNERS HAD THESE PERMISSIBLE
11	STRUCTURES."
12	HOW FAR BACK DOES THIS GO?
13	MR. PREZIOSI: ARE YOU REFERRING TO
14	THE COURT: THAT WAS IN YOUR PAPERS, THAT
15	STATEMENT, "FOR DECADES PRIOR."
16	HOW FAR BACK HAVE THEY HAD ALL THIS STUFF
17	ON THERE?
18	MR. PREZIOSI: MY UNDERSTANDING, YOUR HONOR, IS
19	THERE HAVE BEEN STRUCTURES ON THE PROPERTY
20	THE COURT: EXCUSE ME.
21	TELL OUR JURORS TO COME BACK AT 1:30,
22	PLEASE, WITH SINCERE APOLOGIES. MAKE IT 1 O'CLOCK.
23	I'LL WORK THROUGH LUNCH WITH COUNSEL, IF NEED BE.
24	MR. PREZIOSI: YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT THE ILLEGAL
25	STRUCTURES OR THE UNPERMITTED STRUCTURES. THE REVERTED
26	STRUCTURES HAVE BEEN ACTION PLANNED BY THE CITY CODE
27	ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS TO REMOVE CERTAIN STRUCTURES OVER
28	THE COURSE OF YEARS GOING BACK SEVERAL DECADES,

I BELIEVE. THE MOST RECENT RECORDS WE HAVE ARE FROM THE
'90S AND THE 2000S WHEN CERTAIN ACTION WAS TAKEN TO
COMPEL THE REMOVAL OF SOME OF THESE STRUCTURES.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. AND FINALLY, I THOUGHT IT
WAS A LITTLE DISINGENUOUS IN THE CROSS-COMPLAINT
DESCRIPTION OF THE TRANSACTION TO SAY THAT THIS DEED WAS
GIVEN TO SO-AND-SO AND THIS DEED WENT TO SO-AND-SO AND
THIS DEED WENT TO SO-AND-SO, OMITTING THEREFROM THE FACT
THAT THOUSANDS AND THOUSANDS AND THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS
PASSED HANDS IN THIS TRANSACTION. THIS WAS NOT SOME,
"OH, LET'S DO IT FOR THE PUBLIC GOOD, THIS LOT IS BETTER
USED FOR SO-AND-SO." A LOT OF MONEY, A LOT OF MONEY
PASSED HANDS.

AND CERTAINLY THE VIEW COULD BE TAKEN THAT
THE PUBLIC INTEREST WAS BEING SOLD OUT HERE FOR DOLLARS.
I DON'T KNOW THAT TO BE THE CASE. I AM NOT MAKING THAT
FINDING. BUT WHEN YOU DISCUSS THE DEAL, I EXPECT YOU TO
TELL ME THE DEAL. AND IT INVOLVED LOTS OF MONEY FROM
THESE PRIVATE HOMEOWNERS WHO ENDED UP WITH THIS PARKLAND
AND WITH IGNORING NOTICES TO REMOVE THINGS, YOU NOW TELL
ME, GOING BACK 10 OR 20 YEARS WITH IMPUNITY.

MR. PREZIOSI: YOUR HONOR, JUST TO CLARIFY, THERE WERE CODE ENFORCEMENT ACTIONS THAT WERE TAKEN UNDER THE CITY'S POLICE POWER. CERTAIN OBJECTS WERE REMOVED.

THIS HAS NOT BEEN A COMPLETELY STATIC THING. ITEMS THAT HAVE BEEN PUT ON THE "AREA A" AT ISSUE HAVE NOT ALWAYS EXISTED THERE IN PERPETUITY. THE ISSUE UNDER THE CITY'S CODE ENFORCEMENT, THEY HAD TAKEN ACTION UNDER THE CITY'S

1	POLICE POWER BECAUSE IT WAS A VIOLATION OF ZONING
2	ORDINANCES TO REMOVE CERTAIN ITEMS. THERE ARE SOME
3	ITEMS THAT NOW EXIST
4	THE COURT: NEVER REMOVED THE BIG GATE BLOCKING
5	ACCESS TO THAT PARKLAND PROPERTY, DID THEY?
6	MR. PREZIOSI: NOT THAT I'M AWARE OF, YOUR HONOR.
7	THE COURT: NO.
8	MR. MAMALAKIS: THE GATE, YOUR HONOR, ONLY WENT TO
9	THE ACCESS ROAD. THE GATE DID NOT SURROUND THE AREA IN
10	QUESTION WHATSOEVER.
11	THE COURT: JUST THE ROAD?
12	MR. MAMALAKIS: JUST THE FIRE ACCESS ROAD TO WHICH
13	THE CITY HAS AN EASEMENT FOR AND FOR WHICH THEY HAVE A
14	KEY TO THE GATE.
15	THE COURT: I SEE.
16	HOW ABOUT THE PUBLIC? HOW DID THEY GET IN?
17	MR. MAMALAKIS: JUST WALK RIGHT AROUND. THERE'S A
18	GATE, AND THEN IT'S COMPLETELY OPEN.
19	THE COURT: I SEE. MY MISTAKE.
20	ALL RIGHT. FINISH YOUR ARGUMENTS,
21	PLEASE
22	MR. PREZIOSI: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
23	THE COURT: ON YOUR CROSS-MOTION.
24	MR. PREZIOSI: I'LL GO TO THE CROSS-MOTION NOW.
25	THE COURT: THEN THE HOMEOWNERS CAN ADVANCE THEIR
26	ARGUMENTS AND I'LL HEAR FROM PLAINTIFF. AND THEN WE
27	HAVE TO WIND THIS UP.
28	MR. PREZIOSI: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: AGAIN, I DO VIEW THESE ACTS AS 1 2 ULTRA VIRES. NOTHING HAS CHANGED MY MIND ON THAT. MR. PREZIOSI: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 3 AS TO THE CITY'S CROSS-MOTION AND TO THE 4 FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION WHICH ARE, ONE, THE REVERSION --5 THE CITY IS NOT USING THE PROPERTY. ALL THAT THE DEED 6 7 RESTRICTIONS GO TO ARE CERTAIN USES OF THE PROPERTY AND OWNERSHIP. THE CITY IS NOT USING THE PROPERTY IN 8 9 CONTRAVENTION OF THE DEEDS. THE CITY IS NOT USING THE PROPERTY AT ALL. 10 THE COURT: PLAINTIFF ARGUES THAT YOU TRANSFERRED 11 IT TO AN ENTITY THAT DOES NOT HAVE THE ABILITY TO RUN 12 PARKS OR ANYTHING LIKE THAT ANY LONGER AND THAT IT IS 13 14 ACTUALLY NOW JUST A PRIVATE ASSOCIATION. MR. PREZIOSI: MAY I BE HEARD ON THAT, YOUR HONOR? 15 WHAT THE DEEDS ACTUALLY STATE IS THAT THE PROPERTY SHALL 16 17 NOT BE TRANSFERRED EXCEPT TO A BODY DULY CONSTITUTED BY LAW TO HOLD PARKLAND. THERE IS NO REQUIREMENT IN THE 18 DEED LANGUAGE THAT THE HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION CURRENTLY 19 20 HOLD PARKLAND. IN FACT, PLAINTIFF HIMSELF -- ONE OF THE 21 22 PLAINTIFFS HIMSELF, MR. HARBISON, ACTUALLY STATED UNDER OATH, YOU SAW IT IN OUR PAPERS, THAT THE ASSOCIATION 23 COULD HOLD PARKLANDS. 24 25 THE COURT: REALLY? 26 MR. PREZIOSI: AND AS A MATTER OF FACT --27 THE COURT: DIDN'T IT GIVE UP ALL ITS RIGHT AND ABILITY TO HOLD PARKLAND AND THEN TRANSFERRED IT ALL TO 28

1 THE CITY?

MR. PREZIOSI: YOUR HONOR, AS PLED IN THE SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT AND AS SET FORTH IN THE DEEDS THAT HAVE BEEN CITED REPEATEDLY, THE ASSOCIATION, ONE OF ITS COVENANTS AND RESTRICTIONS, ONE OF ITS BINDING ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION ALLOWS IT TO HOLD AND MAINTAIN PARKS.

JUST BECAUSE IT DEEDED ITS PARKS TO THE CITY TO AVOID THE TAX LIABILITIES DOESN'T MEAN THAT IT CAN NO LONGER HOLD PARKS. AND THE FACT BEING, BECAUSE YOU HAVE A REVERSIONARY CLAUSE IN THE VERY DEEDS THE PLAINTIFF SEEKS TO ENFORCE, THERE WAS CLEARLY A CONTEMPLATION THAT THE ASSOCIATION COULD ONCE AGAIN HOLD PARKLANDS.

THE COURT: OKAY.

MR. PREZIOSI: I MEAN, THAT'S IN THE DEEDS THEMSELVES.

THE COURT: BYLAWS IS A GOOD RESPONSE.

MR. PREZIOSI: THERE'S NO DUTY --

THE CITY HAS NO DUTY TO ENFORCE PRIVATE COVENANTS, CONDITIONS, AND RESTRICTIONS. THE CITY'S ONLY DUTIES ARE SET FORTH IN THE LAW OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA AND ITS MUNICIPAL CODES.

THE COURT: IT ABSOLUTELY HAS THE OBLIGATION IF IT HOLDS LAND SUBJECT TO RESTRICTIONS IF IT IS WEARING ITS PRIVATE HAT. AND IT'S BOUND JUST LIKE ANY OTHER PRIVATE CITIZEN ON ITS CONTRACT.

MR. PREZIOSI: YOUR HONOR, I AGREE IT WOULD BE BOUND TO THE USE. FOR EXAMPLE, IF THE CITY OWNED A PIECE OF PROPERTY THAT THE COVENANTS, CONDITIONS, AND

1 RESTRICTIONS SAID IT CAN BE USED ONLY FOR PARKLAND, FOR 2 PARK PURPOSES, AND THE CITY DECIDED, WELL, IT WANTED TO PUT CITY HALL ON THAT PRIVATE PROPERTY -- OR ON THE 3 PROPERTY, EVEN IF IT WAS ZONED TO ALLOW THE CITY TO PUT 4 5 THE CITY HALL, THE CITY WOULD BE ESTOPPED FROM DOING THAT, FROM USING THE PARKLAND BY THE CC&RS OF THE 6 7 PARKLAND. BUT THAT'S NOT THE CASE HERE. THE CITY IS 8 9 NOT USING "AREA A" AT ALL. THE CITY IS SIMPLY NOT 10 OWNING IT. THE CITY CAN'T BE COMPELLED TO OWN IT FOREVER BECAUSE THERE'S A REVERSIONARY INTEREST AND IT 11 GAVE IT BACK TO THE GRANTOR. BUT I'VE SPOKEN ENOUGH 12 ABOUT THAT. 13 SO IN ESSENCE, PLAINTIFF IS NOT ENTITLED TO 14 A DECLARATION THAT THE CITY --15 THE COURT: YOU'RE ARGUING YOUR CROSS-COMPLAINT 16 17 NOW. MR. PREZIOSI: CORRECT, MY CROSS-MOTION. 18 19 THE COURT: SO NOW YOU'RE SLIDING BACK AGAIN INTO 20

ANSWERING HIS, AND I'M NOT GOING TO GIVE YOU A LOT MORE TIME. SO I THINK YOU SHOULD ADVANCE YOUR ARGUMENTS ON YOUR MOTION.

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

MR. PREZIOSI: THE OTHER ISSUE ON THE FIRST CAUSE
OF ACTION IS THE CITY CANNOT BE COMPELLED TO EXERCISE
ITS POLICE POWER AS IS PLED IN THE SECOND AMENDED
COMPLAINT. NO ONE CAN COMPEL THE CITY TO EXERCISE ITS
DISCRETION TO ENFORCE THE LAWS OF THE PALOS VERDES
ESTATES OR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA IN A MATTER TO BE

1 FIT. IT SIMPLY DOES NOT EXIST. I'LL SUBMIT ON MY 2 PAPERWORK ON THAT. AS TO THE SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION, WHICH IS 3 A WASTE OF PUBLIC FUNDS --4 THE COURT: WELL, THEY CAN FORCE THE CITY IF IT IS 5 HOLDING THE PROPERTY WITH ALL THAT STUFF ON IT. THE 6 7 PRIVATE ACTOR CAN REMOVE THAT STUFF AT THE CITY'S 8 EXPENSE. MR. PREZIOSI: HERE'S THE DISTINCTION, YOUR HONOR. 9 THERE'S THE LAW AND THEN PRIVATE COVENANTS, CONDITIONS, 10 AND RESTRICTIONS. THE CITY ONLY HAS THE POWER TO 11 ENFORCE THE LAW, WHETHER IT'S LAW OF THE PENAL CODE --12 THE COURT: YOU'RE NOT HEARING ME. IF YOU OWN THE 13 14 PROPERTY, YOU'RE BOUND BY THE RESTRICTIONS AND IT'S YOUR JOB TO REMOVE THEM BY VIRTUE OF THE OWNERSHIP OF 15 RESTRICTED PROPERTY. WE'RE NOT TALKING ABOUT GOVERNMENT 16 17 IN THE ABSTRACT. WE'RE TALKING ABOUT IN ITS PRIVATE CAPACITY. AND IF IT DOESN'T, SOME OTHER HOMEOWNER IN 18 THAT TRACT CAN TURN AROUND, COME IN. AND MY ONLY 19 QUESTION IS HOW MUCH THEY COULD USE A BULLDOZER AND 20 KNOCK DOWN THESE THINGS AND CAUSE YOU TO PAY FOR IT. 21 22 MR. PREZIOSI: YOUR HONOR, MY --THE COURT: I'M A LITTLE CONCERNED ABOUT THAT 23 PHYSICAL ASPECT. NOBODY BRIEFED THAT FOR ME EITHER. 24 MR. PREZIOSI: MY REPLY TO THAT IS IF THE CITY IS 25 26 ACTUALLY USING IT, WHICH IT IS NOT, IN CONTRAVENTION TO 27 THE DEEDS, THEN THE ASSOCIATION WOULD HAVE THE POWER TO EXERCISE THE RIGHT OF REVERSION WHICH IS SET FORTH IN 28

1 THE SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT. PLAINTIFF PLEADS THAT THE 2 CITY AND ACTUALLY ASKS FOR THE CITY -- OR THE ASSOCIATION TO EXERCISE ITS RIGHT OF REVERSION TO PUT 3 THE DEEDS, PUT THE PROPERTY BACK IN THE ASSOCIATION. 4 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. 5 MR. PREZIOSI: THAT'S PLED. 6 7 FINALLY --THE COURT: ANYTHING ELSE? 8 MR. PREZIOSI: YES. 9 AS TO THE SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION, AS TO THE 10 11 WASTE OF PUBLIC FINDS, THE ULTRA VIRES ACTIVITY, I'VE TALKED ABOUT -- I'VE DISCUSSED WELWOOD; SO I'LL MOVE ON 12 13 FROM THAT. 14 AND THEN THE SECOND ISSUE AS TO THE SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION, PLAINTIFF IS BRINGING AN ACTION TO 15 ENJOIN A FUTURE LEGISLATIVE ACT WHICH IS THIS SO-CALLED 16 17 THREATENED SPOT ZONING, WHICH THERE REALLY WAS NO ACTION THAT WAS EVER TAKEN ON THAT. CITY COUNCIL DISCUSSED 18 19 THAT, GAVE DIRECTION TO CITY STAFF TO INVESTIGATE IT --THE COURT: THAT GOES TO THE AREA I TOLD YOU THAT 20 YOU HAVEN'T BRIEFED. REMEMBER, I TALKED ABOUT USING 21 22 POLICE POWER TO INTERFERE WITH THE CITY'S OWN CONTRACTS. SOMETIMES THEY CAN, SOMETIMES THEY CAN'T. THERE'S BEEN 23 NO OVERRIDING JUSTIFICATION FOR ANY EXERCISE OF POLICE 24 25 POWER TO TRY AND INTERFERE WITH THESE PRIVATE RIGHTS 26 THAT I'VE SEEN SO FAR. 27 MR. PREZIOSI: WELL, YOUR HONOR, THERE HASN'T 28 BEEN --

THE COURT: YOU HAVEN'T BRIEFED IT. 1 2 ANYTHING FROM THE HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION? MR. DVEIRIN: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR. I GUESS 3 ALMOST GOOD AFTERNOON. LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH, 4 BRANT DVEIRIN. 5 THE ONLY THING I CAN GATHER FROM WHAT 6 7 YOU'VE SAID IS YOU BELIEVE THESE ARE ULTRA VIRES ACTS, AND THAT'S WHY YOUR TENTATIVE IS THAT WAY. I'LL SUBMIT 8 THAT I THINK THAT'S A MISTAKE. 9 THIS CASE IS DEAD ON ARRIVAL. 10 THE COURT: AS TO YOUR PEOPLE, THEY DON'T HAVE ANY 11 RIGHT TO INTERPRET ANYTHING HERE. I DON'T CARE WHAT THE 12 PROVISIONS SAY ABOUT IT BECAUSE THERE'S NO UNCERTAINTY. 13 14 IT SAYS THEY CAN INTERPRET ONLY WHERE THERE'S UNCERTAINTY. THERE'S NO UNCERTAINTY HERE. IT'S BLACK 15 AND WHITE. 16 17 MR. DVEIRIN: ALL RIGHT. SO THAT --AND I UNDERSTAND THAT'S WHAT YOU'RE SAYING, 18 BUT UNDER THE RULES OF THE DUFFY CASE AND STANDING UNDER 19 20 THE DAVIS-STIRLING ACT, PLAINTIFFS' CASE IS DEAD ON ARRIVAL. THERE WAS A PREVIOUS CASE, THE SCHOOL DISTRICT 21 22 LITIGATION, THAT WAS LITIGATED TO JUDGMENT TWO YEARS AGO 23 AND THEN THE MOU WHICH YOU TALKED ABOUT, THE EXCHANGE OF ALL THAT MONEY, AND I'M GOING TO GET TO THAT, WAS A 24 SETTLEMENT OF THAT CASE. 25 26 UNDER THE DAVIS-STIRLING ACT AND UNDER 27 CORPORATE LAW PRINCIPLES, WHEN THE HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION LITIGATES A CASE AND WHEN IT SETTLES A CASE, 28

1 IT BINDS NOT ONLY MR. HARBISON, WHO'S IN THE COURTROOM 2 TODAY, BUT ALL THE OTHER HOMEOWNERS AS A MATTER OF LAW. HERE'S THE PROBLEM THAT WE'RE GOING TO RUN 3 INTO. IF YOU'RE NOT BOUND TO WHAT THE SCHOOL 4 DISTRICT -- TO WHAT THE ASSOCIATION --5 THE COURT: NO, IT CAN'T. WHEN YOU LOOK IN THESE 6 7 DOCUMENTS, IT SAYS THAT IF THE HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION WANTS TO ELIMINATE A RESTRICTION, THERE HAS TO BE A 8 MEETING BY THE HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION AND X NUMBER OF 9 OWNERS OF THE PROPERTY. AND AT ONE TIME IT EVEN 10 INVOLVED THE BANK OF AMERICA AS THE GRANTOR OF THE WHOLE 11 PROJECT. THEY HAVE TO ALL SIT DOWN, MAKE AN AGREEMENT, 12 ELIMINATE A RESTRICTION ON A PARTICULAR PROPERTY. THAT 13 14 WAS NOT DONE. THAT, TOO, WOULD BE ULTRA VIRES, OUTSIDE 15 THEIR POWER TO DO, AND CERTAINLY NOT WITHIN ANY POWER TO 16 17 BIND EVERY OTHER HOMEOWNER IN THAT TRACT, EACH OF WHOM HAVE AN INDEPENDENT RIGHT OF ACTION. 18 MR. DVEIRIN: I WOULD SUBMIT THAT COURTS WILL --19 20 THE COURT: I HOPE YOU CAN TELL I'VE READ YOUR PAPERS. 21 22 MR. DVEIRIN: COURTS CAN DISAGREE ON WHAT'S ULTRA VIRES. I GET THAT. BUT THE PROBLEM HERE IS WE HAVE A 23 COURT THAT DECIDED A CASE, THAT ENTERED JUDGMENT, AND 24 THE PARTIES SETTLED THE CASE. THE HOMEOWNERS ARE BOUND 25 26 TO THAT SETTLEMENT, THAT MOU AS WELL AS --27 THE COURT: IT'S NOTHING BUT A PRIVATE AGREEMENT. MR. DVEIRIN: NO, BUT THE POINT IS THAT IF YOU 28

1 READ THE DUFFY CASE WHICH IS CITED, I THINK, ON PAGE 3 2 OF OUR OPPOSITION PAPERS TO WHICH THERE WAS NO REPLY TO THE DUFFY CASE AND NO REPLY TO THE STANDARD ARGUMENTS, 3 ALL HOMEOWNERS ARE BOUND TO THAT PRIOR LITIGATION AND 4 THE MOU UNDER 5980 OF THE DAVIS-STIRLING ACT. 5 AS THAT CASE POINTS OUT --6 7 PLEASE LET ME FINISH. AS THAT CASE POINTS OUT, IF THEY ARE NOT 8 BOUND, THEN CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 374, NOW CIVIL CODE 9 SECTION 5980, IS MEANINGLESS. IT SAYS THAT RIGHT IN THE 10 11 DUFFY CASE. THE PRACTICAL PROBLEM THAT THIS COURT HAS, 12 AND IT'S A VERY REAL PROBLEM, IS THAT WHEN THIS CASE IS 13 14 DECIDED AND IF MR. HARBISON WINS THIS CASE, THEN THE HOMEOWNER TO THE RIGHT OF THE LUGLIANIS WHO'S NOT HERE 15 CAN COME INTO THIS COURTROOM AND SAY THAT THE DECISION 16 17 OF THIS COURT IS NOT BINDING ON HIM BECAUSE HE WASN'T PRESENT IN THIS CASE, AND THEN THE NEXT HOMEOWNER AND 18 THEN THE NEXT HOMEOWNER. 19 THE COURT: WHAT ARE YOU DREAMING OF? 20 MR. DVEIRIN: BECAUSE WE HAD A CASE AND WE HAD A 21 22 SETTLEMENT OF THAT CASE. THE COURT: THE SETTLEMENT IS NOT A JUDGMENT IN A 23 CASE. IF I ACT HERE, I'M GOING TO RENDER A JUDGMENT IN 24 25 THE CASE. 26 MR. DVEIRIN: BUT IF YOU LOOK AT 5980, IT 27 SPECIFICALLY SAYS -- 5980 INCLUDES SETTLEMENT OF CASES. IT SPECIFICALLY SAYS THAT IN THERE. AND WHY DOES IT SAY

28

1 THAT? BECAUSE THE HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION HAS TO HAVE
2 THE ABILITY TO ACT ON BEHALF OF THE HOMEOWNERS.

I THINK --

THE COURT: IT CAN ACT ONLY IN KEEPING WITH THE RESTRICTIONS THAT ARE IMPOSED ON THEM BY THE HOMEOWNER ASSOCIATION AND DEEDS INVOLVED.

MR. DVEIRIN: NO. IF THE HOMEOWNERS --

THE COURT: AND THEY DIDN'T.

MR. DVEIRIN: THERE'S NOTHING IN THE STATUTE THAT SAYS THAT THAT RES JUDICATA EFFECT OF THE HOMEOWNERS LITIGATING AND SETTLING A CASE IS BASED ON SOME SORT OF FINDING OF A NEXT COURT ON ULTRA VIRES. THAT'S NOT IN THERE.

AND I WOULD SUBMIT TO YOU THAT MR. HARBISON
IS NOT A MAN WITHOUT A REMEDY. HE HAS THE ABILITY, AND
WE POINTED THAT OUT IN OUR PAPERS, TO SEEK A RECALL
PETITION AGAINST BOARD MEMBERS OF THE HOMEOWNERS
ASSOCIATION. HE HIMSELF CAN TRY TO GET ON THE BOARD.

BUT THE ONE THING HE ABSOLUTELY CANNOT DO
IS TO DO WHAT HE'S DOING IN THIS CASE WHICH IS TO COME
INTO THIS COURTROOM AND ASK YOU TO GO BEHIND INTO
ANOTHER CASE AND A SETTLEMENT IN THAT CASE AND SAY THAT
HE'S NOT BOUND. NOT ONLY IS HE BOUND, ALL THE OTHER
HOMEOWNERS ARE BOUND. AND I SUBMIT TO YOU IF HE'S NOT
BOUND, THEN GET READY, BECAUSE THE OTHER HOMEOWNER THAT
COMES NEXT IS GOING TO ARGUE THAT THE RULING IN THIS
CASE DOESN'T BIND THE OTHER PERSON AND THEN THE NEXT
PERSON AND WE'LL NEVER HAVE FINALITY.

1	AS THE COURT IN DUFFY SAID, THAT'S WHY
2	THE COURT: I CHALLENGE YOU TO FIND ANY HOMEOWNER
3	WHO WOULD DISAGREE WITH A DECISION BY THIS COURT SAYING
4	THAT THEY CANNOT SELL OFF PARKLAND TO ALLOW PEOPLE TO
5	USE IT FOR THEIR PRIVATE PROPERTY. I DON'T THINK YOU'RE
6	GOING TO FIND TOO MANY HOMEOWNERS IN THE TRACT WHO ARE
7	OF THAT VIEW, BUT THAT'S NO NEVERMIND.
8	MR. DVEIRIN: THEORETICALLY, YOU MAY BE CORRECT.
9	THE COURT: I HEARD YOUR POINT.
10	IS THERE ANYTHING ELSE?
11	MR. DVEIRIN: YES.
12	SO IF YOU LOOK AT THE STANDING ARGUMENT,
13	WHICH WE BELIEVE WAS VERY STRONG, IT'S IN THE FIRST PART
14	OF OUR PAPERS, IT WASN'T RESPONDED TO, THAT'S ENOUGH TO
15	DENY THE SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON ITS OWN.
16	THERE'S TEN PEOPLE IN THE PLAINTIFF'S CEPC
17	GROUP WHO ARE NOT HOMEOWNERS. AND AS WE POINTED OUT IN
18	OUR PAPERS, THOSE TEN PEOPLE WHICH WAS THE DISCOVERY
19	THAT WE TOOK WHEN YOU GAVE US THE CONTINUANCE, THOSE TEN
20	PEOPLE AS A MATTER OF LAW DON'T HAVE STANDING TO
21	CHALLENGE THE ASSOCIATION. ONLY HOMEOWNERS CAN
22	CHALLENGE THE ASSOCIATION.
23	THE COURT: AND RESIDENTS.
24	MR. DVEIRIN: NO, NOT RESIDENTS.
25	THE COURT: I DON'T KNOW IF THESE PEOPLE ARE
26	RESIDENTS ARE NOT. I DON'T THINK IT WAS LAID OUT.
27	GO BACK AND READ ALL THESE PAPERS. I WENT
28	BACK TO 1923. SOMETIMES IT SAYS "RESIDENTS AND OWNERS."

1	SOMETIMES IT SAYS JUST "OWNERS." ALWAYS IT INCLUDES THE
2	ORIGINAL GRANTOR BANK OF AMERICA, LATER THE HOMEOWNERS
3	ASSOCIATION IN ITS SHOES.
4	MR. DVEIRIN: AND WE CITED CASES IN OUR BRIEF.
5	AS A MATTER OF LAW, ONLY OWNERS HAVE THE RIGHT TO
6	CHALLENGE
7	THE COURT: YOU ONLY NEED ONE, AND YOU'VE GOT
8	MR. HARBISON.
9	MR. DVEIRIN: BUT MR. HARBISON IS BOUND TO THE
10	SCHOOL DISTRICT LITIGATION JUDGMENT AND THE SETTLEMENT
11	AGREEMENT.
12	THE COURT: NOW YOU'RE GOING AROUND IN A CIRCLE.
13	THERE WAS NO JUDGMENT IN THE HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION
14	THING, OTHER THAN THAT THE RESTRICTIONS WERE ALIVE AND
15	WELL.
16	ALL RIGHT. LET'S HEAR FROM PLAINTIFF.
17	MR. DVEIRIN: I'M NOT
18	I HAVE A COUPLE OF OTHER POINTS I WANT TO
19	MAKE.
20	THE COURT: THAT ARE NOT IN YOUR PAPERS?
21	MR. DVEIRIN: THAT ARE JUST A COUPLE HIGHLIGHTS
22	FROM THE PAPERS.
23	THE COURT: I'LL GIVE YOU THREE MINUTES.
24	MR. DVEIRIN: THE SECOND ONE IS THAT IN ADDITION
25	TO STANDING, YOU TALKED ABOUT THE MONEY THAT WAS
26	TRANSFERRED, THAT THIS WASN'T JUST A DEED, THERE WAS
27	SIGNIFICANT MONEY THAT WAS TRANSFERRED. WE AGREE WITH
28	THAT. THAT IS WHY YOU DON'T HAVE ALL THE PARTIES IN

1 THIS CASE BEFORE YOU.

A SCHOOL DISTRICT -- THE SCHOOL DISTRICT
WHICH RECEIVED 1.5 MILLION IN DONATION AND RESTRICTED 11
OF ITS PROPERTIES AND AGREED NOT TO HAVE LIGHTS ON A
FOOTBALL FIELD ARE ALL PART OF THE SETTLEMENT OF THAT
CASE.

THE COURT: DON'T CARE.

MR. DVEIRIN: IF YOU'RE GOING TO TAKE APART PART OF A CONTRACT WHICH IS TWO DEEDS UNDER THE CASES THAT WE'VE CITED, YOU HAVE TO HAVE ALL THE PARTIES BEFORE YOU.

THE COURT: NO. I'M NOT TAKING APART THE

CONTRACT. I'M SAYING IT WAS ULTRA VIRES, YOU HAD NO

POWER TO DO IT, AND FIGHT IT OUT NOW AMONGST YOURSELVES.

IF YOU PROMISED TO ACCEPT MONEY FROM SOMEBODY IN RETURN

FOR SOMETHING YOU HAD NO RIGHT, ABILITY, OR POWER TO

CONVEY, I IMAGINE THEY'LL COME ON YOUR DOORSTEP AND ASK

YOU FOR THE MONEY BACK. THAT'S GOING TO BE BETWEEN

EVERYONE WHO SIGNED THAT AGREEMENT AND PASSED THAT MONEY

AROUND. IT HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH THIS.

MR. DVEIRIN: THE THIRD POINT IS THAT -- AND I
THINK IT'S ALSO ONE OF OUR ARGUMENTS IN OUR BRIEF THAT
GETS TO THIS ISSUE OF ULTRA VIRES, THE ASSOCIATION BOARD
HAS THE ABILITY AND RIGHT UNDER CORPORATE LAW AND UNDER
THE DAVIS-STIRLING ACT AND STATUTORY LAW TO EXERCISE ITS
BUSINESS JUDGMENT. THAT BUSINESS JUDGMENT CANNOT BE
INTERFERED WITH BY THE COURT.

THE COURT: NO. THERE WERE --

DO YOU REMEMBER -- RECALL WHAT'S GOING ON UP AT THE VA HOSPITAL RIGHT NOW? THE VA HOSPITAL IN WESTWOOD WAS GIVEN AS DEDICATED LAND TO BE HELD IN TRUST AND TO BE UTILIZED FOR THE BENEFIT OF THE VETERANS. MR. DVEIRIN: THE BASEBALL STADIUM ISSUE. THE COURT: AND INSTEAD, THEY HAVE RENTED OUT --MR. DVEIRIN: THAT'S RIGHT. THE COURT: -- PORTIONS TO PRIVATE SCHOOLS FOR BASEBALL STADIUMS, FOR A GOLF COURSE, FOR WHATEVER. THE ONE THING WE DON'T HAVE ARE ANY DECENT LOOKING BUILDINGS FOR THE VETERANS. THE CHURCH IS FALLING APART. IT'S NOT BEING PROPERLY MAINTAINED. BUT ALL THESE PRIVATE INTERESTS HAVE BEEN GIVEN ACCESS. NOW, I BELIEVE THAT THAT HAS ROLLED INTO FEDERAL COURT. I BELIEVE THE INITIAL RULING WAS IN FAVOR OF THE FAMILY OF THE TRUSTOR WHO HAD GRANTED ALL THIS LAND. AND HIS DESCENDENTS NOW SAY TOO BAD. VITIATED THEIR OBLIGATIONS. THEY VITIATED THE TERMS OF THE TRUST AND IT ALL REVERTS. THAT'S WHAT GOVERNS THIS, NOT YOUR SPIRLING ACT [SIC] OR WHATEVER YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT. THESE RESTRICTIONS, THE TERMS OF THE GRANT, EVERYTHING

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

THAT IS INVOLVED IN HOW THIS PROPERTY WAS OBTAINED TO BE PARKLAND FOR THIS AREA, THAT'S WHAT CONTROLS.

MR. DVEIRIN: SO IN WRAPPING UP, FOR THE REASON OF STANDING, INDISPENSABLE PARTY, AND EXERCISING BUSINESS JUDGMENT, WE HAVE VERY DETAILED PAPERS ON ALL THREE OF THESE ARGUMENTS. WE'RE JUST ASKING THAT YOU TAKE THIS

1 UNDER SUBMISSION AND THAT YOU CONSIDER THOSE ARGUMENTS 2 THOROUGHLY AND THAT YOU NOT RULE UNTIL YOU DO THAT AND THAT -- AND THAT IF YOU HAVE FURTHER QUESTIONS, YOU HAVE 3 US BACK FOR MORE ARGUMENT BECAUSE I BELIEVE THAT THESE 4 5 LEGAL ARGUMENTS ARE VERY STRONG, DESERVE YOUR FULL ATTENTION. AND WE'RE NOT TELLING YOU HOW TO RULE, BUT 6 7 WE'RE JUST ASKING YOU TO CONSIDER THEM. 8 THE COURT: COUNSEL, YOU'RE SORT OF EDGING TOWARD TELLING ME I HAVEN'T GIVEN THEM MY FULL ATTENTION. 9 MR. DVEIRIN: NO, I'M JUST --10 THE COURT: SO PLEASE JUST SIT DOWN AT THIS TIME. 11 IT'S A GOOD IDEA. 12 MR. DVEIRIN: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 13 14 THE COURT: I APPRECIATE ALL THE PAPERS THAT YOU'VE ALL DONE. YOU'VE DONE A GOOD JOB ON THIS. 15 THERE'RE SOME COMPLICATED ISSUES HERE. 16 17 MR. LEWIS: MAY I HAVE 30 SECONDS WITH SOME WRAP-UP POINTS, 30 SECONDS? 18 19 THE COURT: YOU HAVE TO BE ALLOWED TO RESPOND 20 OBVIOUSLY. MR. LEWIS: THE COURT ASKED HOW LONG HAS THIS BEEN 21 22 GOING ON IN TERMS OF THE PHYSICAL PROBLEMS AND THE 23 ENCROACHMENT. WE SUBMITTED AS EXHIBIT 16 A 1972 LETTER BY THE ASSOCIATION SAYING, "TEAR THIS STUFF DOWN." SO 24 IT'S SINCE THE '70S. 25 26 THE SECOND POINT IS MAY I PLEASE HAVE A 27 RULING ON MY EVIDENTIARY OBJECTIONS THAT THE EXPERT DECLARATIONS OF ATTORNEY SID CROFT AND ATTORNEY LORE 28

1 HILBURG ARE INADMISSIBLE? 2 THE COURT: TENTATIVELY, THEY ARE. I DON'T CARE WHAT YOUR EXPERTS TELL ME. 3 IT'S MY JOB TO APPLY THE LAW TO THE CASE AND THE CORRECT 4 LAW, AND WE DON'T TAKE DECLARATIONS FROM ATTORNEYS 5 TELLING US WHAT THE LAW IS. 6 7 MR. LEWIS: AND THEN MY FINAL POINT, YOUR HONOR, I'LL KEEP IT BRIEF, THERE WAS A SUGGESTION THAT BECAUSE 8 THE GATE WAS ONLY FOR THE FIRE ROAD, WELL, THE PUBLIC 9 HAS ACCESS TO THIS PARKLAND. AND I'M HERE TO TELL YOU 10 THERE IS LANDSCAPING AND TREES ON THE PROPERTY TO 11 PREVENT THE PUBLIC FROM FULLY ACCESSING THE PARKLAND. 12 SO THE GATE ISSUE DOES NOT --13 14 THE COURT: IT'S NOT THE BE-ALL AND END-ALL, I UNDERSTAND. BUT CERTAINLY IT WAS MY IMPRESSION THERE 15 WAS NOT ONLY A GATE, BUT ALSO SIDES GOING ALONG. BUT A 16 17 GATE IS STILL A DETERRENT EVEN IF IT IS OPEN ON THE SIDES. 18 MR. LEWIS: IT GIVES THE APPEARANCE OF PRIVATE 19 20 PROPERTY. THE COURT: YES. 21 22 MR. LEWIS: YOUR HONOR, MY FINAL POINT WOULD BE IF YOU ARE GOING TO TAKE IT UNDER SUBMISSION, MIGHT 23 I SUGGEST YOU NOT ACCEPT ANY FURTHER BRIEFING UNLESS 24 IT'S INVITED BY THE COURT. 25 26 THE COURT: THE ONLY THING I MIGHT WANT FROM YOU 27 IS ON THAT POINT WITH WHICH I OPENED THE DISCUSSION, A CITY AS A PRIVATE CONTRACTING PARTY AND THE DIFFERENCES 28

1	THAT DEVELOP FROM THAT AND WHAT THE CITY QUA CITY
2	INSTEAD OF CITY QUA PRIVATE CITIZEN CAN OR CANNOT DO
3	THAT WOULD DISRUPT OR INTERFERE WITH THE PROPER CONDUCT
4	OF THE CONTRACT. ALL RIGHT?
5	AND WHAT COURT REMEDIES CAN BE EMPLOYED.
6	I SAY RARELY ARE DAMAGES THE ANSWER, BUT COURTS DO IN
7	THAT CONTEXT FIND SOMETIMES THAT IT'S AN IMPROPER USE OF
8	THE POLICE POWER TO TRY AND INTERFERE WITH THEIR OWN
9	CONTRACT AND THEY'RE NOT GOING TO LET THE CITY DO IT.
10	THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR ALL THE WORK YOU'VE
11	PUT IN. AND I WILL GO OVER IT AGAIN, AS I PROMISED.
12	MR. DVEIRIN: THAT'S ALL WE CAN ASK, YOUR HONOR.
13	THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
14	MR. PREZIOSI: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
15	MR. MAMALAKIS: YOUR HONOR, YOU ALSO CALLED THIS
16	MATTER FOR A CMC.
17	THE COURT: A CMC TODAY? NO, WE'RE NOT READY FOR
18	THAT.
19	MR. MAMALAKIS: UNDERSTOOD. YOU JUST HAD CALLED
20	THAT.
21	THE COURT: I'LL INDICATE THAT THE CMC WENT OFF
22	CALENDAR. THANK YOU.
23	MR. MAMALAKIS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
24	(PROCEEDINGS ENDED AT 11:56 A.M.)
25	/
26	/
27	
28	

```
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
 1
              COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES - CENTRAL DISTRICT
 2
 3
 4
      DEPARTMENT 12
                                 HON. BARBARA A. MEIERS, JUDGE
 5
      CITIZENS FOR ENFORCEMENT OF
      PARKLAND COVENANTS AND JOHN A.
 6
      HARBISON,
 7
                                          ) NO. BS142768
                            PLAINTIFFS,
 8
                 VS.
 9
      CITY OF PALOS VERDES ESTATES, A
                                           ) REPORTER'S
      MUNICIPAL CORPORATION; PALOS VERDES ) CERTIFICATE
10
      HOMES ASSOCIATION, A CALIFORNIA
      CORPORATION; ROBERT LUGLIANI AND
11
      DOLORES A. LUGLIANI, AS CO-TRUSTEES )
      OF THE LUGLIANI TRUST; THOMAS J.
12
      LIEB, TRUSTEE, THE VIA PANORAMA
      TRUST U/DO MAY 2, 2012 AND DOES 1
      THROUGH 20,
13
14
                            DEFENDANTS.
15
            I, TRISHA WIENER, OFFICIAL REPORTER OF THE
16
      SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, FOR THE
17
      COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I DID
18
      CORRECTLY REPORT THE PROCEEDINGS CONTAINED HEREIN AND
19
      THAT THE FOREGOING PAGES 1 THROUGH **, INCLUSIVE,
20
21
      COMPRISE A FULL, TRUE, AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPT OF THE
      PROCEEDINGS AND TESTIMONY TAKEN IN THE MATTER OF THE
22
23
      ABOVE-ENTITLED CAUSE ON FRIDAY, MAY 29, 2015.
24
                   DATED THIS 10TH DAY OF JUNE, 2015.
25
26
27
                            TRISHA WIENER, CSR NO. 13576
28
```

	1	ı	1	1
A	ACTIVITY	ANSWER 11:28	ASIDE 4:8 6:10	11:22 14:14
ABILITY 10:5	27:11	12:13 38:6	6:27	15:11,22 16:1
12:5 23:12,28	ACTOR 26:7	ANSWERING	ASKED 36:21	16:2,3,5,7 17:5
31:2,15 34:16	ACTS 23:1 28:7	25:20	ASKING 35:28	17:7,13,21,26
34:24	ADDITION	ANTICIPATE	36:7	17:27,28 18:2
ABLE 6:1 11:17	33:24	5:19	ASKS 27:2	20:12,16,21,28
14:7	ADDITIONA	APART 34:8,12	ASPECT 26:24	21:21 25:12,19
ABOVE-ENT	11:11	35:11	ASSESSMENT	27:4 32:27,28
39:23	ADDRESS 7:3	APOLOGIES	10:10	34:18 36:4
ABSOLUTE	18:12	20:22	ASSOCIATI	BACKYARD
11:9	ADDRESSED	APPEARANCE	1:10 2:3 3:23	10:5
ABSOLUTELY	4:7 5:22 7:10	37:19	4:23,25 5:8	BAD 35:17
24:22 31:19	ADMINISTR	APPEARAN	6:27 7:16	BANK 29:11
ABSTRACT	5:20	1:19 2:1 3:10	11:19 13:15	33:2
26:17	ADVANCE	3:18	15:11,12,22	BARBARA 1:4
ACCEPT 15:3	22:25 25:21	APPLY 37:4	16:2,4,5 17:28	3:6 39:4
17:11 34:15	ADVANCING	APPRECIATE	18:9 23:14,19	BASEBALL
37:24	14:22	36:14	23:23 24:4,12	35:5,9
ACCEPTAN	AFTERNOON	APPROVED	26:27 27:3,4	BASED 31:11
5:4	28:4	6:19	28:2,28 29:5,7	BASICALLY
ACCEPTED	AGO 28:22	ARCHAIC	29:9 31:1,6,18	5:8,24 9:18
16:25	AGREE 7:19	14:13	32:21,22 33:3	BEACH 1:26
ACCEPTING	24:26 33:27	AREA 6:15,15	33:13 34:23	BEHALF 3:22
7:23	AGREED 34:4	12:11 21:26	36:24 39:10	3:25,27 31:2
ACCEPTS 15:4	AGREEMENT	22:9 25:9	ATTACHED	BELIEVE 8:23
15:5,7 16:26	5:12,13 29:12	27:20 35:24	18:22 19:4,5,6	9:20 21:1 28:7
ACCESS 10:14	29:27 33:11	AREAS 11:14	ATTEMPT	32:13 35:14,15
22:5,9,12	34:19	11:28	11:27	36:4
35:13 37:10	AGREEMEN	ARENA 11:23	ATTENTION	BENEFIT 35:4
ACCESSING	7:24	11:24	36:6,9	BEST 15:17
37:12	AGREES 15:6	ARGUE 31:26	ATTORNEY	BETTER 21:11
ACCOMPLIS	AL 3:3,4	ARGUES 23:11	7:21 36:28,28	BE-ALL 37:14
5:17	ALBEIT 6:18	ARGUING 18:6	ATTORNEYS	BIG 14:4 22:4
ACQUIRE 5:11	ALIVE 33:14	25:16	37:5	BIND 16:8
ACT 4:24 11:17	ALLEYS 8:19	ARGUMENT	AUTHORITI	17:17 29:17
11:18 27:16	ALLOW 15:19	15:26 32:12	7:5	31:27
28:20,26 30:5	25:4 32:4	36:4	AUTHORIZI	BINDING 16:23
30:24 31:2,4	ALLOWED	ARGUMENTS	5:11	24:5 30:17
34:25 35:21	36:19	14:22,23,27	AVENUE 1:25	BINDS 29:1
ACTING 12:2	ALLOWS 24:6	22:20,26 25:21	AVOID 24:7	BISGAARD 2:3
ACTION 9:22	AMENDED	30:3 34:22	AVOIDED 14:8	3:21 28:4
15:13 20:26	24:3 25:25	35:28 36:1,5	AWARE 7:16	BIT 3:15
21:2,28 23:5	27:1	ARMBRUST	8:22 22:6	BLACK 7:27
25:24 26:3	AMERICA	2:7	A.M 3:8 38:24	8:8 28:15
27:10,15,15,17	29:11 33:2	ARRIVAL	B	BLACK-AND
29:18	ANGELES 1:2	28:10,21		18:26
ACTIONS	2:5,9 3:5 39:2	ARTICLES	BACK 4:22,23	BLOCKING
21:23	39:18	24:5	4:24 6:24,24	22:4
	l		_	l

BOARD 31:17	BUY 16:16,17	22:2 23:7	17:27 20:26	COMPLICA
31:18 34:23	BYLAWS 24:16	CERTAINLY	22:13 23:6,8,9	36:16
BODIES 4:19		11:16 21:14	24:1,7,18,27	COMPRISE
BODY 5:21,22	C	29:16 37:15	25:2,3,4,5,5,8	39:21
6:5 23:17	CA 3:5	CERTIFICA	25:9,10,15,24	CONCEPTS
BOTTOM 8:28	CALENDAR	39:9	25:26 26:5,11	14:13
9:5	38:22	CERTIFY	26:25 27:2,2	CONCERNED
BOULEVARD	CALIFORNIA	39:18	27:18,19 37:28	26:23
2:8	1:1,10,22,26	CHALLENGE	38:1,1,2,9 39:9	CONCLUSION
BOUND 24:24	2:5,9 4:16,18	32:2,21,22	CITY'S 4:22	15:15,28
24:27 26:14	24:21 25:28	33:6	18:5,12 19:7,9	CONDITIONS
29:4,25 30:4,9	39:1,10,17	CHANGE 11:27	21:24,27,28	7:20 24:19,28
31:23,23,24,25	CALL 6:28 7:4	CHANGED	23:4 24:19	26:10
33:9	CALLED 38:15	7:14 23:2	26:7 27:22	CONDUCT
BOX 9:2	38:19	CHURCH	CIVIL 30:9,9	38:3
BRANT 2:4	CAPACITY	35:11	CLARIFY	CONSERVA
3:22 28:5	26:18	CIRCLE 33:12	21:22	11:1
BREACH 6:2	CARE 5:14 9:10	CIRCLED 9:1	CLAUSE 24:10	CONSIDER
15:14	20:3 28:12	CIRCUMST	CLEARLY 9:10	36:1,7
BREACHES	34:7 37:3	17:5	24:11	CONSTITUT
12:12	CASE 3:1,2	CITE 19:28	CLIENT 13:19	23:17
BREACHING	5:23 9:20 12:1	CITED 19:12	CMC 38:16,17	CONSTITUT
5:28	14:8,17 15:10	24:4 30:1 33:4	38:21	14:4
BREAKING	15:19 21:16	34:10	CODE 17:12	CONTAINED
6:9	25:8 28:10,19	CITIES 5:23	20:26 21:23,28	39:19
BRIEF 33:4	28:20,21,25,28	CITIZEN 5:23	26:12 30:9,9	CONTEMPL
34:22 37:8	28:28 29:24,25	5:25 6:17	CODES 24:21	12:25
BRIEFED 26:24	30:1,3,6,8,11	24:25 38:2	COLLECT 6:20	CONTEMPL
27:21 28:1	30:13,14,18,21	CITIZENS 1:5	COME 14:7	24:11
BRIEFING	30:22,24,25	3:2 15:18 39:5	16:20 17:26	CONTEXT 38:7
37:24	31:11,20,22,22	CITY 1:9,23 3:4	20:21 26:19	CONTINUA
BRIEFLY 19:11	31:27 34:1,6	3:25 4:5,6,10	30:16 31:20	32:19
BRINGING	37:4	4:11,16 5:10	34:17	CONTINUED
27:15	CASES 6:5	5:11,20,27 6:1	COMES 31:26	2:1
BRISBOIS 2:3	12:18 30:27	6:6,17,19,22	COMMIT	CONTRACT
3:21 28:4	33:4 34:9	6:26 7:1,2,7,16	11:18	5:19 6:3,6,9,13
BROEDLOW	CAUSE 23:5	7:19,21,22	COMPEL 15:15	6:14,18,25
1:20	25:23 26:3,21	9:17,19 10:27	16:27 21:3	7:23 12:3,12
BS142768 1:7	27:10,15 39:23	10:27 11:4,26	25:26	12:13,21 24:25
3:1 39:7	CC&RS 25:6	12:2,4,25 13:5	COMPELLED	34:9,13 38:4,9
BUILDINGS	CEASE 15:18	13:14,28 14:3	25:10,24	CONTRACTI
35:10	CEASED 17:23	15:3,4,5,6,10	COMPLAINT	37:28
BULLDOZER	CENTRAL 1:2	15:16,18,21,22	24:3 25:26	CONTRACT
26:20	39:2	15:24,27,28	27:1	12:3
BUNCH 5:15	CEPC 32:16	16:5,8,13,13	COMPLETE	CONTRACTS
18:15 19:1	CERTAIN 4:6	16:16,17,24,25	21:25 22:18	5:24,27 27:22
BUSINESS 5:9	12:5 17:4	16:27,28 17:6	COMPLEX	CONTRAVE
34:26,26 35:26	20:27 21:2,24	17:11,15,20,23	5:16,22 6:15	23:9 26:26
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

CONTROLLI	22:19,23,25		12:12	25:27
15:7	23:1,11,25,27	DA 19:19,19,19	DEFENDANT	DISCUSS 21:17
CONTROLS	24:13,16,22	DAMAGES 6:2	1:23 2:3 3:22	DISCUSSED
35:24	25:16,19 26:5	6:14 12:19,28	DEFENDANTS	27:12,18
CONVERSA	26:13,23 27:5	13:14,25 38:6	1:13 2:6 39:14	DISCUSSION
14:10	27:8,20 28:1	DAMON 2:8	DEFENSIVE	37:27
CONVEY 34:17	28:11 29:6,20	3:27	14:23	DISINGENU
CORPORATE	29:24,27 30:12	DATED 39:25	DEFINITELY	21:5
28:27 34:24	30:17,20,23	DAVIS-STIR	12:27	DISPOSE 17:12
CORPORATI	31:4,8,12 32:1	28:20,26 30:5	DELIBERAT	DISRUPT 38:3
1:9,10 39:9,10	32:2,3,9,23,25	34:25	15:27	DISTINCT 4:18
CORRECT 5:2	33:7,12,20,23	DAY 39:25	DELVAC 2:7	DISTINCTION
7:9 10:10	34:7,12,27,28	DEAD 28:10,20	DENY 7:7 32:15	4:9 26:9
25:18 32:8	35:6,8,15 36:8	DEAL 21:17,18	DEPARTME	DISTRICT 1:2
37:4 39:21	36:11,14,19,21	DECADES 20:9	1:4 3:6 39:4	4:10,10 28:21
CORRECTLY	37:2,14,21,25	20:15,28	DESCENDEN	29:5 33:10
39:19	37:26 38:5,17	DECENT 35:10	35:17	34:2,2 39:2
COUNCIL	38:21 39:1,17	DECIDED	DESCRIPTI	DISTRICTS
15:24 16:13,13	COURTROOM	12:26 25:2	21:6	4:16
17:15,18,20	29:1 30:16	29:24 30:14	DESERVE 36:5	DOCUMENT
27:18	31:21	DECISION	DETAILED	7:15 8:10
COUNSEL	COURTS 6:11	30:16 32:3	35:27	18:27 19:23
10:18 14:11,27	12:13 29:19,22	DECLARATI	DETERMIN	DOCUMENTS
18:21 20:23	38:6 COURT'S 6:16	15:3 18:16,20	15:17	11:11 20:7
36:8 COUNTY 1:2	7:14 19:10	18:22 19:3,20	DETERRENT 37:17	29:7 DOING 25:5
39:2,18	COVENANTS	25:15	DEVELOP 12:5	31:20
COUPLE 33:18	1:5 3:3 24:5,19	DECLARATI	38:1	DOLLARS 21:9
33:21	24:28 26:10	36:28 37:5	DEVELOPING	21:15
COURSE 17:27	39:5	DECLARAT	6:15	DOLORES 1:11
20:28 35:9	CO-TRUSTE	9:22 10:22	DIFFERENCE	2:7 39:11
COURT 1:1	1:11 39:11	DEDICATED	16:6	DONALD 14:1
3:12,14,18 4:3	CREATING	10:3,15,15	DIFFERENC	14:6
4:14,20 5:26	12:7	14:5 35:3	37:28	DONATION
5:27,28 7:25	CROFT 36:28	DEDICATION 9:27 11:2	DIFFERENT	34:3
8:1,4,7,12,25	CROSS-COM	14:14	7:9 11:3	DOORSTEP
9:3,6,18,21	21:5 25:16	DEED 6:23,26	DIFFERENT	34:17
10:14,26 11:6	CROSS-MOT	7:1 11:7 15:6,7	19:15	DOUBLE 20:5
12:9 13:6,16	14:21,22 18:5	16:4,26 18:2	DIRECTION	DREAMING
13:18,26 14:12	18:12 19:8	21:6,7,8 23:6	27:19	30:20
14:21,28 16:10	22:23,24 23:4	23:19 33:26	DISAGREE	DUE 6:6
16:15,18,20,22	25:18	DEEDED 24:7	17:10 29:22	DUFFY 28:19
17:1,4 18:6,10	CSR 1:28 3:7	DEEDS 10:23	32:3	30:1,3,11 32:1
18:14,19,24,28	39:28	17:25 23:9,16	DISAPPEARS	DULY 15:23
19:3,10,12,14	CURRENT	24:3,10,14	17:6	23:17
19:16,28 20:14	20:10	26:27 27:4	DISCOVERY	DUTIES 24:20
20:20 21:4	CURRENTLY	31:6 34:9	32:18	DUTY 24:17,18
22:4,7,11,15	23:19	DEFEATS	DISCRETION	DVEIRIN 2:4

		1	1	
3:13,15,20,22	21:23,28 39:5	EXERCISES	37:7,22	G
8:2 18:8,20	ENJOIN 5:27	12:6	FINALITY	GATE 22:4,8,9
19:1 28:3,5,17	12:9 27:16	EXERCISING	31:28	22:14,18 37:9
29:19,22,28	ENJOINED	35:26	FINALLY	37:13,16,17
30:21,26 31:7	12:15,16 15:13	EXHIBIT 7:18	15:28 21:4	GATES 10:1
31:9 32:8,11	15:14	7:25,27,28	27:7	GATHER 28:6
32:24 33:4,9	ENJOINING	15:1 16:8	FIND 19:23	GAZEBO 10:6
33:17,21,24	6:9	18:23 19:4,5	32:2,6 38:7	GENERALLY
34:8,21 35:5,7	ENTER 6:19	19:19 36:23	FINDING 21:17	12:13
35:25 36:10,13	ENTERED 11:5	EXHIBITS	31:12	GIANT 14:2
38:12	12:20 29:24	18:16,21	FINDS 27:11	GIVE 7:10
	ENTERING	EXIGENCIES	FINISH 18:10	14:25 16:21
E	6:17	6:6	22:20 30:7	23:27 25:20
EARLIER 8:25	ENTITIES 4:12	EXIST 22:3	FIRE 22:12 37:9	33:23
EASEMENT	ENTITLED	26:1	FIREPIT 10:6	GIVEN 13:13
14:17 22:13	25:14	EXISTED 21:27	FIRST 4:21	16:7 21:7 35:3
EASEMENTS	ENTITY 23:12	EXPECT 21:17	9:14 23:5	35:13 36:9
14:15,17,18	ENVISIONED	EXPENSE 26:8	25:23 32:13	GIVES 12:4
EDGING 36:8	10:21	EXPERT 36:27	FIT 26:1	37:19
EFFECT 10:24	ERECTING	EXPERTS 37:3	FLAGPOLE	GIVING 4:26
31:10	14:2	EXPLAIN 7:21	14:2,6	16:5 17:21
EFFORT 11:7	ESQ 1:20,24 2:4	EXPRESS	FOLLOWING	19:19
20:5	2:8	15:16	15:15	GO 4:8 9:9
EITHER 6:8 7:3	ESSENCE		FOOTBALL	11:20 12:1
26:24	15:21 17:20	F	34:5	17:5 19:20,22
ELIMINATE	18:1 25:14	FACT 19:21	FORCE 6:6	19:24 20:12
11:7 29:8,13	ESTATES 1:9	20:2 21:8	10:24 26:5	22:24 23:7
EMPHASIZE	1:22,24 3:4,26	23:21,26 24:9	FOREGOING	31:21 32:27
13:13	25:28 39:9	FACTS 7:11	39:20	38:11
EMPLOYED	ESTOP 17:17	19:13,28	FOREVER 8:16	GOES 27:20
38:5	ESTOPPED	FACTUAL 7:6	8:22 16:9,27	GOING 5:17
ENCROACH	13:5 25:5	FALLING	17:1,17 25:11	6:1,14 7:4,11
36:23	ET 3:3,4	35:11	FORTH 15:25	9:12,23 11:24
ENCUMBER	EVERYBODY	FAMILY 35:16	24:3,20 26:28	12:15 14:3
7:2	4:5 9:16	FAR 7:20,22	FOUR 9:20	16:11 20:4,28
ENDED 21:19	EVIDENCE 8:7	8:26 20:12,16	FREE 11:16	21:21 25:20
38:24	15:24,26	27:26	FRIDAY 1:17	28:24 29:3
END-ALL	EVIDENTIA	FAVOR 35:16	3:5 39:23	30:24 31:26
37:14	36:27	FEDERAL	FULL 36:5,9	32:6 33:12
ENFORCE 11:9	EXAMPLE	35:15	39:21	34:8,18 35:1
24:11,18 25:27	12:4 24:27	FEELING 13:3	FULLY 37:12	36:22 37:16,23
26:12	EXCHANGE	13:4	FUNDS 26:4	38:9
ENFORCEA	28:23	FEELINGS	FURTHER 7:5	GOLDSMITH
13:9	EXCUSE 20:20	13:4	7:21 36:3	2:7
ENFORCED	EXERCISE 5:1	FEET 19:26	37:24	GOLF 35:9
6:26	9:11 12:9	FIELD 34:5	FUTURE 12:22	GOOD 3:13 4:1
ENFORCEM	25:24,26 26:28	FIGHT 34:14	17:18 27:16	13:21 21:11
1:5 3:2 20:27	27:3,24 34:25	FINAL 13:12,27		24:16 28:3,4

		I		I
36:12,15	29:1 30:14	30:19 31:5,25	34:17	INTERFERED
GOVERN 5:26	31:14 33:8,9	32:2	IMPORTANT	34:27
GOVERNING	39:6	HOMEOWN	19:18	INTERFERES
6:5	HARD 19:14	4:23,25 5:8	IMPOSED 31:5	6:13
GOVERNME	HARDEST-T	6:26 11:19	IMPOSES 20:5	INTERPRET
17:12 26:16	7:26	17:28 18:8	IMPRESSION	28:12,14
GOVERNS	HARD-TO-R	21:19 22:25	37:15	INTERRUPT
35:20	18:26	23:19 28:2,27	IMPROPER	13:10
GRANT 4:4	HAT 6:17 24:24	29:2,7,9,25	38:7	INTRIGUING
8:16 9:8,16	HATE 13:3	30:4 31:1,2,7	IMPUNITY	10:13,17
17:1 18:1	HATS 5:24	31:10,17,24	21:21	INVESTIGA
35:22	HAZARD 12:8	32:6,17,21	INADMISSIB	27:19
GRANTED	HEADS 4:26	33:2,13	37:1	INVITED 37:25
8:22 10:21	HEALTH 12:8	HOMES 1:10	INCLUDE	INVOLVED
35:16	12:14	2:3 3:23 39:10	13:24	21:18 29:11
GRANTING	HEAR 9:13	HON 1:4 3:6	INCLUDES	31:6 35:23
10:22	14:19 22:26	39:4	30:27 33:1	ISSUE 4:6 7:17
GRANTOR	33:16	HONOR 3:13	INCLUSIVE	9:19 10:20
15:11 16:7	HEARD 7:12	3:25 4:1,13	39:20	12:14 13:28
17:6,7,14,22	23:15 32:9	7:12,26 8:3,5	INCORPOR	14:1 15:4,9
17:24 18:2	HEARING	8:23 9:4,15	24:6	18:4 21:26,27
25:12 29:11	10:19 15:23	10:13,17 13:12	INDEPENDE	25:23 27:14
33:2	26:13	13:21 14:20,26	29:18	34:23 35:5
GRANTOR'S	HEIRS 15:20	17:10 18:11,18	INDICATE	37:13
15:20	HELD 6:2 9:28	18:26 19:7,27	38:21	ISSUES 36:16
GRANT-BACK	17:22 35:3	20:18 21:22	INDISPENSA	ITEMS 21:25
17:9	HERETOFO	22:6,8,22,28	35:26	22:2,3
GROUP 32:17	3:10	23:3,15 24:2	INDIVIDUA	
GUESS 6:21	HIGH 19:26	24:26 26:9,22	11:9	J
18:19 28:3	HIGHLIGHTS	27:27 28:3	INFORMED	J 1:11 2:7 39:11
GUYS 7:3 14:16	33:21	36:13 37:7,22	7:15	JEFFREY 1:20
	HIGHWAYS	38:12,13,14,15	INITIAL 35:15	4:2
H	8:20	38:23	INJUNCTION	JENKINS 1:24
H 2:4	HILBURG 37:1	HOPE 29:20	12:28 15:15	3:24
HALL 25:3,5	HILLS 1:22	HOSPITAL	INJUNCTIVE	JOB 26:15
HALLWAY	HOGIN 1:24	35:2,2	13:6	36:15 37:4
10:18 14:11	3:24	HOUSE 12:27	INS 9:23	JOHN 1:5 39:5
HANDLING	HOLD 4:27	HUGE 5:22	INSTRUCTI	JUDGE 1:4 3:6
6:20	8:12,13 16:8	14:2	15:9	19:17 39:4
HANDS 17:17	17:16 23:18,20		INTEREST	JUDGES 20:3
17:18 21:10,13	23:24,28 24:6	I	4:22 5:1 15:17	JUDGMENT
HANSEN 18:16	24:9,12	IDEA 10:13,17	17:22 21:15	7:7 8:8 10:20
19:5	HOLDING 26:6	11:2 36:12	25:11	10:22,24 13:23
HAPPENED	HOLDS 24:23	IGNORING	INTERESTS	18:12 19:8
5:3,7 19:19	HOME 11:16	21:20	35:13	28:22 29:24
HAPPY 20:4	HOMEOWN	ILLEGAL	INTERFERE	30:23,24 32:15
HARBISON 1:5	11:8,14 26:18	20:24	13:7 27:22,25	33:10,13 34:26
18:17,22 23:22	29:17 30:15,18	IMAGINE 20:4	38:3,8	34:26 35:27
,	27.17.30.13,10		30.3,0	
	ı	I	ı	I

	1	 	l	l
JUDICATA	LEGISLATIVE	32:12	24:8,14	NECESSARY
31:10	15:13,16 27:16	LOOKING	MEANING	17:27
JUNE 19:18	LETTER 36:23	18:25 35:10	10:26	NEED 11:22
39:25	LET'S 21:11	LOOSE 4:21	MEANINGL	12:17 20:23
JURORS 20:21	33:16	LORE 36:28	30:10	33:7
JUSTIFICAT	LEVEL 6:11	LOS 1:2 2:5,9	MEANS 9:27	NEEDED 13:11
27:24	LEWIS 1:20,20	3:5 39:2,18	MEETING	NEVER 22:4
	2:3 3:21 4:1,2	LOT 4:21 9:28	15:26 29:9	31:28
<u>K</u>	4:12,15 7:12	14:12 21:11,12	MEIERS 1:4	NEVERMIND
KEEP 37:8	7:26 8:3,5,10	21:12 25:20	3:6 39:4	32:7
KEEPING 31:4	8:23,28 9:4,15	LOTS 15:4,4,6,7	MEMBER 10:7	NEW 7:6 12:10
KEY 22:14	9:19 10:13,17	21:18	MEMBERS	NOTEBOOK
KNEW 12:20	10:27 13:12,17	LUGLIANI	31:17	8:6
KNOCK 26:21	13:21,27 18:25	1:10,11,11 2:7	MILLION 34:3	NOTED 3:10
KNOW 3:16	19:2,27 28:4	39:10,11,11	MIND 14:14	NOTICE 4:26
7:11,20,22	36:17,21 37:7	LUGLIANIS	23:2	NOTICED
9:12 13:11,16	37:19,22	3:28 11:2	MINUTE 8:14	15:23
13:28 19:3	LIABILITIES	30:15	MINUTES	NOTICES
21:16 32:25	24:8	LUNCH 20:23	33:23	21:20
KNOWN 12:20	LIABLE 6:2		MISSED 7:25	NUMBER 3:1
	LIBRARY	M	MISTAKE	15:2 29:9
<u>L</u>	15:19	MAINTAIN	22:19 28:9	
LAID 32:26	LIE 15:16	24:6	MIXED 11:14	0
LAND 8:18,18	LIEB 1:11 2:7	MAINTAINED	MOMENT 13:3	OATH 23:23
11:1 16:9	3:28 39:12	35:12	13:5	OBJECTIONS
24:23 35:3,17	LIGHTS 34:4	MAINTAINI	MONEY 21:12	36:27
LANDSCAPI	LINE 6:4 8:21	7:17	21:12,18 28:24	OBJECTS
37:11	9:7 12:1	MAKING 13:4	33:25,27 34:15	21:24
LANGUAGE	LITIGATED	15:16 21:16	34:18,19	OBLIGATION
4:21 9:21	28:22	MAMALAKIS	MORNING	24:22
16:10 23:19	LITIGATES	2:8 3:27,27	3:13 4:1 28:3	OBLIGATIO
LAW 5:21,23	28:28	22:8,12,17	MOTHER 3:16	35:18
12:1 15:5	LITIGATING	38:15,19,23	MOTION 4:5	OBTAINED
16:13,18,19	31:11	MAN 31:15	8:8 9:14,16	35:23
17:11 23:18	LITIGATION	MANAGE 5:14	10:21 14:23	OBVIOUSLY
24:20 26:10,12	14:8 28:22	MANAGING	19:7,11 25:22	36:20
26:12 28:27	30:4 33:10	5:9 6:20	MOU 18:1	ODD 8:17
29:2 32:20	LITTLE 3:15	MANHATTAN	28:23 29:26	OFFICERS
33:5 34:24,25	20:6 21:5	1:26	30:5	20:27
37:4,5,6	26:23	MARKER 9:1	MOVE 18:5	OFFICIAL
LAWS 25:27	LLP 1:20,24	MASSIVE 12:7	27:12	39:16
LAWSUIT 14:4	LONG 36:21	MATTER 9:26	MOVED 11:22	OH 21:11
LAYER 5:26	LONGER 10:4	23:26 25:28	MUNICIPAL	OIL 12:5,5,6,11
LAYERED 6:4	17:19,21 23:13	29:2 32:20	1:9 24:21 39:9	12:21
LEAVE 9:12	24:8	33:5 38:16		OKAY 3:12 6:7
LEFT 10:26	LOOK 5:7	39:22	N	6:11 8:15,20
11:3	10:21 19:22	MAYOR 12:26	NAME 3:2	14:19 18:10
LEGAL 36:5	29:6 30:26	MEAN 10:7	NATURE 10:8	24:13
	1	1	1	•

	·	1	•	·
OMITTING	PANORAMA	PASSING 6:12	19:10	10:12
21:8	1:12 39:12	PAY 9:23 13:19	PLED 24:2	PREVAIL
ONCE 10:15	PAPER 20:6	13:19 26:21	25:25 27:6	13:24
24:12	PAPERS 7:18	PAYING 11:25	POINT 3:17	PREVENT 6:8
OPEN 22:18	19:26 20:14	PENAL 26:12	10:28 13:22,27	15:16 37:12
37:17	23:23 29:21	PENINSULA	19:18 29:28	PREVIOUS
OPENED 37:27	30:2 31:16	14:1	32:9 34:21	28:21
OPPOSITION	32:14,18,27	PEOPLE 28:11	36:26 37:7,22	PREZIOSI 1:24
18:9 30:2	33:20,22 35:27	32:4,16,18,20	37:27	3:24,24 14:20
ORDER 13:6,18	36:14	32:25	POINTED	14:26 16:12,17
ORDINANCE	PAPERWORK	PERMANENT	31:16 32:17	16:19,21,24
6:12 12:10	19:9 20:1 26:2	10:16	POINTS 30:6,8	17:2,10 18:11
ORDINANCES	PARAGRAPH	PERMISSIBLE	33:18 36:18	18:17 19:7
5:10 22:2	9:1	20:10	POLICE 6:7,8	20:13,18,24
ORIGINAL	PARCEL 5:4	PERMISSION	6:10 12:9 13:1	21:22 22:6,22
8:16 15:11	PARCELS 5:11	16:16	21:24 22:1	22:24,28 23:3
18:20 33:2	PARDON 3:15	PERMITTED	25:25 27:22,24	23:15,26 24:2
OUTS 9:24	PARK 14:6,7	12:9	38:8	24:14,17,26
OUTSIDE	17:24 25:2	PERPETUITY	POLITICAL	25:18,23 26:9
29:15	PARKLAND	5:15 9:8 16:9	4:18	26:22,25 27:6
OVERRIDING	1:5 3:3 8:20	16:11 21:27	PORTIONS	27:9,27 38:14
12:14 27:24	21:19 22:5	PERSON 18:6	35:8	PRIMARY 16:4
OVERWHEL	23:18,20,28	31:27,28	POSSIBLY 7:9	PRINCIPLES
12:17	25:1,6,7 32:4	PETITION	POSTURE 5:25	28:27
OWNED 24:27	35:24 37:10,12	31:17	11:3	PRINT 7:27
OWNERS 9:28	39:5	PHYSICAL	POTENTIAL	PRIOR 20:9,15
10:9 20:10	PARKLANDS	26:24 36:22	12:22	30:4
29:10 32:28	23:24 24:12	PIECE 24:28	POUNDS 20:7	PRIVATE 5:23
33:1,5	PARKS 5:9,14	PIECES 20:6	POWER 5:1 6:7	5:25 6:3,6,9,17
OWNERSHIP	6:20 7:17,17	PLAINTIFF	6:8,11 12:10	9:28 10:5 11:8
23:8 26:15	23:13 24:6,7,9	11:16 15:25	13:2 17:11	11:23 12:2,3
OWNING 25:10		22:26 23:11,21	21:24 22:1	12:21,24 14:2
OWNS 14:6	10:12	24:10 25:14	25:25 26:11,27	21:19 23:14
O'CLOCK	PART 4:11	27:1,15 33:16	27:22,25 29:16	24:18,24,24
20:22	32:13 34:5,8	PLAINTIFFS	29:16 34:14,16	25:3 26:7,10
P	PARTICULAR	1:7,20 4:2 7:13	38:8	26:17 27:25
	10:11 29:13	23:22 28:20	PRACTICAL	29:27 32:5
P 2:8	PARTIES 8:18	39:7	30:12	35:8,12 37:19
PAGE 8:11,23	11:23 12:20,24	PLAINTIFF'S	PRAYER 13:24	37:28 38:2
8:24,28 9:4	14:4 19:12	4:4 7:28 8:1,8	PREJUDICE	PROBABLY
30:1	29:25 33:28	18:21 32:16	7:8	4:5 5:14,15 7:4
PAGES 39:20	34:10	PLANNED	PREPARED	10:10 12:28
PAID 5:13	PARTY 6:13	20:26	19:8	13:5
PALOS 1:9,9,24	35:26 37:28	PLEADS 27:1	PRESENT	PROBLEM
2:3 3:4,22,25	PASSED 5:10	PLEASE 3:19	30:18	12:22 29:3,23
5:19,20 6:18	16:14 21:10,13	20:7,22 22:21	PRESENTAT	30:12,13
11:1 25:27	34:19	30:7 36:11,26	7:6	PROBLEMS
39:9,9	PASSES 17:16	PLEASURE	PRESERVE	36:22
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

	ı	1	1	1
PROCEDURE	PUT 10:2 12:27	RECLAIMING	REPORTER	REVERSE 7:27
30:9	15:25 21:26	4:26	3:7 39:16	REVERSION
PROCEEDIN	25:3,4 27:3,4	RECOGNIZE	REPORTER'S	4:24 5:5 23:5
1:16 38:24	38:11	3:17 13:22	1:16 39:9	26:28 27:3
39:19,22		RECOMMEND	REPRESENT	REVERSION
PROJECT	Q	19:15	18:8	5:1 9:11 17:22
29:12	QUA 38:1,2	RECORD 15:24	REPRESENT	24:10 25:11
PROMISED	QUESTION	RECORDS 21:1	18:7	REVERT 4:23
34:15 38:11	11:6,18,26	RECOVERY	REQUIRE 9:23	15:20 16:1,1
PROPER 15:26	22:10 26:20	12:19	12:22	REVERTED
38:3	QUESTIONS	REFERRED	REQUIREM	4:22 20:25
PROPERLY	14:28 19:10	11:8	23:18	REVERTS 16:3
15:27 35:12	36:3	REFERRING	RES 31:10	35:19
PROPERTIES	QUITCLAIM	8:10 18:17,22	RESERVED	RE-ZONE
34:4	16:2	20:13	8:20	12:26 13:7
PROPERTY	QUITCLAIM	REFERS 11:12	RESIDENT	RIGHT 4:20,28
4:27 7:19,23	15:10,22	REGARD 6:21	11:13	6:14,15 7:8 8:4
10:2 13:8 14:2	QUOTE 6:22	REGULATE	RESIDENTS	9:11 11:9,12
15:11,18,20,22	15:12	14:3	11:12 32:23,24	12:19,23 13:26
16:1,2,26		RELIEF 9:22	32:26,28	14:27 17:25
17:12,19,21	R	10:22	RESOLUTION	21:4 22:17,20
20:19 22:5	RAISED 14:28	REMAIN 9:28	7:18,22 15:1,3	23:27 26:28
23:6,7,8,10,16	14:28	REMEDIES	15:5 16:7,12	27:3,5 28:12
24:28 25:3,4	RARELY 38:6	38:5	16:15,25 17:3	28:17 29:18
26:6,14,16	RATE 9:9	REMEDY 16:4	17:16,25	30:10,15 33:5
27:4 29:10,13	READ 8:26	31:15	RESPOND	33:16 34:16,24
32:5 35:23	15:14 19:3	REMEMBER	14:26 19:9	35:2,7 38:4
37:11,20	29:20 30:1	27:21 35:1	36:19	RIGHTS 12:5,6
PROPONENT	32:27	REMINDED	RESPONDED	27:25
9:13	READING	14:9	32:14	ROAD 1:21
PROVIDES	19:18	REMOVAL	RESPONSE	22:9,11,12
17:8	READY 31:25	21:3	24:16	37:9
PROVISION	38:17	REMOVE	REST 13:11	ROADS 8:19
8:15,17	REAL 12:8	11:21 13:20	RESTRICTED	ROBERT 1:10
PROVISIONS	17:12 30:13	20:27 21:20	26:16 34:3	2:6 39:10
28:13	REALLY 23:25	22:2 26:7,15	RESTRICTI	ROCK 19:14
PUBLIC 10:3,7	27:17	REMOVED	29:8,13	ROLLED 35:14
10:11 11:2,23	REASON 12:25	10:1 21:24	RESTRICTI	ROLLING 1:22
11:24 12:8,14	35:25	22:4	4:28 5:2 11:10	ROSECRANS
12:17 14:6,7	REASSERTED	REMOVING	13:8 14:18	1:25
15:23 21:11,15	7:8	11:25	15:6,8 16:26	RULE 36:2,6
22:16 26:4	RECALL 6:28	RENDER 30:24	23:7 24:5,19	RULES 28:19
27:11 37:9,12	31:16 35:1	RENTED 35:6	24:23 25:1	RULING 31:26
PURPOSE 13:2	RECEIVED	REPEATEDLY	26:11,14 31:5	35:15 36:27
PURPOSES	34:3	19:12 24:4	33:14 35:22	RULINGS 13:4
15:19 17:24	RECITALS	REPLY 26:25	RETENTION	RUN 23:12 29:3
25:2	16:22	30:2,3	16:7	
PURSUE 10:18	RECITES 16:22	REPORT 39:19	RETURN 34:15	S

SAN 2:8	14:16	SO-AND-SO	10:12	SURE 6:22,24
SAW 23:23	SESSION 3:8	21:7,7,8,12	STRONG 32:13	6:25 9:21
SAYING 28:18	SET 6:10,27	SO-CALLED	36:5	16:10
32:3 34:13	24:3,20 26:28	27:16	STRUCTURES	SURROUND
36:24	SETTLED 14:4	SPEAK 9:19	11:21 20:11,19	22:9
SAYS 4:25 8:7	14:5,8 29:25	SPEAKING	20:25,25,26,27	
9:4,8 12:10	SETTLEMENT	14:10	21:3	T
15:13 16:11	13:28 14:9	SPECIFICAL	STUCK 11:24	TAB 8:5
17:16 28:14	28:25 29:26	8:11 14:27	14:13	TAKE 7:19 20:1
29:7 30:10,27	30:22,23,27	30:27,28	STUFF 13:20	34:8 35:28
30:28 31:10	31:22 33:10	SPILLAGE	20:16 26:6,7	37:5,23
32:28 33:1	34:5	12:21	36:24	TAKEN 10:1
SCENARIOS	SETTLES 28:28	SPIRLING	SUBDIVISION	21:2,14,23,28
12:24	SETTLING SETTLING	35:21	4:15	27:18 39:22
SCHOOL 4:9	9:26 31:11	SPOKEN 25:12	SUBDIVISIO	TAKES 5:21
4:10,16 28:21	9.20 31.11 SHOES 5:25	SPOT 19:14	4:17	TALK 4:8 5:5
29:4 33:10	33:3	27:17	SUBJECT	13:4
	SHORT 10:4			TALKED 12:2
34:2,2		SPUR 1:21	13:13 24:23	14:16 27:12,21
SCHOOLS 35:8	SHUTDOWN	SQUARES 9:20	SUBMISSION	28:23 33:25
SEAT 4:20	12:23	STACK 19:26	7:4 36:1 37:23	TALKING 15:2
SEATED 4:3	SIC 35:21	STADIUM 35:5	SUBMIT 13:24	20:6,7,24
SECOND 5:26	SID 36:28	STADIUMS	19:9 26:1 28:8	26:16,17 35:21
24:2 25:25	SIDE 7:4 9:1	35:9	29:19 31:14,24	
26:3 27:1,10	SIDES 7:5 37:16	STAFF 27:19	SUBMITTED	TARQUIN 1:24
27:14,14 33:24	37:18	STANDARD	36:23	3:24 TAY 24.9
36:26	SIGNED 6:23	30:3	SUBMITTING	TAX 24:8
SECONDS	34:19	STANDING	10:22	TAXES 6:21
36:17,18	SIGNIFICANT	5:24 28:19	SUBSEQUENT	TEAR 36:24
SECTION	33:27	32:12,20 33:25	7:24	TEARING 10:8
30:10	SILVER 1:21	35:26	SUGGEST 9:25	TELL 5:28,28
SEE 9:6 13:21	SIMPLY 9:7	STANDS 9:20	37:24	20:21 21:18,20
19:18 22:15,19	25:9 26:1	STATE 4:15,17	SUGGESTION	29:20 37:3,10
SEEK 31:16	SINCERE 14:10	19:11 23:16	37:8	TELLING 36:6
SEEKING	20:22	24:20 25:28	SUITE 1:21,25	36:9 37:6
13:14,18	SIT 29:12 36:11	39:17	2:5,9	TELLS 19:23
SEEKS 24:11	SLIDING 25:19	STATED 23:22	SUMMARY 7:7	TEN 32:16,18
SEEN 27:26	SMITH 2:3 3:21	STATEMENT	8:8 18:12 19:8	32:19
SEEPAGE 12:7	28:4	19:21 20:15	32:15	TENANT 11:13
SELL 32:4	SOLD 16:24	STATEMENTS	SUPERIOR 1:1	TEND 8:18
SEND 6:24	21:15	19:13	39:1,17	TENTATIVE
10:28 11:1	SOLUTION	STATIC 21:25	SUPPORT 8:7	4:4 9:16 11:28
SENDING 6:23	10:16	STATUTE 31:9	10:8	13:13 28:8
SEPARATE	SOMEBODY	STATUTORY	SUPPORTAB	TENTATIVE
4:12,14,18 9:7	34:15	34:25	13:1	6:16 37:2
19:13,21	SORRY 13:10	STOP 6:12	SUPPOSED	TERMINATI
SEPTEMBER	SORT 31:11	STREET 2:4	19:28	12:16
10:23	36:8	STREETS 8:19	SUPPOSEDLY	TERMS 5:16
SERVITUDES	SOURCE 20:1	STRETCH	18:15 19:23	7:9 11:17 17:8
L	-	-	-	-

		1		1
35:18,22 36:22	25:21 29:10	TWO 10:23	1:24 2:3 3:4,22	32:14 33:26
TERRIBLE	36:11	19:26 28:22	3:25 5:19,20	WASTE 26:4
18:26	TIMES 14:7	34:9	6:18 11:1	27:11
TESTIMONY	TINKY-TINK		25:27 39:9,9	WAY 9:26 14:5
39:22	8:13	U	VETERANS	14:16 18:15
THANK 14:20	TITLE 4:27	ULTIMATELY	35:4,11	28:8
22:22,28 23:3	8:18 10:26	5:18	VICENTE 2:8	WEAR 5:23
27:5 36:13	11:3,22	ULTRA 23:2	VIEW 4:25 5:5	WEARING
38:10,13,14,22	TODAY 29:2	27:11 28:7	6:16 21:14	6:17 24:23
38:23	38:17	29:15,22 31:12	23:1 32:7	WEATHER
THEORETIC	TOLD 27:20	34:13,23	VIOLATED	3:16
32:8	TOM 3:28	UMPTEENTH	4:28	WELLS 12:11
THEORY 17:23	TOTALLY 4:14	19:20	VIOLATING	WELWOOD
THEREFROM	5:6	UNCERTAIN	17:8	15:9,12 16:6
21:8	TRACT 11:9	28:13,15,15	VIOLATION	27:12
THEREOF 13:9	26:19 29:17	UNDERSTA	5:2 22:1	WENT 21:7,8
THERETO	32:6	9:15 28:18	VIRES 23:2	22:8 32:27
6:21	TRANSACTI	37:15	27:11 28:7	38:21
THING 19:21	5:16,18 11:4	UNDERSTA	29:15,23 31:12	WEST 2:4
21:25 28:6	21:6,10	20:18	34:13,23	WESTWOOD
31:19 33:14	TRANSCRIPT	UNDERSTO	VIRTUALLY	35:3
35:10 37:26	1:16 39:21	19:27 38:19	15:20	WE'LL 31:28
THINGS 6:23	TRANSFER	UNDERTAKE	VIRTUE 26:15	WE'RE 4:26
6:24 10:8	4:24 5:4	6:19	VITIATED	20:5,7 26:16
21:20 26:21	TRANSFERR	UNDERTAKI	35:18,18	26:17 29:3
THINK 7:9 9:10	23:11,17,28	5:13	VOICE 3:15,17	35:28 36:6,7
19:17 25:21	33:26,27	UNDISPUTED	VOID 10:23	38:17
28:9 30:1 31:3	TREES 37:11	19:13,21	VOLUNTARY	WE'VE 15:2
32:5,26 34:22	TRESPASS	UNILATERAL	5:3	34:10
THINKING	11:19,20	4:24	VOTED 15:23	WHATSOEV
7:14	TRISHA 1:28	UNPERMITT	vs 1:8 3:3 39:8	22:10
THIRD 34:21	3:7 39:16,28	20:25	***	WHITE 7:27
THOMAS 1:11	TRUE 39:21	USE 6:10 10:3,5	W	8:9 28:16
2:7 39:11	TRUMP 14:1,6	10:11 12:16	WALK 22:17	WIENER 1:28
THOROUGH	TRUST 1:11,12	13:1 17:23	WALKS 8:19	3:7 39:16,28
36:2	8:16 15:14	24:27 26:20	WALLS 10:8	WIND 22:27
THOUGHT	35:3,19 39:11	32:5 38:7	WANT 6:28	WINS 30:14
9:27 13:12	39:12	USES 23:7	10:25 13:19	WISH 17:19,21
19:5 21:4	TRUSTEE 1:12	UTILIZE 6:7,8	17:16,26 19:25	18:14
THOUSANDS	3:28 39:12	9:22	33:18 37:26	WORD 14:25
21:9,9,9	TRUSTOR	UTILIZED 35:4	WANTED	WORK 20:23
THREATEN	35:16	U/DO 1:12	10:28 12:26	38:10
27:17	TRY 10:28	39:12	13:13,23 14:3	WOULDN'T
THREE 6:11	27:25 31:18	\mathbf{V}	16:1 17:28	10:11
33:23 35:27	38:8	$\overline{\mathbf{VA}}$ 35:2,2	25:2	WRAPPING
TIE 17:18	TRYING 13:6	VACATED 6:27	WANTS 17:7	35:25
TIME 3:8 5:11	TURN 26:19	VERDES 1:9,9	19:17 29:8	WRAP-UP
14:23 18:13	TURNS 12:6	VERDES 1.3,3	WASN'T 30:17	36:18
		l		l

WRONG 5:6	39:13	90049 2:9	
X	20-SEVERAL	90071 2:5	
X 29:9	19:2	90266 1:26	
A 29.9	2000S 21:2	90274 1:22	
Y	2012 1:12 10:23		
YEAH 3:20	15:10 17:20		
18:19,24	18:1 39:12		
YEARS 14:13	2015 1:17 3:5		
20:28 21:21	39:23,25		
28:22	22 8:23,27 9:4 23 8:24		
Z	25 8:24,24 9:4 29 1:17 3:5		
ZONED 25:4	39:23		
ZONES 11:27	37.43		
ZONING 11:27	3		
12:15 22:1	3 30:1		
27:17	30 36:17,18		
ф	300 1:21		
\$	374 30:9		
\$10 16:20,21,24	388 9:1		
1			
	4		
1 1:12 20:22	4000 2:5		
39:12,20 1 5 24.2			
1.5 34:3	5		
1:30 20:21	5TH 2:4		
10 8:5,8 18:23 21:21	57 9:3		
10TH 39:25	5980 30:5,10,26		
101H 39:23 11 34:3	30:27		
11:56:38:24			
11:30 38.24 110 1:25	6		
116112:8	633 2:4		
11011 2:8 12 1:4 3:6 7:18	68 9:3		
39:4	7		
39:4 1230 1:25	70S 36:25		
13576 1:28 3:7	734 1:21		
39:28	78 9:3		
39.28 16 36:23	107.3		
1 8 9:3	8		
1923 32:28	8 7:18,25,28 8:2		
1940 5:8 7:22	8:3 15:2 16:8		
16:8 17:15,24	18:23 19:2		
1972 36:23			
	9		
2	9 18:23		
2 1:12 39:12	90S 21:2		
20 1:12 21:21	900 2:9		